

## IMPACT OF RUMEN JUICE TRANSFAUNATION ON BEHAVIORAL ACTIVITIES, PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS AND KIDNEY FUNCTION IN FATTENING LAMBS

AHMED ELRAWY<sup>1</sup>; AHMED MOHAMMED<sup>1</sup>; MAHMOUD S. SABRA<sup>2</sup>;  
USAMA MAHMOUD<sup>1</sup> AND MADEHA DARWISH<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal and poultry behavior and management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut 71526, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

**Received:** 30 March 2023; **Accepted:** 1 May 2023

---

### ABSTRACT

Rumen juice transfaunation (RT) has been suggested as one of the effective ways to improve the performance and health of the host. This study's objective was to investigate the effect of RT on behavior, performance and kidney function of fattening lambs. Twelve male lambs were divided into three groups at random: controls (CON, n = 4): lambs were given one liter of normal saline, (Ts, n = 4): lambs received 1 liter of sheep ruminal juice and (Tc, n = 4): lambs received 1 liter of cattle ruminal juice, once throughout the study. Each lamb was caged in a single pen with alive weight of 20.7 ( $\pm 1.95$ ) and the experiment lasted six weeks. The instantaneous scan sampling approach was used to record behavioral observations on days 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 15, 16, 30, 31 and 45 & 46 after rumen fluid transfaunation; production parameters and kidney function were measured every week. Rumen juice transfaunation resulted in a significant decrease in chewing pen fixtures behavior especially in Ts and feed conversion ratio in both (Ts and Tc) at first and second weeks, however a significant increase in body weight in both (Ts and Tc) at third, fifth and sixth week compared to control lambs. There was no significant effect on the serum creatinine and urea levels. In conclusion, the current results indicate that RT has a beneficial effect on fattening lamb's behavior and performance; therefore it can be used in intensive fattening sheep farms.

**Keywords:** Rumen juice transfaunation, fattening lambs, behavior, performance

---

### INTRODUCTION

Mammals belonging to the Order Artiodactyla (even-toed, hooved mammals) Suborder Ruminantia include ruminants. The term "ruminant" derives

from the Latin word "ruminare," which means "to chew over gain," therefore the term "cud-chewing." (DePeters and George, 2014). Sheep were the first animals that humans domesticated. Much earlier than that, between 11,000 and 9,000 BC, sheep were domesticated (A Mills *et al.*, 2017; Aldridge *et al.*, 2018). Sheep were raised primarily for the production of meat, milk, and fleece. Originally, the majority of sheep breeds originated in the southwest part of Asia (Chessa *et al.*, 2009). It has been

---

Corresponding author: AHMED ELRAWY

E-mail address: [elraway@vet.aun.edu.eg](mailto:elraway@vet.aun.edu.eg)

Present address: Department of Animal, Poultry, and Aquatic Life Behavior and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University, Egypt.

demonstrated that rumen juice transfusion in the case of ruminants can transmit ruminal microbes from healthy donor animals to recipients to provide health benefits (Falony *et al.*, 2016). Rumen juice transfusion is essential for animal health and feed intake, as well as one of the potential techniques for adjusting the symbiotic microbiota and increasing host efficiency (DePeters and George, 2014), improved gut wall damage in pigs (Cheng *et al.*, 2018) and successfully treated sheep ruminal acidosis (Liu *et al.*, 2019). The rumen's symbiotic microbiome improves digestion by digesting the ingesta and degrading plant components into various volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and ammonia to provide the host with nutrients and energy (Zhou *et al.*, 2018). In addition, Transfaunation is expected to improve rumen function and has been utilised as a biotic treatment for ketosis, anorexia, and a variety of dyspepsia reasons including rumen acidity (Depeters and George, 2014) and also, can help cows recover from abomasum displacement (Rager *et al.*, 2004; Camara *et al.*, 2010), abomasum impaction (Camara *et al.*, 2010) and gangrenous mastitis (RIZZO *et al.*, 2015). It can also treat dysbiosis (anomalies in the structure of the typical microbiota) caused by antibiotic use and it is a useful method to re-establish a dysbiotic community, such as in cases of rumen acidosis (Ji *et al.*, 2018). Directly fed microbial, like probiotics, had a non-significant effect on serum kidney function tests such as creatinine and urea in ruminants (Bakr *et al.*, 2009; Sayed, 2003) however, it was found to have a good effect on changing the organisms in the stomach and intestine, which may affect neuronal function, mood and behaviour as well as decrease depressed behaviour (Sudo, 2006 and Bravo *et al.*, 2011). Ruminal juice transfaunation also increased ruminating duration but had no effect on total water intake (Leo-Penu *et al.*, 2015). In this study, we aimed to compare the effects of RT of sheep and cattle on behavior, performance and kidney function in fattening lambs. We hypothesize that RT may improve the behavior and health of fattening lambs.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at the Animal and Poultry Behaviour and Management Research Department between January and March 2021, College of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University, Egypt. The Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Assiut, Egypt's Animal Care and Use Committee approved all activities and handling of animals.

