

Dept. of Zoonoses,  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

## **CAPTIVE DOGS AS RESERVOIRS OF SOME ZOOONOTIC PATHOGENS**

(With 8 Tables)

By

**MAHA A.S. and H.S. LOTFY\***

\* Animal Health Research Institute, Beni-Suef Branch

(Received at 20/11/2008)

### **الكلاب في الأسر كمخازن للأمراض المشتركة للمخالطين**

**مها أحمد صبري ، حسين سيد لطفى**

لتقييم كفاءة عقار مضاد للديدان التي تصيب الكلاب تم اختيار كلاب ضالة مصابة طبيعياً بالطفيليات المطلوبة وبعد انتهاء أعمال التجربة تم الأبقاء علي الكلاب دون فحص انتظاراً لتجربة أخرى. غير أنه بعد فترة 3 شهور من بقاء هذه الكلاب بالقرب من العمال المسؤولين عنها ظهرت أعراض اضطرابات معد-معوية واسهال ودرجات حرارة مختلفة من الحمي والأجهد علي هؤلاء العمال صاحبها ظهور اسهال علي عدد من هذه الكلاب الأمر الذي تطلب إجراء فحص دقيق لتحديد مدي وجود مسببات مرضية مشتركة بين هؤلاء العمال وتلك الحيوانات. وبإجراء الفحص الطفيلي ليراز الأدميين تم تشخيص وجود إصابة بحويصلات الجيارديا والأناميبيا هستوليتيكا (20 %) والأيزوسبورا (26.6 %) وبفحص أمصال هؤلاء الأشخاص بطريقة الأليزا تم تشخيص الأجسام المناعية الدالة علي الإصابة بطفيل توكسوكارا (20 %) وكذلك الحويصلات العضية (ساركوسستس) (33.3 %) وأيضاً الأجسام المناعية الدالة علي الإصابة بالحويصلات المائية (هيدانت سست) (46.66 %). ونعتبر الكلاب عامة مصدر للإصابة بهذه الطفيليات. وفيما يخص فحص براز الكلاب تم تشخيص عدد 10 طفيليات من ديدان وأوليات منها ما سبق علاجه مثل التوكسوكارا (33.3 %) والتوكساسكارس (20 و 16.66 %) وقد ارتفعت نسبة الإصابة بالديدان الشريطية مقارنة بما كانت موجودة عند بدء متابعة هذه الكلاب. وفيما يخص التشخيص البكتيري كانت هناك إصابة بثلاثة أنواع من البكتريا المعوية سالبة الجرام والمعروف وجودها في الكلاب وقد تم تشخيصها في الأدميين المخالطين خاصة الذين يعانون من الاسهال وارتفاع درجات الحرارة وتشمل الأشريشيا كولاي الممرضة (46.66 % في الأدميين ولاكلاب الصغيرة و58.33 % في الكلاب البالغة) كذلك السالمونيلا بنسب 20 % و33.3 % و41.66 % في الفئات الثلاثة الأولى علي الترتيب وأخيراً الكامبيلوباكتر وكانت 13.3 % و33.3 % و33.3 % في نفس الفئات علي الترتيب. كذلك تم تحديد أنواعها وأيضاً حساسيتها لمختلف المضادات الحيوية الخاصة بأنواع البكتريا المعوية كما هو مبين بالبحث. وقد خلصت الدراسة الي تحديد مدي الخطر الكامن من وجود الكلاب الضالة ملازمة للإنسان ومواصفات أماكن العزل الصحية وما يجب اتباعه لحماية العاملين والمخالطين أثناء التعامل مع الكلاب في الأسر.

### **SUMMARY**

In the present study two groups of stray adults and puppies dogs were captive in small boxes for special drug trial. After 3 months the dog attendants complained with gastrointestinal disturbances, diarrhea, weakness, headache and fever. In the same time, some dogs are suffering from diarrhea also. Investigation of these cases revealed infection by several pathogens isolated from both attendants and dogs. *Entamoeba histolytica*, *Giardia* (trophozoites & cysts) and *Isospora* species oocysts were diagnosed in feces of 20%, 20% and 26.6% respectively in attendants closely contact to dogs. Anti-*Toxocara canis*, anti-*Sarcocystis* and anti-hydatid cysts antibodies were diagnosed in 20%, 33.3% and 46.66% respectively in sera of attendants using ELISA. Puppies and dogs were infected by *Toxascaris leonina* (20% & 16.66 %), *Dipylidium caninum* (53.33% & 66.66%) and *Taenia spp.* eggs (26.6% & 50%). *Giardia* (53.33%), *E. histolytica* (13.33%), *Toxocara canis* (33.3%), *Isospora* (53.3%) and *Cryptosporidium* oocysts (20%) were diagnosed in puppies only. Two adult dogs (16.66 %) are shed *Sarcocystis* oocyst and *Ancylostoma caninum* eggs in their feces. Bacteriological examination of fecal swabs evidenced infection by 3 enteric bacteria in attendants, puppies and dogs. Salmonella (20%, 33.3% & 41.66%), Campylobacter (13.3%, 33.3% & 33.3%) and enterotoxigenic *E.coli* (46.66%, 46.66% & 58.33%). Serotyping of these bacteria revealed presence of *S. typhimurium* in dogs (60%) and attendants (66.6%), *S. enteritidis* in one of the worker as well as 8 untyped strains. Two serotypes of *C. jejuni* in 2 workers and 4 dogs, *E. coli* in 3 dogs, while 2 untyped isolates were recorded in dogs. Three serotypes of *E. coli* (O26, O 76, O 55) and two untyped strains were isolated from workers and dogs. Moreover two isolates (O 5 & O 111) were isolated from dogs only. The isolates showed high sensitivity for Gentamycin (10 µg). The study recommended some precautions to minimize the role of captive dogs as a potential source of zoonotic pathogens.

