Assiut University web-site: <u>www.aun.edu.eg</u>

## HIGHLIGHT ON THE INFLUENCE OF LACTIC ACID AND OZONIZED WATER ON THE SHELF LIFE OF CHICKEN FILLET

### REHAM M. ABDEL- WAHHAB<sup>1</sup>; RANIA A. ABDEL KADER <sup>2</sup>; ELHAM F. EL-NAGGAR<sup>1</sup>, SOAD H. EL- SHEIKH <sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Researcher of Meat Hygiene, Animal Health Research Institute (AHRI) - Zagazig Branch, (Food Hygiene Unit), Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Egypt P.O.44516.
 <sup>2</sup> Researcher of Milk Hygiene . Animal Health Research Institute (AHRI)-Zagazig Branch, (Food

Hygiene Unit), Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Egypt P.O.44516.

Received: 13 February 2023; Accepted: 10 March 2024

### ABSTRACT

The study was supposed to detect the impact of three decontaminators (ozonized water, lactic acid and their blend of them) in improving organoleptic characteristics, chemical and bacteriological quality of poultry meat. Chicken fillet samples were collected from Zagazig city, Egypt. and divided into three groups, Group1: To assess the impact of additives on sensory criteria, chemical quality indices (pH, Thiobarbituric acid, Total Volatile Basic-Nitrogen) and APC of the examined samples with reference to their the shelf life, Group 2: For assessment the additives effectiveness on Enterobacteriacae counts, Group 3: to evaluate the influence of additives on Staphylococcus aureus artificially inoculated in chicken fillet meat samples, each group was divided into 4 subgroups (control, ozonized water 0.38%, lactic acid 1% and blend of both), the data cleared that the immersion of samples in the blend of ozonized water with lactic acid can prolong the shelf life of chicken fillet during chilled storage at 4 °C ±1 with keeping its sensory characteristics and chemical parameters meanwhile a combination of ozonized water and lactic acid can protract the shelf life of chicken fillet by additional 48 hours. Concerning to the antimicrobial efficacy of the different trials, it's interesting to note that the combined uses of ozonized water -lactic acid have greatly a noticeable decrease in aerobic plate count, Enterobacteriacae count and S. aureus count, followed by ozonized water, finally lactic acid. So it's recommended that using ozonized water-lactic acid blend in poultry meat.

Keywords: Ozonized water, lactic acid, Enterobacteriacae, S.aureus.

Corresponding author: Soad H. El- Sheikh

E-mail address: Soad.elshiekh@gmail.com.

*Present address:* Researcher of Meat Hygiene, Food Hygiene Department, Zagazig Branch, Animal Health Research Institute (AHRI), Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Egypt P.O.44516.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

An outstanding nutritional profile is a characteristic of chicken meat as it is vital to include in a diet plan for people of all ages because it is low in fat and nearly entirely composed of unsaturated fatty acids, as well as high biological value protein, vitamins, and minerals (Marangoni et al., 2015) but, as soon as the fresh meat processing process starts, contamination occurs. Usually, the animal is the source of pollution or its external surroundings, as contamination occurs first on the external surface of the meat. and because of the extended production, packing, and transportation chain, meat provides the excellent medium for microbial growth (Capita et al., 2001). The most dangerous contaminant is the bacteria such as Staphylococcus that can be present in most meals for example red meat, chicken and their products which are directly manipulated by humans (Kitai et al., 2005). In addition of producing virulent heat-stable toxins that cause toxic shock syndrome, S. a particularly dangerous aureus is microorganism that can cause hospital infections and food poisoning (Kérouanton et al., 2007). S. aureus is the most familiar reason of food-borne human sickness worldwide (Do Carmo et al., 2004). Enterobacteriaceae are of the most bacteria isolated from chicken meat. The Enterobacteriaceae family is sub grouped into 8 tribes including: Escherichie spp, Edwardsielle Salmonelle spp, spp, Citrobactere spp, Klebsielle spp, Protee spp, Yersine spp, and Erwine spp (Ardrey et al., 1968)

Consequently, many consumers have expressed a desire for higher-quality chicken products with a high dietetic value, harmless food additives, prolonged shelf lives, and most importantly, no pathogenic microorganisms. (Sarron *et al.*, 2021).

One of the food improvement techniques able to deactivate bacteria is by ozonation method. Odor control, color removal, organic compound decomposition, and air and water disinfection have all been achieved with ozone. Ozonized water is very hazardous to bacteria due to its great oxidation potential (Qingshi *et al.*, 1989). Furthermore, ozonized water had no adverse effects on the organoleptic criteria of chicken products (Mancini and Hunt, 2005). Ozonized water was accepted by the USDA as an appropriate and safe component for use in preparation of meat and poultry (United States Department of Agriculture, 2002).

Lactic acid is an organic acid with confirmed efficiency as a decontaminant in many categories of food. It can prolong the shelf life of chicken while it is refrigerated by slowing the growth of bacteria that cause spoiling, preventing the production of unwanted compounds, and enhancing sensory qualities (Smaoui *et al.*, 2012). The maximum initial reduction in aerobic mesophilic and psycrophilic bacteria in chicken breast was achieved by lactic acid 3% (Cosansu *et al.*, 2011).

Between the effective treatment practices is the mixing of organic acid solutions and another antibacterial agent that have been tested on the chicken products and showed variable results. Additions of LA to ozonized water enhance its decontamination power (Megahed *et al.*, 2020). So, the current study was aimed to explore the effect of ozonized water 0.38%, lactic acid 1% and their blend on sensory characteristics, biochemical profile, shelf life and microbial load of chicken fillet as a raw material of most chicken products.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

#### **1-** Preparation of chicken fillet samples:

Chicken fillets were collected from the city market in Zagazig, handled under aseptic conditions and transported an ice box container without delay to microbiology laboratory at Animal Health Research Institute, Zagazig Lab., for more examination. Aerobic Plate Count, *Enterobacteriacae* and *S. aureus* count was were detected according to APHA (2001) and ISO 21528-2, 2004 and FDA (2001) in a preliminary, unreported trial to obtain the samples of choice for further treatment trials with different decontaminators, i.e. samples with a known total bacterial and *enterobacteriacae* count and *S.aureus* free samples.