### 1- Animals and housing

Twelve (12) male lambs (recipient lambs) of the Sardi breed clinically healthy, with a live weight of 20.7 ( $\pm 1.95$ ) kg and around 80 days old (weaned at 60 days) were kept in a single barn and each lamb was caged in a single pen (150 cm length, 100 cm width and 120 cm in height) with unrestricted access to drinking water and feed (pellet feed) was supplied two times per day at 08:00 and 20:00. The floor of the pens was bedded with rice straw.

### 2- Management

In the beginning, all manure materials were removed from floors and walls. Also, all dust materials were removed from windows, floors, ceilings and walls, then finally disinfected by Virkon™ S (Lanxes International Company - England), at a dilution of 10 grams per liter. All equipment (feeders and drinkers) were taken out of the room and soaked in water, then disinfected by Virkon™ S. For 15 days. Lambs were acclimated to their new surroundings before the beginning of the experiment and per os dewormed with Leavafluke (levamisole + oxcyclozanide) (Pharma swede, Egypt) at a dose of 2.5 ml of Leavafluke /10 kg body weight. They were given avimec 1% s/c (Arab Veterinary Industrial Company (AVICO), Egypt) at a dose 0.5 ml per 20 kg body weight and these doses were repeated after 15 days.

### 3- Diet and feeding

Each lamb received a concentrate diet (pellet feed 14% (2% of its body weight)

(Alwatania feed Co., Egypt) two times per day, at 8:00 am and 8:00 pm. Hay (Tibn) and clean drinking water were given *ad libitum*.

#### 4- Experimental design

Twelve male lambs were divided into three groups at random: controls (CON, n = 4) that received 1 liter of normal saline, lambs that received 1 liter of ruminal fluid from the sheep (Ts, n = 4) and lambs that received 1 liter of ruminal fluid from cattle (Tc, n = 4) once throughout the experiment.

##### 4-1- Ruminal juice samples from donors

The rumen juice was collected from a slaughterhouse (Durunka, Assiut, Egypt), immediately after slaughtering. There were two types of ruminal juice; the bovine and ovine ruminal fluids were pooled from three healthy bulls and five healthy rams (donors). Samples were filtered using gauze to remove the gross particles to avoid clogging the tube that used for administration of ruminal fluid to the recipient lambs. The fluid was collected in bottles and kept closed at room temperature (approximately 25 °C) then, gathered and blended together in a bucket. The pooled ruminal fluid was given to lambs (Ts & Tc) within 1 hour of collection using stomach tube (Huo *et al.*, 2019).

##### 4-2- Rumen liquor samples for analysis

Rumen juice samples from lambs were collected for analysis at six different time points during the experiment: on the day of transfaunation (d1), 2 (d2), 7 (d7), 15 (d15), 30 (d30) and 45 (d45) days of transfaunation. Ruminal fluid was collected with a stomach tube (Huo *et al.*, 2019).

#### 5- Data collection

##### 5-1- Behavioral observations

Lambs were individually identified using an electronic cameras (Model C3W 720P; Hangzhou Hikvision Digital Technology Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China). The cameras were placed on the surface of room beside the pens to observe the animals' behavior. Each group was continually observed for 12 hours each day (08:00–20:00 h) on days 1, 2,

3, 7, 8, 15, 16, 30, 31 and 45 & 46 after rumen fluid transfaunation. Each video was watched by two trained observers to record the lamb behavior using the scanning technique described by (Ekiz *et al.*, 2012; Pascual-Alonso *et al.*, 2017) based on the developed ethogram (Table 1).

##### 5-2- Performance measurement

###### 5-2-1- Live body weight (LBW)

Live body weight of lamb was individually taken at the start of the study and then weekly throughout the experimental period.

###### 5-2-2- Body weight gain (BWG)

Lambs' weekly gains in body weight were estimated by subtracting the previous week's BW from the current week's BW.

###### 5-2-3- Feed intake (FI)

The feed intake for each lamb was calculated every week by subtracting the remaining amount of hay during the end of the week from the total amount of hay during the beginning of the same week as every lamb receive a constant amount of concentrates (pelleted feed) calculated by 2% of its weight.

###### 5-2-4- Feed conversion ratio (FCR)

Feed conversion ratio was estimated weekly using the equation below.

$$FCR = \frac{\text{total feed consumption (hay \& concentrates)}}{\text{body weight gain}}$$

#### 6- Serum samples

Blood samples were collected through jugular venipuncture on days 1, 2, 7, 15, 30, and 45. Ten mL of blood from each animal was collected in test tube without anti-coagulant to separate serum in order to measure the blood's chemical characteristics. The tubes were left at ambient temperature for 30 minutes, followed by refrigeration for 60 to 90 minutes. After that, they were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes, and the separated serum was transferred using a micropipette to another epindoorf's tube. Sera were stored at -20°C until examination with a

commercial kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### **6-1- Kidney function test (urea mmol/l & creatinine mg/dl)**

Using commercially available assay kits, blood urea nitrogen (Cat. no. UR 21-10) and serum creatinine (Cat. no. 234-000) were determined to assess the health of the kidneys (Schiffgraben, Hannover, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The previous parameters were measured spectrophotometrically.