**Key words:** Dogs, attendants, zoonotic, parasites, bacteria.

## INTRODUCTION

Through out their long history of domestication, dogs have been source of zoonotic parasites and have served as a link for parasite exchange among livestock, wildlife and humans. Globally, dogs remain an important source of emerging diseases in humans (e.g eosinophilic enteritis by *Ancylostoma caninum*), a bridge for re-emerging infections (*Echinococcus granulosus*), and a source of parasites for

immunocompromised persons (Egula-Aguilar *et al.*, 2005). A number of potential enteropathogens have been isolated from dogs, most notably *Salmonella* sp. and *Campylobacter* species as well as enteroinvasive *E. coli* (EIEC). These pathogens represent an interesting combination of incidents, severity, stringency of industry standards and public awareness (Greene, 2006). Meanwhile, they have been clearly associated with acute and chronic disease, typically causing enterocolitis, but they can also be present in clinically healthy carriers which potentially present a risk to other animals and humans so that they represent a zoonotic risk. These pathogenic enteric bacteria are disseminated in feces from infected animals (Hall and Simpson, 2000).

Bacterial diarrheas are generally a nuisance for the adult animal but can be lethal to a small puppy, kitten, or even a human baby. Most of these problems stem from contaminated food or fecal contaminated environment. Raw food diets for pets dramatically increase the risk of human exposure (Brook, 2006).

The survival time of these bacteria varies significantly, as *Campylobacter* can survive for days in surface water and as long as 4 weeks in feces. In addition, the duration of excretion in infected dogs and cats can be as long as 4 months. *Salmonellae* can also survive for prolonged periods outside the host, especially in aquatic environments; shedding may continue for 3 to 6 weeks, and can be reactivated at a later date by any intercurrent stress (Hall, 2004).

On the other hand, dogs act as a source for a wide number of zoonotic parasitic infections that pose a significant threat to human health. This includes *Dipylidium caninum*, *Toxocara canis*, *Ancylostoma caninum*, *Diphylobothrium latum*, *Giardia*, *Sarcocystis* and *Cryptosporidium* (Habluetzel *et al.*, 2003; Eguia-Aguiler *et al.*, 2005, Pullola *et al.*, 2006; Fontanarrosa *et al.*, 2006; Martinez-Moreno *et al.*, 2007).

During experimental trial on captive stray dogs, an infection problem was emerged in dog attendants after 12 weeks contact with these dogs. They were complained with digestive disturbances, diarrhea accompanied with different degrees of fever. These captive dogs are incriminated as the cause of this problem. The present study is a result of these attendants investigation as well as the contact captive stray dogs.

## **MATERIALS and METHODS**

### **Collected samples:**

Identified blood and fresh fecal samples were collected from:

#### **Human:**

Two groups of male animal workers were investigated. First group of five animal attendants who are responsible for feeding, cleaning and collecting samples from dogs captive in boxes, and the second group consists of 10 general animal workers and assistants in the same area of the study.

#### **Dogs:**

Stray dogs (12 adult females and 15 puppies of 3-5 months old) were kept for successive four months, captive in a concreted floor 1.5m (length), 1.0 (width) and 2.0 m (height) pin with wire door at faculty of Veterinary Medicine Cairo University, Department of Surgery, Giza Egypt. The animals were allocated as 4 adults, or 5 puppies per each pin. Dogs were fed chicken bone and bread, while puppies were fed fresh bread, bread soaked in milk as well as chicken bone.

### **Examination of samples:**

#### **1- Stool and fecal examination:**

##### **Parasitological examination:**

Each sample was examined macroscopically for detection of whole worms or part of worm. The suspected trophozoies, large size eggs and larvae were diagnosed using direct smear method (Solusby, 1986). Concentration flotation technique was adopted for diagnosis of different nematode eggs, cysts and oocysts according to Pullola *et al.*, (2006), 5 gram of fecal sample were mixed with 70 ml of concentrated MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the mixture was sieved (mesh size 0.9 mm) into 50 ml flask. The flask was filled to the rim, cover slip was placed on the top, left for 30 min., then transferred carefully to examine under the microscope. Total number of different eggs, cysts and oocysts per gram of feces was calculated in each time using Mc-Master technique according to Soulsby (1986).