#### 2- Preparation of decontaminators:

**2.1**. Lactic acid: Lactic acid prepared in a concentration of 1%

**2.2.** Ozonized water: Ozonized water was prepared by ozonating tap water with an ozone generator and used immediately after the wanted concentration was obtained (0.38). (Karamah and Wajdi, 2018)

**2.3.** Ozonized water 0.38- lactic acid 1% blend (1:1)

# **3- Preparation** of *S. aureus* culture suspension:

ATCCC6538<sup>TM</sup> Staphylococcus aureus obtained from Animal Health Research Institute were refreshed on Baird Parker media with Egg yolk-Tellurite emulsion, incubated at 37°C for 24h, the colonies were picked up and inoculated into Brain Heart Infusion broth until the turbidity was adjusted to match a 0.5 McFarland standard tube (1.5 x  $10^8$  CFU) and incubated at 37 °C for 24h, Then centrifugation for 15 minutes at 3000 rpm to obtain a pellet of bacterial cells, then washing twice in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and diluted to 1.0 x 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ ml in PBS for inoculating of the samples Saad et al. (2015).

#### **Design of the experiment:**

Three groups of chicken fillet samples were subjected to the following treatment

**Group 1:** For evaluation of the effectiveness of additives on sensory criteria, chemical quality indices (pH, TBA and TVB-N) and APC of the examined samples, the analysis were done initially after 1 hr. (1<sup>st</sup> day) then day after day until decomposition take occur.

**Group 2:** For evaluation of the effectiveness of additives on *Enterobacteriacae* count in treated and control samples

**Group 3:** For evaluation of the effectiveness on *S. aureus* count artificially inoculated in chicken fillet samples after 30, 60 and 120 minutes of dipping in decontaminators.

Each group was subdivided into four subgroups (control, lactic acid 1%, ozonized water 0.38% and ozonized water 0.38- lactic acid 1% blend (1:1), 3 trials for each).

The control and treated groups were chilled at 4  $^{\circ}C \pm 1$  with interval period (1-9 days) were subjected to further sensory, biochemical and bacteriological analysis

#### **4-Sensory evaluation:**

The coded samples were organoleptically examined in the period of refrigerated storage for color, odor, texture and overall acceptability by panel consisting of 10 members from the Animal Health Research Institute. The panelists used a 9-rating scale for their scores as described by Pearson and Tauber (1984).

## **5- Chemical quality parameters: 5.1- pH** (Pearson, 2006):

Approximately, 10 grams of the sample and 10 ml of neutralized distilled water were mixed in a blender. After 10 minutes of continuous shaking at room temperature, the homogenate was allowed to settle. Then use an electrical pH meter and record results.

# 5.2- Determination of Total Volatile Basic Nitrogen (TVB-N):

According to Food and Agriculture Organization "FAO"(1980).

### 5.3- Determination of Thiobarbituric Acid Number (TBA):

According to Pikul *et al.* (1989).

### 6- Bacteriological evaluation:

# Preparation of samples: ISO 6887-3 (2017):

From control and treated groups, 10 g test portion was taken under aseptically, transferred into a sterile 90 ml peptone water 0.1% (Merck) to obtain a dilution  $10^{-1}$ , a millimeter from this suspension  $(10^{-1})$  was transferred with a sterile pipette to a tube of sterile peptone water 0.1 % (9 ml) to obtain a dilution of  $(10^{-2})$ , repeat this step for more serial dilutions.

### Enumeration and isolation procedure:

## 1- Aerobic plate count (APC): APHA (2001):

Aseptically, 0.1 ml of each dilution was spread onto the surfaces of two sets of plate count agar solid media in petri dishes that had already been labeled. After letting the medium absorb the inoculum, invert the plates and incubate them for 48 hours at  $35 \pm 2$  °C. The duplicate plate average count was counted, and the APC/g was calculated.

# 2- Enumeration of *S. aureus* (BAM, FDA, Chapter 12):

Pouring of 1 ml of the prepared dilution into plates containing the specific media (Baird Parker - Egg yolk-Tellurite emulsion) incubated at 35 -37 °C for 48 hours. To detect the count of *S. aureus* per gram, distinctive black colonies with a zone of clearing and a narrow white margin surrounding them were counted.

# 3-Enumeration of Enterobacteriacae (ISO 21528-2, 2004)

Using poured-plate technique 1 ml of the initial suspension is inoculated in two Petri dishes then pouring of Violet red bile glucose agar (44 °C to 47 °C). After solidification a layer of the same medium is added and allows solidifying. The dishes are incubated at 37 °C for 24 h  $\pm$  2 h. Confirmation Subculture of colonies of presumptive *Enterobacteriaceae* on non-selective medium, and confirmation by means of tests for fermentation of glucose and presence of oxidase.

### 7- Statistical analysis

The recorded results were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and comparative of means were performed according to Duncan, using SPSS ver. 14 (2006). All bacterial counts were changed to  $\log_{10}$  (log CFU/g). Results were recorded as mean  $\pm$  standard errors (SE). The value of P<0.05 was used to indicate statistical significance.