#### **6-1-1- Determination of serum creatinine**

Under alkaline conditions, creatinine interacts with picric acid to generate a yellow-red complex. The absorbance of the resulting color according to measurement at a wavelength 492nm where, its density was directly related to the concentration of creatinine in the sample (Toora and Rajagopal, 2002).

#### **6-1-2- Determination of blood urea nitrogen**

In an alkaline environment, the ammonium ions generated by the Berthelot interaction react to produce the blue dye indophenol which absorbs light between 530 and 560 nm (Afkhami and Norooz-Asl, 2008).

### **7- Statistical analysis**

A randomized block design was used to perform the experiment. The experimental unit was regarded as a pen ( $n = 4$ ). Repeated measures ANOVA was used for analyzing behavioral patterns and growth performance characteristics while One Way Analysis of Variance was used to analyze all other parameters. PROC MIXED model using SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used to analyze the data. The data's normality was examined using the Shapiro-Wilk test. When a significant difference was found, the Tukey-Kramer test was utilized to compare the means; the level of statistical significance was established when the coefficients were at  $P 0.05$ . The data were shown as mean SEM.

## **RESULTS**

### **1- Behavioral patterns**

The effects of RT (sheep & cattle) on different behavioral activities (%) of lambs following rumen fluid transfaunation were presented in table (2). Both treatments (Ts and Tc) did not affect the behavioral activities (standing, laying, walking, rumination, pawing, butting, rearing, nosing pen fixtures, grooming, feeding and drinking, respectively) of fattening lambs at different time sets in comparison with CON ( $P = 0.1306$ ,  $P = 0.1710$ ,  $P = 0.6404$ ,  $P = 0.4808$ ,  $P = 0.3015$ ,  $P = 0.5569$ ,  $P = 0.5656$ ,  $P = 0.6184$ ,  $P = 0.8807$ ,  $P = 0.2533$ , and  $P = 0.2258$ , respectively), however there was a significant decrease in chewing pen fixtures behavior in Ts treatment ( $P = 0.0363$ ) in comparison with control and Tc treatments.

### **2- Performance**

#### **2-1- Body weight**

The effects of RT (sheep & cattle) on performance parameters (body weight, body weight gain, feed intake & feed conversion ratio) at first, second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth weeks were presented in tables (3). In comparison to the control group, at first, second and fourth week, both treatments (Ts and Tc) had a non-significant effect on the body weight of lambs ( $P = 0.1053$ ,  $P = 0.0863$  and  $P = 0.0687$ , respectively). However, the body weight was increased in both treatments (Ts and Tc) at third, fifth and sixth week in comparison with control group ( $P = 0.0357$ ,  $P = 0.0395$  and  $P = 0.0087$ , respectively), Ts had the highest increase.

#### **2-2- Body weight gain**

Compared to the control group, at first, third, fourth, fifth and sixth week, both treatments (Ts and Tc) had a negligible impact on the lambs' body weight gain. ( $P = 0.0691$ ,  $P = 0.0811$ ,  $P = 0.9067$ ,  $P = 0.2289$  and  $P = 0.1994$ , respectively). However, the body weight gain was increased in both treatments (Ts and Tc) at second week in comparison to the control group ( $P = 0.0396$ ), Ts had the highest increase.

### 2-3- Feed intake

In comparison to the control group, at second, fifth and sixth week, both treatments (Ts and Tc) had a non significant effect on the feed intake of lambs ( $P = 0.1567$ ,  $P = 0.0651$  and  $P = 0.1824$ , respectively). However, the feed intake was increased in the Ts treatment at first week ( $P = 0.0258$ ) and in Tc treatment at third week ( $P = 0.0076$ ) as compared to the control group.

### 2-4- Feed conversion ratio

In comparison to the control treatment, at third, fourth, fifth and sixth week, both treatments (Ts and Tc) had a non significant effect on the feed conversion ratio of lambs ( $P = 0.4036$ ,  $P = 0.6191$ ,  $P = 0.2287$ , and  $P = 0.4096$ , respectively). However, the feed conversion ratio was decreased in both treatments (Ts and Tc) at the first and second weeks ( $P = 0.0003$  and  $P = 0.0089$ , respectively), the treatment Ts had the

highest decrease in the feed conversion ratio ( $P = 0.0089$ ).

### 3- Biochemical parameters

#### 3-1- Kidney function test:

##### 3-1-1- Urea (mmol/l)

The effects of RT (sheep & cattle) on serum urea (mmol/l) of lambs at days 1, 2, 7, 15, 30 & 45 are presented in table (4). Ruminal transfaunation did not affect the level of urea in the serum ( $P = 0.3903$ ,  $P = 0.9797$ ,  $P = 0.8182$ ,  $P = 0.5863$ ,  $P = 0.1438$  and  $P = 0.7108$ , respectively).