##### **Bacteriological examination:**

Swab from each fecal sample was immersed directly into nutrient broth, tetrathionate broth and then incubated for 18-24 hours at 37 °C. Loopfuls from the broth were streaked onto blood agar, MacConky agar, Xylose-lysin desoxycholate agar (XLD) , Eosine methylen blue (EMB) plates and Muller Hintoen agar in microaerophilic atmosphere (Abdel Aty and Rabie, 2003). The plates were Incubated at 37 °C for 24-48 hours. The suspected colonies were picked up purified on trypticase soya agar and re-incubated at 37 °C for another 24-48 hours.

Identification of the purified colonies were done concerning the differences in morphology, cultural and biochemical characters according to Koneman *et al.*, (1992) and Quinn *et al.*, (1994).

### **Serological Diagnosis:**

#### **1- Identification of Campylobacter:**

Using ACCUPROBE<sup>R</sup> CAMPYLOBACTER CULTURE IDENTIFICATION TEST kit (GEN-PROBE INCORPORATE, Sandigo, CA 92121), the tested fecal samples were initially cultivated using Campy Thioglycollate medium for 16-24 hours at 37 °C. Fifty µl from the turbid portion of the broth were added to the Prob Reagent tube and the test was run according to the kits manual for identification of 3 strains of Campylobacter (*C. jejum*, *C. coli* & *C. lari*) using the control and reference samples associated with these kits.

#### **2- Identification of Salmonella and E. coli:**

The isolated Salmonellae were serotyped according to Kauffmann-White Scheme (Kauffmann, 1972) using polyvalent and monovalent sera of Salmonella O and H sera (Wellcome Reagents Limited, England). The suspected *E. coli* colonies were biochemically identified using API 20 diagnostic strip (Bio Merieux, France) and the strains were identified serologically by commercial slide agglutination kit using polyvalent and monovalent somatic (O) and flagellar (H) antigens (Difco Laboratories USA) according to Ewing (1986).

#### **Diagnosis of Giardia and Cryptosporidium infection:**

Two Copro-ELISA test RIDASCREEN<sup>R</sup> kits (R-Biopharm AG, Landwehrstr. 54, D-64293 Darmstadt, Germany) were used for diagnosis of infection in stool and fecal samples, one for *Giardia* (A C:1 101) and the other for *Cryptosporidium* (A C: 1201) via sandwich or antigen capture (sandwich) ELISA. The kits include ELISA plate ready coated with specific Monoclonal antibody. ready for binding with the parasite antigen in the suspected fecal suspension. Required diluents, washing solution, positive control samples, conjugate, substrate and stopping solution were supplied with the kits.

#### **Sere-diagnosis of some tissue parasites:**

ELISA test was adopted for determination the anti- parasite antibodies in human and dogs' sera. The required antigens and reference anti-sera were prepared as the following:

#### **Sarcocystis antigen:**

According to Gasbarre and Fayer (1984), bradyzoites of *Sarcocystis* were extracted from macroscopic cysts of naturally infected bovine esophagus (identified as *Sarcocystis cruzi*) by crushing in 0.01M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4. After washing by centrifugation, the bradyzoites were ruptured separately in few amount of PBS by repeated freezing thawing (3 times). The contents were sonicated using "Cole parmer ultrasonic Homogenizer" under 150 watt interrupted pulse output at 50 % power cycle in ice bath. The suspension was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm at 4 °C for one hour. The supernatant was collected and dialyzed overnight in refrigerator against PBS, pH 7.2 using a dialysis membrane (6000 to 8000 molecular weight cut off), its protein content was measured by the method of Lowry *et al.*, (1951), allocated in 1ml vial and stored at -70 °C until use.

***Toxocara canis* and *Dipylidium caninum* crude antigen:**

According to Kagan *et al.*, (1958), *T.canis* worms were collected from scarified naturally infected dogs. After several washing in PBS, their anterior part were cut out, washed repeatedly then homogenized using homogenizer (ULTRA- TURRAX Janke and Kunkel KG) with (0.01 M) PBS, pH 7.4 at 6000 r.p.m. for 20 minutes in ice bath. The supernatant was separated after centrifugation (6000 rpm for 20 minutes in ice bath). The protein content was measured, then stored as before. By the same way, crude antigens were prepared from scolex of *Dipylidium caninum*.

**Fertil Hydatid cysts fluid antigen (FHCFA):**

The antigens were prepared according to Ito *et al.*, (1999) where the hydatid fluids were collected from fertile cysts of freshly slaughtered camel lungs (Cairo abattoir), and examined for their viability. The fluid of fertile cysts was clarified by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C, dialyzed against 5mM Tris-Hcl (pH 7.4) for 48 hr at 4 °C. Their protein content was measured and stored as before.