### RESULTS

**Table 1**: Sensory evaluation of treated and untreated chicken fillet samples.

Keeping quality	Fit for human utilization	Border line	Decomposed
<b>Control samples (Untreated)</b>	0-5 <sup>th</sup> day	$5^{\text{th}} - 6^{\text{th}} \text{day}$	7 <sup>th</sup> day
Samples treated ozonized water	$0-6^{th}$ day	$6^{th} - 7^{th} day$	8 <sup>th</sup> day
Samples treated with lactic acid	0-9 <sup>th</sup> day	$9^{\text{th}}$ - $10^{\text{th}}$ day	11 <sup>th</sup> day
Treated samples with ozonized water - lactic acid blend	$0-9^{th}$ day	$9-10^{th}day$	11 <sup>th</sup> day

Treated groups Durations	Control	ozonized water	Lactic acid 1%	Ozonized water - lactic acid blend
1 <sup>st</sup> day	$\textbf{5.58}{\pm}~0.03^{a}$	$5.87\pm0.04^{a}$	$5.65 \pm 0.02^{b}$	$5.71 \pm 0.02^{b}$
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	$\textbf{6.13}{\pm}~0.029^{a}$	$\textbf{5.90}{\pm}~0.01^{b}$	$5.90 \pm 0.01^{b}$	$5.91 \pm 0.04^{b}$
5 <sup>th</sup> day	6.61 ±0.024 <sup>a</sup>	$6.18\pm0.015^{b}$	6.02±0.001°	$6.14 \pm 0.014^{bc}$
7 <sup>th</sup> day	-	$6.59 \pm 0.07$	$6.18 \pm 0.02$	$6.80 \pm 0.06$
9 <sup>th</sup> day	-	_	$6.77 \pm 0.014$	7.08 ±0.03

Table 2: The mean pH values in examined treated and untreated chicken fillet samples.

Means inside the same column with different superscripts are different significantly at (p< 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple comparisons.

Table 3: The mean TVB-N values in exa	mined treated and untreated chicken fillet samples.
---------------------------------------	---

Treated groups Durations	Control	ozonized water	Lactic acid 1%	Ozonized water - lactic acid blend
1 <sup>st</sup> day	<b>6.56</b> ± 0.17	$6.84\pm0.017$	$6.39 \pm 0.009$	$6.69 \pm 0.04$
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	$12.85 \pm 0.23^{a}$	$\textbf{8.85}{\pm}~0.19^{b}$	7.85 ±0.09 <sup>c</sup>	7.80 ±0.1 <sup>c</sup>
5 <sup>th</sup> day	$21.30 \pm 0.36^a$	$14.91 \pm 0.62^{b}$	$14.10 \pm 0.23^{\text{b}}$	$13.69\pm0.43^{b}$
7 <sup>th</sup> day	-	$22.26 \pm 0.49$	$17.14 \pm 0.08$	19.17 ±0.17
9 <sup>th</sup> day			20.41 ±0.33	21.63 ±0.31

Means inside the same column with different superscripts are different significantly at (p< 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple comparisons.

Treated groups Durations			Lactic acid	Ozonized water - lactic
	Control	ozonized water	1%	acid blend
1 <sup>st</sup> day	<b>0.087</b> ± 0.01	$0.09 \pm 0.01$	0.074 ±0.003	0.081±0.004
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	<b>0.55</b> ±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	$0.48 \pm 0.03^{b}$	0.35 ±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.33±0.02 <sup>c</sup>
5 <sup>th</sup> day	1.03 ±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	$0.82 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.67±0.01°	$0.62 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$
7 <sup>th</sup> day	-	1.19 ±0.12	0.91 ±0.01	0.90±0.02
9 <sup>th</sup> day			$1.20 \pm 0.08$	1.13±0.06

Means inside the same column with different superscripts are different significantly at (p< 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple comparisons.

**Table 5:** The mean APC $\pm$ S.E (Log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g) of untreated and treated groups of chicken fillet samples.

Treated groups Durations	Control	ozonized water	Lactic acid 1%	Ozonized water - lactic acid blend
1 <sup>st</sup> day	$5.7\pm~0.9^a$	$4.22\pm0.15^{\text{b}}$	$4.12 \pm 0.11^{bc}$	3.83 ±0.07 <sup>c</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	$6.8 \pm 0.11^{a}$	$2.9 \pm 0.18^{\circ}$	$3.50 \pm 0.10^{b}$	$2.40\pm0.04^d$
5 <sup>th</sup> day	$7.7 \pm 0.05^{a}$	$4.93\pm0.07^{b}$	4.33±0.11 <sup>c</sup>	$3.24 \pm 0.11^{d}$
7 <sup>th</sup> day	R	$6.02\pm0.10$	$5.56\pm0.27$	4.92 ±0.25
9 <sup>th</sup> day	R	R	$7.02\pm0.05$	6.88±0.17

Means inside the same column with different superscripts are different significantly at (p < 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple comparisons. R: Rejected samples.

**Table 6:** The mean counts  $\pm$ S.E. (log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g) of *Enterobacteriacae* in control untreated and treated chicken fillet samples (n = 3 for each group).

Treated groups Durations	Control	ozonized water	Lactic acid	Ozonized water - lactic acid blend
0.5 hour		$3.23 \pm 0.12^{\text{ b}}$	$3.09 \pm 0.12^{b}$	3.18±0.13 <sup>b</sup>
(Log reduction)		(0.73)	(0.87)	(0.78)
One hour	_	$3.05 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$2.83 \pm 0.09^{bc}$	2.76 ±0.13 <sup>c</sup>
(Log reduction)	$3.96 \pm 0.05^{a}$	(0.91)	(1.13)	(1.2)
2 hours		$2.95 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$2.77 \pm 0.11^{b}$	2.57 ±0.13 <sup>c</sup>
(Log reduction)		(1.01)	(1.19)	(1.22)
3.6 ' ' 1 /1	1 .1 1	CC , · · .	1.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Means inside the same column with different superscripts are different significantly at (p < 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple comparisons.