##### 3-1-2- Creatinine (mg/dl)

The effects of RT (sheep & cattle) on serum creatinine (mg/dl) at days 1, 2, 7, 15, 30 & 45 are presented in table (4). Compared to control treatment, both Ts and Tc treatments had a negligible impact on creatinine levels ( $P = 0.4471$ ,  $P = 0.9499$ ,  $P = 0.4224$ ,  $P = 0.9941$ ,  $P = 0.9850$  and  $P = 0.6964$ , respectively).

**Table 1:** Behavioral ethogram for sheep.

Behavioral patterns	Definition
1. Lying	Lying without showing any other behavioral activity.
2. Standing	Standing without showing any other behavioral activity.
3. Walking	The lamb moves from one place to another in its pen.
4. Rumination	Chewing the rumen content, that was brought to the mouth.
5. Butting	The lamb lowers its head and butts walls, feeder, eTc.
6. Pawing	Striking ground with forelegs.
7. Rearing	Forelegs on pen, back legs on ground and head raised.
8. Nosing pen fixtures	Nosing or rubbing muzzle on pen fixtures (palings, floor slats, wire or feeder).
9. Chewing pen fixtures	Chewing pen fixtures (palings, floor slats, wire or feeder).
10. Feeding	Head lowered and directly in the feeder or floor where feed is visible.
11. Drinking	Lamb drinking water from the drinker.
12. Grooming	Scratching or licking himself or another animal.
13. Defecating	Eliminating feces.
14. Urinating	In urination.

**Table 2:** Effect of Rumen juice transfaunation (RT) (T<sub>s</sub> and T<sub>c</sub>) on behavioral activities % of lambs on days 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 15, 16, 30, 31 and 45 & 46 after rumen juice transfaunation. All behavior activities measured by percentage (%).

Beh. Act.	Control	T <sub>s</sub>	T <sub>c</sub>	SEM	P-Value
Standing %	9.14	11.92	9.36	0.960	0.1306
Laying %	26.16	17.64	23.51	2.96	0.1710
Walking %	1.66	2.28	2.31	0.537	0.6404
Pawing %	1.34	0.45	1.42	0.458	0.3015
Butting %	1.012	0.54	0.60	0.323	0.5569
Rearing %	0.53	0.40	0.60	0.134	0.5656
Chewing pen fixtures %	1.86 <sup>a,b</sup>	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.97 <sup>a</sup>	0.185	0.0363*
Nosing pen fixtures %	0.17	0.40	0.32	0.162	0.6184
Feeding %	22.21	19.55	21.45	1.08	0.2533
Rumination %	30.57	38.18	34.10	4.27	0.4808
Drinking %	1.14	0.60	0.95	0.205	0.2258
Grooming %	3.57	2.85	3.50	1.09	0.8807

<sup>a,b</sup> Means ± SE with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly (p < 0.05)

% Percentage of behavioral activities

**Table (3):** Effect of cow and sheep ruminal juice transfaunation on performance parameters at first, second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth weeks.

Treatment <sup>1</sup>	Control	T <sub>s</sub>	T <sub>c</sub>	SEM	P-Value
<b>First week</b>					
Body weight (kg)	20.30	23.616	22.06	0.971	0.1053
Body weight gain (kg)	0.966	1.283	2.212	0.3389	0.0691
Feed intake (kg)	4.546 <sup>b</sup>	5.716 <sup>a</sup>	4.125 <sup>b</sup>	0.34726	0.0258
Feed conversion ratio (kg/kg)	4.70 <sup>a</sup>	4.45 <sup>a</sup>	2.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.289	0.0003
<b>Second week</b>					
Body weight (kg)	21.93	25.35	23.03	0.966	0.0863
Body weight gain (kg)	1.45 <sup>b</sup>	1.73 <sup>a</sup>	0.975 <sup>b</sup>	0.176	0.0396
Feed intake (kg)	4.56	3.93	5.67	0.582	0.1567
Feed conversion ratio (kg/kg)	3.337 <sup>b</sup>	2.403 <sup>b</sup>	5.946 <sup>a</sup>	0.635	0.0089
<b>Third week</b>					
Body weight (kg)	23.25 <sup>b</sup>	26.525 <sup>a</sup>	23.55 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.814	0.0357
Body weight gain (kg)	1.90	1.05	0.512	0.381	0.0811
Feed intake (kg)	3.13 <sup>b</sup>	2.38 <sup>b</sup>	4.78 <sup>a</sup>	0.414	0.0076
Feed conversion ratio (kg/kg)	2.80	2.33	3.83	0.794	0.4036
<b>Fourth week</b>					
Body weight (kg)	24.36	27.33	24.70	0.849	0.0687
Body weight gain (kg)	0.90	0.93	1.15	0.431	0.9067
Feed intake (kg)	6.566 <sup>a</sup>	5.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.343	0.0279
Feed conversion ratio (kg/kg)	7.312	5.60	7.17	1.3362	0.6191
<b>Fifth week</b>					
Body weight (kg)	24.90 <sup>b</sup>	28.30 <sup>a</sup>	25.47 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.837	0.0395
Body weight gain (kg)	0.56	0.96	0.77	0.151	0.2289
Feed intake (kg)	5.63	4.16	6.11	0.522	0.0651
Feed conversion ratio (kg/kg)	8.31	5.30	7.88	1.1802	0.2287
<b>Sixth week</b>					
Body weight (kg)	26.90 <sup>b</sup>	29.55 <sup>a</sup>	26.90 <sup>b</sup>	0.5273	0.0087
Body weight gain (kg)	0.866	1.25	1.42	0.205	0.1994
Feed intake (kg)	4.466	5.650	4.150	0.549	0.1824
Feed conversion ratio (kg/kg)	3.976	4.564	3.319	0.622	0.4096