**Rabbit hyper-immune sera (RHIS)**

RHIS were raised against the previous prepared antigens according to Langley and Hillyer (1989). Six 2-month-old white New Zealand rabbits were bled for negative control sera, and then injected with the previous antigens at a concentration of 1.2 mg protein for each antigen, mixed in an equal volume of Freund's complete adjuvant, injected subcutaneously at different places in the back of rabbit. After 3 weeks, 3 consecutives injections of 0.4 mg protein antigen in equal volume of Freund's incomplete adjuvant were given intramuscularly at biweekly intervals. Rabbits were bled from the ear vein for serum

collection 10-14 days after the last injection. The collected sera were stored at -20 °C until used.

*N.B.* The previous parasite antigens and RHIS are available from previous research works see Sabry (2007) and Sabry and Waheed (2008).

#### **Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA):**

The test was done according to Voller *et al.*, (1976). Optimization of antigen concentration, conjugate, positive and negative values were determined after checkerboard titration. The ELISA plate was coated with antigen (4 ug/ well), sera tested at 1:100 dilution. Horse- radish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated rabbit anti-dogs and anti-human IgG (H&L), (P7414, Sigma), were used at 1: 2000 dilution. O.D values were measured using automatic plate reader (SLT Spectra, 812 SW 1) at 450 nm. The positive ELISA value is that equal to double of the mean value of the negative control sample according to Zimmerman *et al.*, (1985).

#### **Antimicrobial susceptibility testing:**

The disk diffusion method (Bauer *et al.*, 1966) was used for susceptibility testing. Eight drugs were routinely used to test Gram negative enteric bacteria: Gentamycin (10 ug), Ampicillin (10 ug), Amoxicilin (20 ug), Nitrofurantoin (300 ug) Streptomycin (10 ug), Kanamycin (30 ug), Tetracyclin (30 ug) and cephalothin (30ug).

## **RESULTS**

**Table 1:** Natural parasitic infection diagnosed in dog feces after collection from the field.

Diagnosed parasites	Infection in puppies (n=15)		Infection in adult dogs (n=12)	
	No. +ve	%	No. +ve	%
<i>T.canis</i>	10	66.67	-	-
<i>A.caninum</i>	-	-	5	41.67
<i>T. leonine</i>	3	20.0	6	50.0
<i>D.caninum</i>	2	13.33	8	66.67
<i>Taenia</i> spp	3	20.0	6	50.0
<i>Isospora</i> oocysts	4	26.67	-	-
<i>Giardia</i> spp	4	26.67	-	-
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> .	5	33.3	-	-
<i>Sarcocystis</i> oocyst	-	-	5	41.67

**Table 2:** Parasites diagnosed in dogs after 3 months in captivity.

Diagnosed parasites		Infection in puppies (n=15)		Infection in adult dogs (n=12)	
		No. +ve	%	No. +ve	%
From feces	<i>T.canis</i>	5	33.3	-	-
	<i>A.caninum</i>	-	-	2	16.66
	<i>T. leonina</i>	3	20.0	2	16.66
	<i>D.caninum</i>	8	53.33	8	66.66
	<i>Taenia spp</i>	4	26.67	6	50.0
	<i>Isospora</i> oocysts	8	53.33	-	-
	<i>Giardia spp</i>	8	53.33	-	-
	<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i>	2	13.33	-	-
	<i>Cryptosporidium.</i>	3	20.0	-	-
	<i>Sarcocystis</i> oocyst	-	-	2	16.66

**Table 3:** Distribution of different pathogens in the examined attendants.

Source	Pathogen types	Dog workers					Other workers							Total / %		
		1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7-10			
Parasites in stool	<i>Giardia</i>	+	+		+											3/20
	<i>Entamoeba</i>	+	+	+												3/20
	<i>Isospora</i>		+	+	+	+										4/26.6
Anti- Parasite A.b. in serum	Anti- <i>Toxocara</i>						+	+	+							3/20
	Anti- <i>Sarcocystis</i>	+				+				+	+	+				5/33.3
	Anti- Hydatid		+			+	+	+	+	+		+				7/46.6
Bacteria in stool	<i>E.coli</i>	+	+	+	+		+	+				+				7/46.6
	<i>Salmonella</i>		+	+	+											3/20
	Campylobacter	+		+												2/13.3
Remarks		D*	D	D i D	D		D D									

\* D= animal has diarrhea

**Table 4:** Different bacterial pathogens diagnosed in the examined dogs:



Examined cases		Enterotoxigenic <i>E. coli</i>			Salmonella		Campylobacter	
		No.	No. positive	%	No. positive	%	No. positive	%
Puppies	Diarrheic	7	5	71.4	4	57.1	3	42.9
	Non diarrheic	8	2	25.0	1	12.5	2	25.0
	Total	15	7	46.67	5	33.3	5	33.3
Adult Dogs	Diarrheic	5	4	80	3	60.0	2	40.0
	Non diarrheic	7	3	42.9	2	28.6	2	28.6
	Total	12	7	58.33	5	41.67	4	33.33