**Table 7:** The mean counts  $\pm$ S.E. (log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g) of the inoculated *S. aureus* in control untreated and treated chicken fillet samples (n = 3 for each group).

Treated groups Durations	Control	ozonized water	Lactic acid1%	Ozonized water - lactic acid blend
0.5 hour		$5.29\pm0.20^{b}$	4.95 ±0.05 <sup>c</sup>	$4.82 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$
(Log reduction)		(0.82)	(1.16)	(1.29)
One hour	_	$4.49 \pm 0.12$ <sup>b</sup>	4.30±0.17 <sup>b</sup>	4.13 ±0.04 <sup>b</sup>
(Log reduction)	$6.11 \pm 0.10^{a}$	(1.62)	(1.81)	(1.98)
2 hours	_	$3.96\pm0.03^{b}$	$3.92 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$3.47 \pm 0.23^{\circ}$
(Log reduction)		(2.15)	(2.19)	(2.64)

Means inside the same column with different superscripts are different significantly at (p < 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple comparisons.

#### DISCUSSION

Shelf life, which includes appearance, texture, flavor, color, and nutritional value, is the amount of time that passes between a product's packaging and consumption during which the product properties stay acceptable to the user of product (Singh and Singh 2005). The meat and meat products shelf life's depends on the level of its microbial contamination. Thus, food microbiologists and technologists had critical objectives, including raising the keeping quality of meat and reducing or getting rid of food-borne infections and spoiling agents (Okolocha and Ellerbroek 2005).

Sensory evaluation of chicken fillet of refrigerated through the period storage was cleared in table 1 and showed that sensory characteristics were different methods affected bv of treatments like lactic acid and a blend of ozonized water and lactic acid, these were proven to be highly effective in delaying sensory changes in refrigerated chicken fillet and its shelf life extending and decomposed to 9<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> day respectively, meanwhile ozonized water alone extend the shelf life one day more samples. The than control sensory changes were attributed to proteolysis and lipid oxidation in untreated samples (control) that were more obvious in time than shorter those in treated samples due to progressive growth of microbial load, this specific spoilage fault is a result of an accumulation of extremely high numbers of microbial cells instead of being produced by any specific metabolic activity of the These results similar microorganisms. with Moghassem Hamidi et al. (2021) who found that chicken meat stored in a refrigerator had improved sensorv properties due to the using of neutral electrolyzed water.

Regarding to the results cleared in the table 2 the initial pH values were almost identical in each group. The values of pН diminished immediately after treatment with lactic acid and lactic acid - ozonized water mixture, in comparison to control samples, similar to our findings Aktas et al., 2003) declared that the lactic acid addition to the meat systems resulted in diminish the pH initial values. The pH mean values On the 3<sup>rd</sup> day increased gradually within cold storage at  $4 \pm 1^{\circ}C$ ; however it remain acceptable, at the 5<sup>th</sup> day the untreated control samples had pH value  $(6.61\pm0.024)$  higher than treated groups (6.18±0.015,  $6.02 \pm 0.01$ , 6.07±0.015, 6.14±0.014) ozonized water, Lactic acid and ozonized water - lactic acid blend respectively, at the seventh and ninth day the pH value exceed the permissible limit, this is due to the breakdown of protein /lipid as a result of chemicals, and microbiological physical damage with formation of alkyl group and accumulation of ammonia. The initial spoilage occurs at pH 6.2 (Pearson, 2006). High pH value recorded at the 5<sup>th</sup> day of storage at control group was similar to that reported by Hernandez-Pimentel et al. (2020), declared that an increase in pH values in the chicken fillet samples (treated or control groups) the sixth day throughout the at refrigerator storage period. Slight reduction of the pH caused by organic acids is fulfilling to avoid the growth of several bacteria (Stratford and Anslow, 1998). Therefore, treatment with lactic acid and its mixture with Ozonized water stabilized the pH of the injected chicken breast.

The measurement of total volatile Basic nitrogen (TVB-N) is a traditional chemical mean it common used to assessment the degree of meat spoilage and it shouldn't over than 20 mg TVN/ 100 g according to (ESO, 2005/1651). The content of TVN in chicken point for evaluating the freshness of chickens as an important reference index, (Ozogul and Özogul, 2000). Results tabulated in table 3 measured normal values of (TVB-N mg %) in the untreated and treated samples until the day 5, we note that the average value of TVB-N in the untreated control samples (21.30±0.36) is slightly higher than the permissible treated limits. and the samples continued to be within the normal range, dav 7 the TVB-N values was on 22.26±0.49 for ozonized water treated samples and the others still within normal values going to the ninth day it reach to 20.41 ±0.33 and 21.63±0.31 for lactic acid and ozonized water -lactic acid blend treated groups respectively. This is almost in line with findings from Rukchon et al. (2011) that TVB-N was significantly found in fresh chicken and that its level increased with storage period, as well as findings from Khalafalla et al. (2016) that showed TVB-N at the third and sixth days of storage was significantly higher in the Control group than all treated groups. It's possible that microbial activity at low temperatures is the cause of this TVB-N rise (Ibrahim and Desouky, 2009). However, Shenouda (1980)described that the rise in TVB-N is not associated with microbiological activity, but rather is typically brought on by autolytic enzymes and deamination.