<sup>a,b</sup> Mean ± SEM with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly (p < 0.05). <sup>1</sup>(n = 4 per treatment and the data were collected from 4 lambs/ treatment). Control (lambs that received 1 liter of normal saline, T<sub>c</sub> (lambs that received 1 liter of ruminal fluid from cow), T<sub>s</sub> (lambs that received 1 liter of ruminal fluid from sheep).

**Table 4:** Effect of cow and sheep ruminal juice transfaunation on kidney function tests as urea (mmol/l) and creatinine (mg/dl) of fattening lambs.

Treatment <sup>1</sup>	Control	T <sub>s</sub>	T <sub>c</sub>	SEM	P-Value
<b>Urea (mmol/l)</b>					
Day 1	2.97	2.11	2.48	0.424	0.3903
Day 2	2.88	2.76	2.80	0.422	0.9797
Day 7	3.08	2.75	3.11	0.438	0.8182
Day 15	3.26	2.61	3.23	0.487	0.5863
Day 30	2.49	3.23	2.31	0.312	0.1438
Day 45	2.56	2.45	2.86	0.354	0.7108
<b>Creatinine (mg/dl)</b>					
Day 1	2.50	2.05	2.72	0.366	0.4471
Day 2	2.06	1.89	1.90	0.425	0.9499
Day 7	1.67	2.15	1.71	0.272	0.4224
Day 15	2.02	2.05	2.04	0.240	0.9941
Day 30	2.25	2.36	2.35	0.510	0.9850
Day 45	2.39	2.78	2.58	0.323	0.6964

Mean $\pm$  SEM in the same row not differ significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ). <sup>1</sup>(n – 4 per treatment and the data were collected from 4 lambs/ treatment). Control (lambs that received 1 liter of normal saline, T<sub>c</sub> (lambs that received 1 liter of ruminal fluid from cow), T<sub>s</sub> (lambs that received 1 liter of ruminal fluid from sheep).

## DISCUSSION

Ruminal juice transfaunation (RT) had a positive effect on modifying the bacteria in the stomach and intestine, which can affect neuronal function, mood, and behavior, as well as lowered cortisol levels and depressive behavior (Sudo *et al.*, 2004; Sudo 2006 and Bravo *et al.*, 2011). Also, RT had a slight increase in rumination time but had no effect on cumulative water intake behaviour (Leo-Penu *et al.*, 2015). In the current study, compared to the control group, both T<sub>s</sub> and TRNNS<sub>c</sub> had a non-significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) effect on fattening lambs behaviors, however, the T<sub>s</sub> lambs had the lowest percentage of chewing pen fixtures behavior in comparison with control and T<sub>c</sub> lambs. These results agreed with the report made by Nowak *et al.* (2008) who found that, animal wellbeing may be evaluated using behavioral measurements, and abnormal behaviour is thought to be the primary sign of stress and discomfort in animals. Lambs have been observed mouthing bars, chewing chains or slats,

biting and chewing pen fittings, and repeatedly butting, these are all considered abnormal behaviours. The decrease in chewing pen fixtures may be due to the effect of sheep ruminal juice transfaunation on decreasing the level of serum cortisol. In addition, Karaağaç *et al.* (2005) and Arney (2009) reported that rumination time and behavior are crucial indicators of an animal's comfort and welfare. Moreover, Bravo *et al.* (2011) demonstrated that, ruminal juice transfaunation as Probiotic was discovered to influence animal emotional behaviour. Furthermore, Sudo *et al.* (2004), Sudo (2006) and Messaoudi *et al.* (2011) found that, although there is bidirectional contact between the brain and gastrointestinal tract via the brain-gut axis, exposure to probiotic bacteria can reduce stress and depression-related behaviours. In the same time, Yong (2011) and Emily (2012) reported that, direct fed microbials may alter brain activity in areas responsible for processing emotions including anxiety, mood and aggressiveness. Combining the administration of *B. longum* R0175 with *L. helveticus* R0052 decreased

the anxiolytic-like action in rats (Messaoudi *et al.*, 2011). Similarly, Naglaa and Ghada (2014) recorded that the temperament score of anxious ewes was significantly affected by the probiotic (ActisafR) supplementation after one month of administration. The score was (4.3) before the treatment and decreased to (2.6) afterward, demonstrating a significant difference between the two records. These results agreed with those reported by Jenkins (2014), who observed better temperament of rumen-zyme treated animals, and with that documented an experiment by the Ministry for Primary Industries (2013), which found that probiotic supplemented cattle were easier to manage than the control ones. Furthermore, Sudo *et al.* (2004) and Sudo (2006) demonstrated that although there is a significant connection and interaction between gut microorganisms and the brain, changing the bacteria in the stomach and intestine can alter neuronal function, mood, and behaviour.