**Table 5:** Serological identification of the isolated Salmonella.

Source	Total isolates No.	<i>S. typhimurium</i>		<i>S. enteritidis</i>		Un-typed	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Attendants	3	2	66.67	1	33.3	-	-
Dogs	10	6	60	-	-	4	40.0
Total	13	8	61.53	1	7.7	4	30.8

**Table 6:** Serological identification of the isolated Campylobacter.

Source	Total isolates No.	<i>C. jejuni</i>		<i>C. coli</i>		Un-typed	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Attendants	2	2	100	-	-	-	-
Dogs	9	4	44.4	3	33.3	2	22.2
Total	11	6	54.54	3	27.27	2	18.18

**Table 7:** Serological identification of the isolated Enteropathogenic *E. coli*.

Source of isolates	Total isolates No.	O 26		O 76		O 55		O 5		O 111		untyped	
		No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
Attendants	7	2	28.57	2	28.57	1	14.28	-	-	-	-	2	28.57
Dogs	14	3	21.43	1	7.14	3	21.43	2	14.28	3	21.43	2	14.28
Total	21	5	23.80	3	14.28	4	19.04	2	9.52	3	14.28	4	19.04

**Table 8:** Antibiotic sensitivity of the isolated bacteria from human and dogs.

Antibiotic disc	<i>E. coli</i> (21 isolates)		Campylobacter (11 isolates)		Salmonella (13 isolates)	
	No. +Ve	%	No. +Ve	%	No. +Ve	%
Gentamycin (10 ug)	19	90.48	10	90.9	12	92.37
Tetracyclin (30 ug)	18	85.71	10	90.9	12	92.37
Ampicillin (10 ug)	18	85.71	9	81.81	12	92.31
Amoxicilin (20 ug),	17	80.95	9	81.81	11	84.61
Nitrofurantoin (300 ug)	15	71.43	8	72.72	10	76.92
Kanamycin (30 ug)	17	80.95	9	81.81	10	76.92
Cephalothin (30 ug)	15	71.43	8	72.72	10	76.92
Streptomycin (10 ug)	15	71.43	8	72.72	10	76.92

## DISCUSSION

Zoonotic organisms such as viruses, bacteria or parasites can possess the potential role to cause severe diseases in both humans and animals. Free-ranging animals with sporadic or indirect contact to domestic livestock and humans may serve as reservoirs or sentinels for diseases Kemper *et al.*, (2006). So that continuous contact between diseased or carrier dogs and their workers under non proper hygienic measures initiate development of endemic foci for spreading of different pathogens, specially zoonotic one of direct life cycle, (Pullola *et al.*, 2006).

Groups of natural parasite infected stray dogs and puppies were selected aiming to test the efficacy of anti-nematodal drugs. They remained under observation for eight weeks post treatment where their fecal samples were examined weekly. At the end of this period animals were left for another four weeks without examination waiting for another drug trail. At this time dogs' attendants were complained with variable degrees of weakness, diarrhea, abdominal pain and fever. These observations incriminate the captive dogs as a potential source for this problem. The present study investigates the different types of parasites and bacteria diagnosed in the captive dogs and their direct and some of remote contact attendants as detailed describe in this work. Parasitological investigation of these dogs evidenced infection by nine parasites include, 3 nematodes as *T. canis* in puppies (66.6%), *A. caninum* in adults (41.66%) and *T. leonina* in both of them (20% and 50% respectively). In addition two cestodes include, *D. caninum* (13.33% and 66.6%) and *Taenia* spp. eggs (20% and 50%) in puppies and adults respectively. Moreover, four protozoan parasites include,

*Isospora*, *Cryptosporidium* and *Sarcocystis* spp. oocysts as well as *Giardia* cysts were detected as demonstrated in Table (1). The previously diagnosed parasites considered to be common diagnosed in stray dogs and most of them pose a significant threat to human health. This was agreed with several authors all over the world (Eguia-Aguilar *et al.*, 2005, Pullola *et al.*, 2006; Martinez-Moreno *et al.*, 2007).

Re-examination of these dogs' feces, at time of attendant illness, evidenced re-appearance of new nematodal infection which included *T. canis* 33.3% in puppies, *A. caninum*, 16.66% in adults and *T. leonina* 20% and 16.66 % in puppies and adults respectively. There is a relatively increase in previously recorded cestodal infection in puppies than before. Meanwhile, the recorded incidences of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and *Sarcocystis* species oocysts decrease. On the other hand marked increase was recorded in the incidence of infection by *Isospora* and *Giardia* than before. An interesting finding at this time is appearance of new infection by *E. histolytica* (13.33%) in puppies as in Table (2). The increase in incidence of *D. caninum*, may be due to indirect transmission of this parasite via fleas of wild cats and rodents that easily gain access to dogs boxes (Kemper *et al.*, 2006). While increase in *Taenia* species in puppies explains development of new worms till shedding of gravid segments. The increase in the rate of infection by *Giardia*, and *Isospora*, may be due to presence of parasite infective stages whereas contamination of the environment through fecal shedding around these animals. Moreover, transmission of these parasites can occur directly from a reservoir to the susceptible animal or human being (Solusby 1986). Reappearance of *A. caninum* & *T. canis* may be due to development of some migrating larvae success to escape from the applied treatment. The decrease in the recorded *Sarcocystis* and *Cryptosporidium* oocysts, was related to the nature of these parasites life cycles, as they shed early as an acute form then the animal keep the infection and still as carrier after this, (Levine *et al.*, 1980).