Trimethylamine (TMA), Ammonia and Dimethylamine (DMA) make up the majority of TVB-N compounds found in chicken, and their concentrations rise as the chicken spoils due to enzymatic or bacterial degradation (Khalafalla et al. 2016). According to Ndaw et al. (2008), useful diagnostic TBA is а for determining the quality of meat and the extent of oxidative rancidity and lipid Malondialdehyde (MDA) is oxidation. the main byproduct of oxidative rancidity and is responsible for the bad flavor of oxidized fat. It has been suggested that 0.9 mg/kg is the highest TBA value that denotes high-quality chicken meat (ESO, 2005/1651). As cleared in table 4, TBA mean values for all treated and untreated groups nearly the same and significant increase with the advanced storage till the 5<sup>th</sup> day. At the 7<sup>th</sup> day control untreated sample show undesirable increase which indicate spoilage, followed by ozonized water treated samples, meanwhile samples treated with lactic acid and the ozonized water -lactic acid blend still within the acceptable limit until the day According to ninth. obtained result. lactic acid alone or in combination with

effectively prevented ozonized water lipid oxidation in samples of chicken meat. These data support the findings of Lee et al. (2023) who found that lipid oxidation chicken meats was in inhibited by plasma activated organic acid. These results are in conflict with those of Alahakoon et al. (2014) who calcium chloride mixture that found with lactic acid or alone were ineffective in inhibiting lipid oxidation meat samples. This disagreement in (2015) with Muhlisin et al. how demonstrated there that was no significant difference in the TBARS values during first 2 days, for treated samples with ozone compared to control samples. While, at 3 days of storage ozone significantly increased lipid oxidation, this may be the consequence ozone exposure impairing of the function of antioxidant enzymes or on cell lipids, direct ozone attack leading to irreversible damage to cell membrane fatty acids. Α relation between chemical parameters (pH, TBA) sensory TVB-N and and evaluation were seen in all control and treated groups telling that using of ozonized waterlactic acid blend improve the quality of chicken fillet to extent. However, the study an of their effect on bacteriological quality is still required.

Table 5 indicates a comparison of effectiveness of ozonized water, Lactic acid and Ozonized water - lactic acid blend used separately microbial on stability of the chicken fillet samples which stored at 4 °C. Regarding to treated samples. APC significantly reduced from the first day of treatment, Ozonized water - lactic acid blend was significantly the variable that most reduce the microbial growth followed by Lactic acid>ozonized water.. By the third and fifth day all treatment trials also showed a significant reduction in APC. Meanwhile at the 7<sup>th</sup> day signs of

deterioration appear on control samples and no further bacteriological evaluation could be done. At the same time the treatment groups declared three а delayed growth in APC till the day 7th by ozonized water and to the day 9 by Lactic acid and ozonized water - lactic the highest effect acid blend. But recorded by Ozonized water - lactic acid blend. According to Casas et al. (2021) and Rangel et al. (2021), one of the causes of ozone's disinfecting main power is its oxidation-reduction (2.08)and rise potential eV) in intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS), which cause bacterial cell lysis and have a negative impact on nucleic acid. Similarly, According to Jindal et al. (1995), immersion of chicken drumsticks in ozonized water at 0.44 to 0.54 ppm for 45 minutes resulted in 1.11-log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> reductions in aerobic plate counts. This in agreement with and Wajdi (2018) findings, Karamah which showed that when chicken fillets contacted are with ozonized water containing 0.38 mg/l of ozone for 120 minutes at 3°C, the amount of aerobic mesophilic bacteria is reduced by 1 unit log cfu/g. This is in line with the findings of Alahakoon *et al.* (2014) found that there were a significant reductions in the total aerobic counts of treated samples by calcium chloride that were combined with 0.002% or 0.01% lactic acid and untreated control samples.

Concerning to the impact of lactic acid, ozonized water and their mixture on Enterobacteriacae group it was clear in Table (6) that they have a significant effect with a log reduction of 0.73, 0.87 and 0.78 after half hour, 0.91, 1.13 and 1.2 after one hour and 1.01, 1.19 and 1.22 after two hours of immersion in ozonized water, lactic acid and their combination respectively. Similarly Smaoui et al. (2012) reported that the reduction the counts of

0.9% Enterobacteriaceae reported for sodium lactate /0.09% lactic acid mixture in marinated chicken, even though Saleh et al. (2022) proved that the reduction percentage values of total Enterobacteriaceae count with lactic acid 1%, were 41.6, 57.6 by 5 and 10 respectively. Sharma minutes and Hudson (2008) who discussed that at 25 ppm ozone reduced the number of 15 bacterial species both Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria by greater than 3 log cfu/ml. furthermore, Yang and Chen (2007) found that throughout the whole refrigerated period, the broiler parts treated with ozone had microbial counts that were lower than the control parts. According to the findings of EL Dahshan et al. (2013), after 9 days of storage, the treated groups that received 40, 60, and 70 ppm of ozone for 20 minutes remained within the permissible (EOS-1090/2005); authorized limits these indicated that the ozone treatment extended the shelf-life of the chicken breasts.

Table 7 illustrated that the three groups of treatments were significantly reduce the count of S. aureus artificially inoculated the examined chicken in fillet samples and arranged as follow Ozonized water - lactic acid blend > lactic acid > ozonized water with a log reduction of 2.64. 2.19 and 2.15 respectively after two hours and it was approved that ozonized water-Lactic acid blend for 2 hours is the trial of choice, this come in agreement with (Kazemi Taskooh et al., 2016). But higher reduction percent obtained by Kanaan (2018)who recorded that MRSA levels had decreased by 2-4 log10 CFU/ml following treatment with 0.5 ppm ozonated water, for 45 min. al. Bialoszewski et (2011)who demonstrated that when S. aureus was exposed ozonated water for 30 to seconds at low ozone concentrations (1.2 - 3.6) $\mu g/mL$ ), nearly total

eradication was observed. Furthermore, Song et al. (2018) they showed that 1 mg/L ozonated water had an effective sterilization to 100% S. aureus in one higher min. In contrary reduction percent of S. aureus by of lactic acid 1 % and 1.25% were 99.88% and 21.34 %, respectively this demonstrated bv Edris et al. (2020) and Saad et al. (2015), respectively. Hecer et al. (2007) who compared the effects of 1.5 ppm O3 and chlorine (30 ppm) for 7 mintues, the average effects on the number of Staphylococcus was 81.33%. Khadre et al. (2001) proved that 0.3 to 1.97 mg/mL aqueous ozone inactivated S. aureus by 4 to 6log10 CFU/mL.