These study results showed that, compared to control lambs, both Ts and Tc lambs indicated a rise in body weight at third, fifth and sixth week following ruminal juice transfaunation and also, showed an increase in body weight gain with both treatments (Ts and Tc) at second week in comparison with control treatment. These findings agreed with Manichanh *et al.* (2010); Willing *et al.* (2011); Hamilton *et al.* (2013); and Liou *et al.* (2013) who reported that, in small animals, microbial transfaunation improved performance and altered the symbiotic microbiome. However, these results disagreed with Yin *et al.* (2021) who reported that, in fattening lambs, rumen juice transplantation reduced average daily live weight growth and apparent digestion of ether extract in 3-month-old fattening lambs in comparison to controls. Furthermore, these findings were similar to Musa *et al.* (2009) who reported that, direct fed microbial increase food availability, nutrient synthesis, and microbial ecology, and helps farm animals gain weight more quickly (Oyetayo and Oyetayo, 2005). Moreover,

Haddad and Goussous (2005) found that adding a yeast culture (YC; Diamond V® YC) to the meals of Awassi lambs resulted in higher weight gain (266 g/day) than the control group (212 g/day). Similar findings were made by Jang *et al.* (2009), who discovered that supplementing lambs with probiotics tended to improve weight gain. According to Erasmus *et al.* (1992), greater weight growth in lambs fed probiotic-containing meals might be attributed to increased microbial protein synthesis, resulting in additional amino acid supply at the post-ruminal stage. Similar to this, Abas *et al.* (2007) showed that Kivircik male yearling lambs treated with direct feed microbial culture (Cylactin ® LBC ME 10) gained more body weight than the control group. Also, Anandan *et al.* (1999) discovered that Cheghu crossbred young kids who consumed probiotics (curds) orally at 15 ml/day gained significantly more weight than the control group (4.37 versus 3.15 kg and 44.6 versus 32.1 g/day), indicating that curds as probiotics may be supplemented for the enhancement of kids growth performance.

In addition, Robinson (2002) found that probiotics boosted weight gain in small ruminants and increased feed conversion ratio, which is consistent with our findings. Moreover, Russell and Wilson (1996) reported that, increased weight gain in ruminants may be caused by increased cellulolytic activity, which improves fiber decomposition and results in reduced ammonia production, increasing the protein's absorption ability at the post-ruminal stage (Chaucheyras-Durand *et al.*, 2008). The most generally accepted markers for assessing chronic kidney disease (CKD) and renal health are serum urea and creatinine. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is defined as a gradual loss of renal function (Venktaopathy *et al.*, 2014). It is a disorder in which the kidneys lose normal function, particularly excretory and regulatory functions, as a result of infections, autoimmune diseases, cancer, or exposure to toxic substances (Abdulla *et al.*, 2012).



Serum measurement of renal function indicators such as urea and creatinine is commonly employed (Gowda *et al.*, 2010). The kidneys produce blood urea (BU) (Kamal, 2014), a primary nitrogenous end product of protein and amino acid catabolism (Gowda *et al.*, 2010), and creatinine (Kamal, 2014), the decomposition product of creatine phosphate in muscle (Gowda *et al.*, 2010). Serum urea is an indirect and inaccurate marker of renal function that assesses the quantity of urea nitrogen in blood and is directly connected to kidney excretory performance. Creatinine tests are used to identify decreased renal function and to determine the quantity of creatinine phosphate in the blood.

Our results reflected that both sheep and cow ruminal juice transfaunation did not affect the urea and creatinine concentration in the serum of fattening lambs at different time sets (d 1, 2, 7, 15, 30 & 45). The same findings were reported by Leo-Penu *et al.* (2015), who recorded that, urea and creatinine concentrations demonstrated no differences on day 1, day 4, day 9 and day 45 after rumen juice transfaunation compared with control in bulls. Furthermore, these findings agreed with Antunovic *et al.* (2005), who showed that the level of creatinine and urea did not change in lambs that fed the feed mixture containing 0.1% of the probiotic preparation (PIONEER PDFM®). In addition, Goats fed probiotic-supplemented diets showed no difference in creatinine levels (Belewu *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, Antunovic *et al.* (2006) reported identical results for growing lambs, moreover Galip (2006) also discovered no differences in the blood creatinine levels of rams receiving probiotic supplements. In contrast, these study results disagreed with Antunovic *et al.* (2005) who reported that, weaned lambs (60 days old) supplemented with 0.1% probiotics (PDFM®) had statistically lower concentration of blood urea (BU). Antunovic *et al.* (2006) similarly found decreased levels of urea in the blood serum of lambs on probiotic diets compared to control diets. Low blood urea nitrogen

(BUN) levels in lambs fed a probiotic-enriched diet may be related to enhanced nitrogen (N) utilization in the rumen (Bruno *et al.*, 2009).