In order to follow-up the condition in attendants, stool examination of closely contact attendants (5 persons) and remote contact (10 persons) as in Table (3), revealed infection by three protozoon parasites include *Giardia*, *E. histolytica* (20%) and *Isospora* oocysts (26.6%). All of these parasites were diagnosed in workers that closely contacts to dogs and complained with digestive disturbances and diarrhea. These parasites are previously described that they have zoonotic importance (Acha and Szyfres 1991).

Although *E.histolytica* infection was not diagnosed in first feces examination of captive dogs, it was recorded in attendants after three months contact with dogs. This finding suggests that this parasite transmitted from the attendants to dogs. On the contrary, *Giardia* and *IsoSpora* infection were recorded only in workers that are in close contact to dogs. It was thought that dogs are the main source of attendants' infection with these parasites as they were previously diagnosed in it and no diarrhea problem in workers at this time. This was agreed with Acha and Szyfres (1991) as dog, cat and man sharing each other as final hosts for *E. histolytica*, *Giardia* and *IsoSpora* with high degree of adaptation between the three species.

On the other hand, examination to sera of these attendants using ELISA revealed presence of antibodies (Ab) against *T.canis* (20.0%), *Sarcocystis* (33.3%) and *Hydatid* cysts (46.6%), table (3). Presence of anti-parasite IgG antibodies in sera means chronic infection (Sadjjadi, 2007) this may reflect infection from the present dogs as well as due to old infection attributed to their occupation.

With respect to detected anti-*Toxocara* and anti-hydatid cysts antibodies in sera of examined workers, the captive dogs under test condition were not responsible for this infection, whereas, *Echinococcus granulosus* infection wasn't diagnosed in them. In addition, the diagnosed anti-*Toxocara* antibodies were detected in workers that not in closed contact with these dogs, so they may be took this infection from other dogs during previous exposure. It worthy to mention that examined dogs could or could not considered responsible for the detecting anti-*Sarcocystis* antibodies in sera of examined workers. This due to presence of infection by *Sarcocystis* oocysts in feces of dogs, while the antibodies diagnosed in closed contact as well as the other workers.

At the aspect of enteric bacteria associated with diarrhea and cause illness in the contact workers, bacteriological examination of workers fecal samples (Table 3) revealed isolation of *Enteroinvasive E. coli* (EIEC) from 7/15 human (46.6%), *Campylobacter* from 2/15 (13.3%) and *Salmonella* from 3/15 (20.0%). It is worthy to mention that *E. coli* infection was associated with diarrhea in four of direct contact workers and only two of other ten non contact workers. Meanwhile, *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* infection were recorded in diarrheic close contact workers only.

Our findings confirm that the diagnosed symptoms in morbid cases considered due to infection by these bacteria (*Salmonella*, *Campylobacter* and EIEC). This was agreed with (Batt 2002) as he

mentioned that these pathogens have been clearly associated with acute and chronic disease, typically causing diarrhea combined with tenesmus, vomiting, in-appetence, malaise, lethargy and abdominal pain are more variably encountered.

On the other side investigation of captive dogs by the same way (Table 4), evidenced infection by *E. coli*, 46.66 % and 58.33 % in puppies and adult dogs respectively. Infection by Salmonella was 33.3 % and 41.66 % in both groups respectively and finally infection by Campylobacter was 33.3 % in both groups of dogs. Infection by these bacteria associated with diarrhea in both dogs and puppies. These results are in agreement with (Hall and Simpson, 2000) as Salmonella sp., Campylobacter sp and EIEC are the main enteropathogens that are most commonly identified in dogs. Moreover, Hart (1993) demonstrated that there is relatively little information about the prevalence of pathogenic *E. coli* in dogs, but there is good evidence that they may play a role in the pathogenesis for both acute and chronic diarrhea. While Campylobacter species causing diarrhea in dogs, cats, and humans especially in young. Adult animals commonly have Campylobacter organisms living in their intestines but they do not experience any sickness due to it. Dogs can infect people whether they have diarrhea or not (Brook 2006).

It has been estimated that the isolation of the same pathogens from human demonstrates that they are mainly originated from these dogs. This was agreed with Hall (2004) as dogs act as hosts to numerous intestinal bacteria (especially what mentioned above). This creates risks for zoonotic pathogens transmission to human through direct contact and environmental contamination.