### CONCLUSION

It was declared that all three trials of the treatment especially combined ozonized water-Lactic acid treatment were effective in keeping the sensory and quality of chicken fillet chemical reducing the total count of microorganisms, Enterobacteriacae count and S. aureus count in chicken fillet. Thus, their use individually or in combination is recommended in chiller water of slaughter house.

### REFERENCES

- Aktaş, N.; Aksu, M.İ. and Kaya, M. (2003): The influence of marination with different salt concentrations on tenderness, water holding capacity and bound water content of beef. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci. 27: 1207–1211.
- APHA "American Public Health Association" (2001): Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). APHA technical committee on microbiological methods for foods. Washington, D.C., USA.
- Ardrey, W.B.; Peterson, C.F. and Haggart, M. (1968): Experimental colibacillosis

and development of carriers in laying hens. Avian Diseases, 12, 505

- Alahakoon, A.U.; Jayasena, D.D.; Jung, S.; Kim, H.J.; Kim, S.H. and Jo, C. (2014): Antimicrobial Effect of Calcium Chloride Alone and Combined with Lactic Acid Injected into Chicken Breast Meat. Korean J. Food Sci. An. 34(2): 221-229.
- Bialoszewski, D.; Pietruczuk-Padzik, *A*.: Kalicinska, *A*.; Bocian, *E*.; Czajkowska, *M*.; Bukowska. *B*. and Tyski, S. (2011): Activity of ozonated water and ozone against Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. Med Sci Monit., 7(11): 339-344.
- Capita, R.; Alonso-Calleja, C.; Garcia Fernandez, M.D. and Moreno, B. (2001): Microbiological quality of retail poultry carcasses in Spain, J. Food Prot. WK., 64(12): 1961-1966.
- Casas, D.E.; Vargas, D.A.; Randazzo, E.; Lynn, D.; Echeverry, A.; Brashears, M.M.; Sanchez-Plata, M.X. and Miller, M.F.(2021): In-Plant Validation of Novel On-Site Ozone Generation Technology (Bio-Safe) Compared to Lactic Acid Beef Carcasses and Trim Using Natural Microbiota and Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 Surrogate Enumeration. Foods, 10, 1002.
- Cosansu, S.; Tag, S. and Ayhan, K. (2011): Effects of lactic and acetic acids on sensory properties and shelf life of chicken meats Investigations during refrigerated and frozen storage. Fleisch wirts chaft International, 26: 74-78.
- Do Carmo, L.S.; Cummings, C.; Linardi, V.R.; Dias, R.S.; De Suoza, J.M.; De Sena, M.J.; Dos Santos, D.A.; Shupp, J.W.; Pereira, R.K. and M. Jett, M. (2004): А cause of massive staphylococcal food poisoning incident. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 1:241-246.
- Egyptian Standards organization for specification (ESO) 2005/1651: Egyptian Standard Specification for

Traditional Egyptian chilled rabbit and poultry meat. Published and updated by Egyptian Standard organization for specification.

- *Edris, A.B.; Abd El-Alaziz, R.; Eleiwa, N. and Elnagar, R. (2020):* Lactic and acetic acids as bacterial decontaminators on chicken carcasses.BVMJ 39 (2): 158-160.
- El Dahshan, H.A.; Hafez, T.A. and El Ghayaty, H.A. (2013): Effect of Ozone on Preservation of chilled chicken .Assiut Vet. Med. J. 59(136): 22-26.
- *FDA* "Food and Drug Administration" (2001): Staphylococcus aureus. Bacteriological analytical manual .8<sup>th</sup> Ed. Chapter12. Gaithersburg, 562.
- Food and Agriculture Organization "FAO"(1980): Manual of Food Quality Control. FAO, United Nation, Rome, Italy.
- Hecer, C.; Balci, F. and Udum, C.D. (2007): The effects of ozone and chlorine applications on microbiological quality of chickens during processing. J. Biol. Environ. Sci., 1(3): 131-138.
- Hernández-Pimentel, V.M.; Regalado González, C.; Nava-Morales, G.M.; Meas-Vong, Y.; Castañeda-Serrano, M.P. and Gar-cía-Almendárez, B.E. (2020): Effect of neutral electrolyzed water as antimicrobial intervention treatment of chicken meat and on trihalomethanes formation. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 29: 622–635.
- Ibrahim, S.M. and Desouky, S.G. (2009): Effect of antimicrobial metabolites produced by lactic acid bacteria on quality aspects of frozen Tilipia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fillets. World J. of Fish and Marine Sci., 1 (1): 40-45.
- ISO 6887-3 (2017) (International Standarad Organization): Microbiology of food chain —preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination part 3: specific rules for the preparation of fish and fishery products