## CONCLUSION

In the current study, ruminal transfaunation do not show a significant effect on behavioral activities and kidney function in fattening lambs. But, it showed a decrease in chewing pen fixtures behavior and improvement in feed efficiency of fattening lambs. The latter finding, together with the current results, suggests that RT requires more research, maybe focusing on its effect on the physiological and microbial status of the recipient animals.

## CONFLICTS OF INTERESTS

The authors have reported no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Abas, I., H.C. Kutay, R. Kahraman, N.Y. Toker, D. Ozcelik, F. Ates and A. Kacakci (2007): Effects of organic acid and bacterial direct-fed microbial on fattening performance of Kivircik-Male yearling lambs. *Pak. J. Nutr.*, 2: 149-154.
- Abdulla, H.I, M.Y. Al-Kotany & K.A. Mahdi, (2012): Assessment of oral manifestations of patients with renal failure undergoing hemodialysis by serum and salivary biomarkers. *MDJ*. 2012;9(1):118-29.
- AFKHAMI, A. and R. NOROOZ-ASL, (2008): Micelle-mediated extraction and spectrophotometric determination of ammonia in water samples utilizing indophenol dye formation. *Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society*, 19, 1546-1552
- Aldridge, M. E., J.E. Fearon, B.P. Haynes, H.M. Miller, K.Y. Sanford, R.R. Scott & B.R. Franks (2018): Solutions for

- Grand Challenges in Goat and Sheep Production. *Biotropia*, 26(1), 55-64
- Amills, M., J. Capote & G. Tosser-Klopp (2017)*: Goat domestication and breeding: a jigsaw of historical, biological and molecular data with missing pieces. *Animal genetics*, 48(6), 631-644.
- Anandan, S., A. Dey, S.A. Deb, S.Kumar and P.C. Harbola, (1999)*: Effect of curds as probiotics supplement on performance of Cheghu crossbred kids. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 32:93-96.
- Antunovic, Z., M. Speranda, D. Amidzic, V. Seric, Z. Steiner, N. Doma-Cinovic and F. Boli, (2006)*: Probiotic application in lambs nutrition. *Krmiva*, 4: 175-180.
- Antunovic, Z., M.Speranda, B. Liker, V. Seric, D. Sencic, M. Domacinovic and T.Sperandat (2005)*: Influence of feeding the probiotic Pioneer PDFM® to growing lambs on performances and blood composition. *Acta Vet.*, 55: 287-300.
- Arney, DR, (2009)*: Welfare of large animals in scientific research. *Scand J Lab Anim Sci* 2009; 36: 97-101.
- Bakr H.A., Said E.M., Abd El-Tawab M.M. and Hassan M.S. (2009)*: The impact of probiotic (Biovet®) on some clinical, hematological and biochemical parameters in buffalocalves. *BS. Vet. Med. J.*, 19: 1-10.
- Belewu, M.A., A. A Yahaya & A.O. Adeyina (2008)*: Study on some haematological parameters of goats fed aspergillus treated and untreated shea-butter cake. *Rev. J. Anim. Sci.*, 5: 154-156.
- Bravo, J.A, P. Forsythe, V.C. Marianne, E. Emily, M.S. Hélène, T.G. Dinan, J. Bienenstock and J.F. Cryan, (2011)*: Ingestion of *Lactobacillus* strain regulates emotional behavior and central GABA receptor expression in a mouse via the vagusnerve. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*(2011) 108 (38), 16050—16055.
- Bruno, R.G.S, H.M. Rutigliano, R. L. Cerri, P. H. Robinson & J. E. P. Santos (2009)*: Effect of feeding *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* on performance of dairy cows during summer heat stress. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.*, 150: 175- 186.
- Camara, A.C.L., J.A.B. Afonso, N.A. Costa, C.L. Mendonça, M.I. Souza, and J.R.J. Borges, (2010)*: Risk factors, clinical and laboratory findings and therapeutic evaluation in 36 cattle with displaced abomasum. *Brazilian Journal of Veterinary Research and Animal Science*, 30: 453–464. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-736X2010000500014>.
- Chaucheyras-Durand, F., N.D. Walker and A. Bach (2008)*: Effect of active dry yeast on the rumen microbial ecosystem: past, Present and Future. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.*, 145: 5-26.
- Cheng, S.S., X. Ma, S.J. Geng, X.M. Jiang, Y. Li, L.S. Hu, J.R. Li, Y.Z. Wang, and , X.Y. Han, (2018)*: Fecal microbiota transplantation beneficially regulates intestinal mucosal autophagy and alleviates gut barrier injury. *M systems*, 3(5): e00137-18.
- Chessa, B., F. Pereira, F. Arnaud, A. Amorim, F. Goyache, I. Mainland & A. Alberti, (2009)*: Revealing the history of sheep domestication using retrovirus integrations. *Science*, 324(5926), 532-536.
- DePeters, E. and L. George (2014)*: Rumen transfaunation, *Immunology Letters*, 162: 69-76.
- Ekiz, B., E. E. Ekiz, O. Kocak., H. Yalcintan, and A. Yilmaz, (2012)*: Effect of pre-slaughter management regarding transportation and time in lairage on certain stress parameters, carcass and meat quality characteristics in Kivircik lambs. *Meat Science*, 90(4), 967-976.
- Emily, N., (2012)*: Probiotics do more than make your stomach happy, they may also help alleviate stress, anxiety and depression. (2012) Available at: <http://www.peakhealthadvocate.com/2073/>.
- Erasmus, L.J., P.M. Botha and A. Kistner, (1992)*: Effect of yeast culture supplement on production, rumen