Concerning serotyping of the diagnosed isolates, number of 13 *Salmonella* isolates sub-typed as, *S. typhimurium* (8), *S. enteritides* (1) in human and untyped isolates (4) from dogs, (Table 5). Eleven isolates of *Campylobacter* as *C. jejuni* (6), *C. coli* (3) and two untyped species, (Table 6). Moreover that, twenty one *E. coli* isolates were affiliated to five sero-groups as O26 (5), O76 (3), O55 (4), O99 (2), O111 (3) and four un-typable isolates, (Table 7).

*S. typhimurium* and *S. enteritides* are known as more common in animals as well as causing human food poisoning. Most human cases of Salmonella infection cause fever, diarrhea, and cramping that go away on their own. The disease is more severe in children, puppies and kittens, (Brook, 2006). Likewise, *C. jejuni* is a major cause of infectious enteritis in human (Abdel-Aty and Rabie 2003) and exposure to a dog

with diarrhea triples a person's risk for developing enteritis from *C.jejuni* or *C. coli* (Brook, 2006). Moreover, dogs act as carriers for many enteropathogens, and the development of clinical disease may involve environmental factors such as stress and an innate inability to mount an effective mucosal immune response. Active carriers tend to shed organisms continuously or intermittently, while latent carriers shed only when under stress (Batt, 2002).

In the same time, persistence of infection around dogs was affected by survival time of each pathogen. *Campylobacter* can survive for days in surface water and as long as 4 weeks in feces; in addition, the duration of excretion in infected dogs can be as long as 4 months. (Hall, 2000). *Salmonella* organisms are very difficult to remove from the environment, it easily to survive for 3 months in aquatic environments and in soil, shedding may continue for 3 to 6 weeks, and can be reactivated at a later date by any inter-current stress, (Brook, 2006).

In order to identify specific antibiotic for the different isolates, anti-biotic sensitivity test was performed as described in Table (8). The tested isolates showing sensitivity for each of Gentamycine (10 ug) and Tetracycline (30 ug) enriched disks. The study advised to treat the morbid animal cases by Gentamycine 5mg/Kg.B.W. orally for 5 consecutive days (Schering-Plough Animal Health USA) with intravenous fluid therapy especially for puppies. According to Thompson and Roberts (2001) treatment of animals is considered to be one of the fastest ways for eradication of different pathogens.

It has been estimated that captivity condition act as stress factor depress the host resistance and increase their susceptibility to infection and spread new pathogens. In the Authors opinion, captivating of dogs under condition of the present study initiate development of endemic foci, as the infective stages were disseminated from infected dogs, in suitable conditions of dust, shade and moisture, these led to its propagation and persistence in the nature especially in and around the animal boxes so do potential zoonotic risks.

The study concluded that, captive dogs waiting for experimental studies must be thoroughly examined for all suspected pathogens, kept under strict hygienic measures under continuous observation along they remained in contact to human and didn't feed raw food. Animal workers must be educated about transmission of different diseases. Moreover they must be trained about how can they protect themselves from infection? In animal boxes, cleaning and disinfecting methods must be regularly applied inside and outside these boxes and completely

separated from the surrounding area as well as it should be protect from rodents and vectors.

However, viral infection diagnosed in diarrheic workers and dogs, detailed description to the adopted way of treatment and important recommendation concerning minimize spreads of infection from these poxes well be demonstrated in another separate paper.

## REFERENCES

- Acha, S. and Szyfres, F. (1991): Zoonoses and Communicable Diseases Common to Man and Animals (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). Pan American Sanitary burea, 525 Twenty third street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037, USA.*
- Abdel -Aty, E.A. and Rabie, N.S. (2003): Immunogenic properties of outer membrane protein of C. jejuniin in chicks. Vet. Med. J. Giza: 51(1), 95-104*
- Batt, R.M. (2002): Bacterial enteropathogens in dogs. Masterfoods, Mars Inc. WSAVA,*
- Bauer, A.W.; Kirby, W.M.; Sherris, J.C. and Turck, M. (1966): Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disc method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 45: 493-496.*
- Brook, W.C. (2006): Campylobacter, Salmonella, and E. Coli: Causes of Diarrhea in Page 10.*
- Egulia-Aguilar, P.; Cruz-Reyes, A. and Martinez-Maya, J.J. (2005): Ecological analysis and description of the intestinal helminthes present in dogs in Mexico City. Vet. Parasitol., 127 (2): 139 - 146.*
- Ewing, W.H. (1986): The genus Eschereichia, P 93-134. In Ewing W.H, and Edward P.R. Identification of Enterobacteriaceae, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Elsevier Science, New York.*
- Fontanarrosa, M.F.; Vezzani, D.; Basabe, J. and Eiras (2006): An epidemiological study of gastrointestinal parasitesof dogs from southern Greater Buenos Aires (Argentina): Age, gender, breed, mixed infections, seasonal and spatial patterns. Vet. Parasito. 136: 283-295.*
- Gasbarret, L.C. and Fayer, R. (1984): Humeral cellular immune responses in cattle and sheep inoculate with sarcocystis. Am. J. Vet. Res., 45(1): 1592-1596.*
- Greene, C.E. (2006): Enteric Bacterial Infections, in Greene CE (ed), Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat, 339-369.*