- ISO 21528-2:2004(E) (International Standard Organization): Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs — Horizontal methods for the detection and enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae - Part 2: Colonycount method
- Jindal, V.; Waldroup, A.L.; Forsythe, R.H. and Miller, M.J. (1995): Ozone and improvement of quality and shelf-life of poultry products. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 4(3):239-248.
- Karamah, E.F. and Wajdi, N. (2018): Application of ozonated water to maintain the quality of chicken meat: effect of exposure time, temperature, and ozone concentration.E3S Web of Conferences 67(6): 04044
- Kanaan, M.H.G. (2018): Antibacterial effect of ozonated water against methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* contaminating chicken meat in Wasit Province, Iraq, Veterinary World, 11(10): 1445-1453.
- KazemiTaskooh, N.; Khodaparast, M.H. H.; Varidi, M.J. and Yazdi, F.T. (2016): The effect of combined aqueous ozone and lactic acid treatment for control of microbial contamination of poultry carcass in immersion chiller of slaughterhouse. Journal of research and innovation in food science and technology, 5(2): 211-220.
- Kérouanton, A.; Hennekinne, J.A.; Letertre, C.; Petit, L.; Chesneau, O.; Brisabois, A. and Buyser, M.L.D. (2007): Characterization of Staphylococcus aureus strains associated with food poisoning outbreaks in France. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 115:369–375.
- Khalafalla, F.A.; Ali, F.H.M. and Hassan, A.H.A. (2016): Quality Improvement of Broiler Chicken Breasts by Nisin and Lactic Acid. J. World Poult. Res. 6(2): 37-47.
- Kitai, S.; Shimizu, A.; Kawano, J.; Sato, E.; Nakano, C.; Kitagawa, H.; Fujio, K.; Matsumura, K.; Yasuda, R. and Inamoto, T. (2005): Prevalence and characterization of Staphylococcus

*aureus* and enterotoxigenic *Staphylococcus aureus* in retail raw chicken meat throughout Japan. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 67: 269-274

- Khadre, M.A.; Yousef, A.E. and Kim, J.-G. (2001): Microbiological Aspects of Ozone Applications in Food: A Review.Journal of Food Science, 66(9):1242-1433.
- Lee, H.J.; Lee, H.J.; Ismail, A.; Sethukali, A.K.; Park, D.; Baek, K.H. and Jo, C. (2023): Effect of plasma-activated organic acids on different chicken cuts inoculated with Salmonella Typhimurium and Campylobacter jejuni and their antioxidant activity. Poultry Science 102: 1-10.
- Mancini, R.A. and Hunt, M.C. (2005): Current research in meat color. Rev. Meat Sci. 71, 100–121.
- Marangoni, F.; Corsello, G.; Cricelli, C.; Ferrara, N.; Ghiselli, A.; Lucchin, L. and Poli, A. (2015): Role of poultry meat in a balanced diet aimed at maintaining health and wellbeing: An Italian consensus document. Food and Nutrition Research, 59(1): 1-11.
- Muhlisin, M.; Cho, Y.; Choi, J.H.; Hahn, T. and Lee, S.K. (2015): Bacterial counts and oxidative properties of chicken breast inoculated with Salmonella Typhimurium exposed to gaseous ozone .J. Food Saf, 35: 137-144.
- Moghassem Hamidi, R.; Shekarforoush, S.S.; Hosseinzadeh, S. and Basiri, S. (2021): Evaluation of the effect of neutral electrolyzed water and peroxyacetic acid alone and in combination microbiological, on chemical, and sensory characteristics of poul-try meat during refrigeration storage, Food Sci. Technol. Int. 27 (6): 499-507.
- Megahed A.; Aldridge B. and James Lowel (2020): Antimicrobial Efficacy of Aqueous Ozone and Ozone–Lactic Acid Blend on Salmonella-Contaminated Chicken Drumsticks Using Multiple Sequential Soaking

and Spraying Approaches, Vol.(11)article 593911

- Ndaw, A.D.; Faid, M.; Bouseta, A. and Zinedine, A. (2008): Effect of controlled lactic acid bacteria fermentation on the microbiological and chemical quality of Morocans sardines (Sardinapilchardus). J. of Agric. and biology, 10: 21-27.
- Okolocha, E.C. and L. Ellerbroek (2005): "The influence of acid and alkaline treatments on pathogens and the shelf life of poultry meat." Food Control 16(3): 217-225.
- Ozogul, F. and Özogul, Y. (2000): Comparison of methods used for determination of total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N) in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchusmykiss). Turkish Journal of Zoology 24(1):113-120.
- Pearson, D. (2006): Chemical Analysis of Foods. 11<sup>th</sup> Ed, Publishing Co., Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, London, United Kingdom
- Pearson, M.A. and Tauber, W.F. (1984): Processed meat. 2 ed. AVI Pub.Com. Inc. West port, nd Connection. pp: 93.
- Pikul, J.; Leszezynski, D.E. and Kummerow, F. (1989): Evaluation of three modified TBA methods for measuring lipid oxidation in chicken meat. J. Agri. Food Chem., 37:1309.
- Qingshi, Z.; Cunli, L. and Zhengyu, X. (1989): A study of contacting systems in water and wastewater disinfection by ozone. 1. Mechanism of ozone transfer and inactivation related to the contacting method selection. Ozone Sci. Engrg. 11: 169–188.
- Rangel, K.; Cabral, F.O.; Lechuga, G.C.; Carvalho, J.P.R.S.; Villas-Bôas, M.H.S.; Midlej V. and De-Simone, S.G. (2021): Detrimental effect of ozone on pathogenic bacteria. Microorganisms 10(40): 1–17.
- Rukchon, C.; Trevanich, S.; Jinkarn, T. and Suppakul, P. (2011): Volatile Compounds as Quality Indicators of Fresh Chicken and Possible Application in Intelligent Packaging.

The 12th Proc. A Sean Food Conference, BITEC Bangna, Bangkok, Thailand.