- Habluetzel, A.; Traldi, G.; Ruggieri, S.; Attili, A.R.; Scuppa, P.; Marchetti, R. Menghini, G. and Esposito, F. (2003): An estimation of *Toxocara canis* prevalence in dogs, environmental eggs contamination and risk of human infection in the Marche region of Italy. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 113: 243 - 252.
- Hall, E.G. (2004): Bacterial Enteropathogens in dogs. Bristol University, Langford House, Langford Bristol, UK, WSAVA congress.
- Hall, E.G. and Simpson, K.W. (2000): Diseases of the small intestine. Text book of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 5<sup>th</sup> Ed, WB Saunders, Philadelphia, pp 1182-1238.
- Hart, C.A.; Batt, R.M. and Saunders, J.R. (1993): Diarrhoea caused by *Escherichia coli*, *Ann. Trop Paediat*, 13, 121-131.
- Ito, A.; Ma, L.; Schantz, P.M.; Gottstein, B.; Liu, Y.H.; Chai, J.J.; Abdel-Hafez, S.K.; Altintas, N.; Joshi, D.D.; Lightowers, M. and Pawlowski, Z.S. (1999): Differential serodiagnosis for cystic and alveolar echinococcosis using fractions of *Echinococcus granulosus* cyst fluid (antigen B) and *E. multilocularis* protoscolex (EM18). *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*: 60 (2): 188-92.
- Kagan, I.G.; Jeska, E.L. and Gentzkow, C.J. (1958): Serum agar double diffusion studies with *Ascaris* antigens: Assay of whole worm and tissue antigen complexes. *J. Immunol*, 80, 400-406.
- Kauffmann, F. (1972): Serological diagnosis of *Salmonella* species, Kauffmann- white scheme, Munksgaard, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Koneman, E.W.; Steven, D.A.; Dowell, V.R.; William, M.G. and Washington, C.W. (1992): Diagnostic Microbiology, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed, J.B. Lippincott Co. Philadelphia, USA.
- Kemper, N.; Aschfalk, A. and Holler, C. (2006): *Campylobacter* spp., *Enterococcus* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia* spp., and *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in semi-domesticated reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus*) in Northern Finland and Norway *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, 48:7doi:10.1186/1751-0147-48-7.
- Langley, R.J.; Hillyer, G.V. (1989): Detection of circulating parasite antigen in Murine fascioliasis by two-site enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 41, 472-478.



- Levine, N.D.; Corliss, J.O.; Cox, E.E.; Varava, J. and Wallace, F.G. (1980):* A newly revised classification of the protozoa. *J. of Protozoology*, 27: 37-58.
- Lowry, O.H.; Rosenbrough, N.J.; Farr, A.L. and Randall, R. (1951):* Protein measurement with the phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chemis.*, 193: 265-275.
- Martinez-Moreno, F.J.; Hernandez, S.; Lopez-Cobos, E.; Becerra, C.; Acosta, and Martinez-Moreno, A. (2007):* Estimation of canine intestinal parasites in Cordoba (Spain) and their risk to public health. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 143 (I): 7 - 13.
- Pullola, T.; Viermaa, J.; Saari, S.; Virtala, A. M.; Nikander, S. and Sukura, A. (2006):* Canine intestinal helminthes in Finland: Prevalence, risk factors and endoparasite control practices. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 140 (3-4): 321-326.
- Quinn, P.J.; Carter, M.E.; Markey, B. and Carter, G.R. (1994):* Clinical Veterinary Microbiology. Mosby, U.K.
- Sadjjadi, S.M., Abid, H, Sarkani, B., Izadpanah , A., Kazemina, S., (2007):* Evaluatuin of ELISA, utilizig native antigen B for Serodiagnosis of human hydatidosis. *Iran. J. Immunol.* 4, (3), 167-169.
- Sabry, M.A. (2007):* Advanced concepts in diagnosis of hydatidosis in human and living animals. *J. Bio. Sci.* 7 (5): 720-728.
- Sabry, M.A. and Reda W.W. (2008):* Infection by cyst producing protozoa among human and food producing animals in Egypt. *J. Biol. Sci.* 8 (5): 889-895.
- Soulsby, E.J. (1986):* Helminthes, Arthropoda and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Bailliere Tindal & Casell Ltd. London.
- Thompson, A.R. and Roberts, M.G. (2001):* Does pet helminth prophylaxis increase the rate of selection of drug resistance?. *Trends in Parasitol.*, 17 (12): 576 - 578.
- Voller, A.; Bidwell, D. and Bartlett, A. (1976):* Enzyme immunoassays in diagnostic medicine. Theory and Practice. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 53: 55-65.
- Zimmerman, G.; Nelson, M.J. and dark, G.R.B. (1985):* Diagnosis of ovine fascioliasis by a dot enzyme-linked inimusorbent assay. A rapid microdiagnostic technique. *Atn. J. Vet. Res.*, 46: 15 13-1515.