- Saad, M.S.; Ibrahim, H.M.; Amin, R.A.; Elshater, M.A. and Hafez, S.M. (2015): Decontamination of inoculated chicken carcasses by using some microbial decontaminators. Benha Vet. Med. J., 28 (2): 83-90.
- Saleh, E.; El-morshdy, A.E. and Aboelmkarm, M. (2022): Improvement of the Chemical and Microbial Quality of Marketed Chicken Meat Using Organic Acids. Damanhour J. Vet. Sci., 8 (2): 16-21.
- Sarron E.; Gadonna-Widehem P. and Aussenac T. (2021): Ozone Treatments for Preserving Fresh Vegetables Quality: A Critical Review. Foods 10(3)1-39.
- Stratford, M. and Anslow, P.A. (1998): Evidence that sorbic acid does not inhibit yeast as a classic 'weak acid preservative'. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 27:203-206.
- Shenouda, S.Y.K. (1980): Theories of protein denaturation during frozen storage. Adv. Food Res., 26: 275-311.
- Sharma, M. and Hudson, J.B. (2008): Ozone gas is an effective and practical

antibacterial agent. Appl. Epid. Health Care Set. Comm., 36(8): 559-563.

- Singh, R.K. and Singh, N. (2005): 3- Quality of packaged foods. In J. H. Han (Ed.), Innovations in Food Packaging, 24-44. London: Academic Press.
- Smaoui, S.; Ben Hlima, H. and Ghorbel, R. (2012): The effect of sodium lactate and lactic acid combinations on the microbial, sensory, and chemical attributes of marinated chicken thigh. Poultry Science, 91:1473-1481.
- Song, M.; Zeng, Q.; Xiang, Y.; Gao, L.; Huang, J.; Jinhua, H.J.; Wu, K. and Lu, J. (2018): The antibacterial effect of topical ozone on the treatment of MRSA skin infection. Mol. Med. Rep., 17(2): 2449-2455.
- United States Department of Agriculture (2002): The Use of Chlorine Dioxide as an Antimicrobial Agent in Poultry Processing in the United States. Washington, DC: USDA-FSIS, Office of International Affairs.
- Yang, P.P.W. and Chen, T.C. (2007): Effects of ozone treatment on microflora of poultry meat. J. Food Process. Preserv., 3(2): 177-185.

### تسليط الضوء على تأثير حمض اللاكتيك والماء المعالج بالأوزون على فترة صلاحية فيليه الدجاج

### رهام محمد عبد الوهاب ، رانيا عبد العظيم عبد القادر ، إلهام فرج النجار ، سعاد حفني الشيخ

Email: Soad.elshiekh@gmail.com. Assiut University web-site: www.aun.edu.eg

تم تجميع بعض عينات فيليه الدجاج من مدينة الزقازيق بالشرقية. ثم قسمت العينات إلى ثلاث مجموعات المجموعة الأولى: لتقييم فعالية المواد المضافة على الصفات الحسية ومؤشر الجودة الكيميائية (pH,TBA,TVN) و APC للعينات المفحوصة فيما يتعلق بالعمر الافتراضي للعينات المعالجة. المجموعة الثانية: لتقييم فعالية المواد المضافة على عدد المخموعة الثانية: لتقييم فعالية المواد المضافة على عدد المحموعة وي المحموعة الثانية: التعقودى الذهبي المحقوعة الحياة ، المحموعة الثانية: التعقودى الذهبي المحقوعة المحقوعة المحقوعة المحقوعة المحموعة المختوي فعالية المواد المضافة على عدد المحموعة وي المعوية. المجموعة الثانية: التقييم فعالية المواد المضافة على المكور العنقودى الذهبي المحقونة بتركيز ٢٠١ خليه / جمع عينات فيليه الدجاج وبالتالى قسمت كل مجموعة إلى ٤ مجموعات فر عية (المجموعة الضابطة، المجموعة المعالجة بالماء المعالجة بعنات فيليه الدجاج وبالتالى قسمت كل مجموعة إلى ٤ مجموعات فر عية (المجموعة الضابطة، المحموعة المعالجة بالماء المعالج بالأوزون ٣٨,٠٨٠، حمض اللاكتيك ٢% وخليط من الاثنين معا). وقد المحموعة المعالجة بالأوزون وحمض اللاكتيك لعمر الفتراضي لفيليه الدجاج أثناء التخزين المبرد عند درجة حرارة ٤ درجة مع الخوزون وحمض اللاكتيك أن يطيل العمر الافتراضي لفيليه الدجاج أثناء التخزين المبرد عند درجة حرارة ٤ درجة مع الخوزون وحمض اللاكتيك أن يطيل العمر الافتراضي لفيليه الدجاج أثناء التخزين المبرد عند درجة حرارة ٤ درجة وحرض اللاؤزون وحمض اللاكتيك أن يطيل العمر الافتراضي الفيلية الدجاج أثناء التخزين المبرد عند درجة حرارة ٤ درجة وحرض اللاؤزون وحمض اللاكتيك أن يطيل العمر الافتراضي الفيلية الدجاج أثناء التخزين المبرد عند درجة حرارة ٤ درجة وحرض المود المعالير الكميائية ليوما اضافيا, كما أنه يمكن لمزيج كل من الماء المعالير والم وحمض الحفانية إلى المود المعالي المعالي ولي وحمض اللاكتيك والمعالير المعالير المعالي المورون وحمض اللاكتيك والم المود ولي المعارج المعالير المعالي المنونية. وعمل اللاكتيك ولمان معالج بالأوزون وحمض اللاكتيك إلى ألمون والمولي الفيلية الدجاج أثناء المعالي بالاوزون وومض المولي والمعالي بالاوزون وومض المولي المعالي بالمور والماني وومن المولي ماء ملماء المعالج بالأوزون وحمض اللاكتيك يقل بشكل كبير من العدد الكلي الميمري والمولي المولي والموم