- fermentation and duodenal nitrogen flow in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 75: 3056-3065.
- Falony, G., M. Joossens, S.Vieira-Silva, J.Wang, Y. Darzi, K. Faust, A. Kurilshikov, M.J. Bonder, M. Valles-Colomer, and D.J.S. Vandeputte, (2016):* Population-level analysis of gut microbiome variation. *Science*, 352: 560–564.
- Galip, N., (2006):* Effect of supplemental yeast culture and sodium bicarbonate on ruminal fermentation and blood variables in rams. *J. Anim. Phys. Anim. Nutri.*, 90:446-452.
- Gowda, S., P. B. Desai, S. S. Kulkarni, V. V. Hull, A. A. K. Math and S. N. Vernekar, (2010):* Markers of renal function tests. *N Am J Med Sci*. 2010;2(4):170-73.
- Haddad, S.G. and S.N. Goussous (2005):* Effect of yeast culture supplementation on nutrient intake, digestibility and growth performance of Awassi lambs. *J. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.*, 118: 343- 348.
- Hamilton, M.J., A.R. Weingarden, T. Unno, A. Khoruts, and M.J. Sadowsky, (2013):* High-throughput DNA sequence analysis reveals stable engraftment of gut microbiota following transplantation of previously frozen fecal bacteria. *Gut Microbes*, 4: 125–35.
- Huo, Q., B. Li, L. Cheng, T. Wu, P. You, S. Shen, (2019):* Dietary supplementation of lysophospholipids affects feed digestion in lambs. *Animals*. (2019) 9:805. doi: 10.3390/ani9100805.
- Jang, D., Y. Oh, H. KyongPiao, L. GuoChoi, H. BongYun, J. HyeonKim and Y. Yong, (2009):* Evaluation of Probiotics as an Alternative to Antibiotic on Growth Performance, Nutrient Digestibility, Occurrence of Diarrhea and Immune Response in Weaning Pigs. *J. Anim. Sci. Tech.*, 51: 751-759.
- Jenkins, T.A., (2014):* The use of fermentation extracts in animal feeds. *Jenkins Biolabs*, P.O. Box 8546, Riccarton, ChrisTchurch, New Zealand (2014).
- Ji, S., T. Jiang, H. Yan, C. Guo, J. Liu, H. Su, G.M. Alugongo, H. Shi, Y. Wang, Z. Cao, and S. Li, (2018):* Ecological restoration of antibiotic-disturbed gastrointestinal microbiota in foregut and hindgut of cows. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 8: 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb..00079>.
- Kamal, A., (2014):* Estimation of blood urea (BUN) and serum creatinine level in patients of renal disorder. *IJFALS*. 2014;4(4):199-202.
- Karaağaç F., M.Özcan and T.Savaş, (2005):* Some behaviour traits observed on the Kıvrıkcık and crossbred lambs raised in intensive conditions. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci* 2005; 29: 803-809.
- Koracevic, D., G. Koracevic, et al. J. Clin. Pathol. 54, 356 – 361 (2001):*
- Leo-Penu, C. L. O., L. A. Fitzpatrick, H. N. Zerby, & A. J. Parker, (2015):* Treating *Bos indicus* bulls with rumen transfaunation after 24 hours of transportation does not replete muscle glycogen. *Animal Production Science*, 56(10), 1738-1744.
- Liou, A.P., M. Paziuk, J.M.J. Luevano, S. Machineni, P.J. Turnbaugh, and L.M. Kaplan, (2013):* Conserved shifts in the gut microbiota due to gastric bypass reduce host weight and adiposity. *Science Translational Medicine Journal*, 5:178ra41.
- Liu, J., H. Li, W. Zhu, S. Mao, (2019):* Dynamic changes in rumen fermentation and bacterial community following rumen fluid transplantation in a sheep model of rumen acidosis: implications for rumen health in ruminants. *The FASEB Journal*, 33: 8453–8467.
- Manichanh, C., J. Reeder, P. Gibert, E. Varela, M. Llopis, M. Antolin, R. Guigo, R. Knight and F. Guarner, (2010):* Reshaping the gut microbiome with bacterial transplantation and antibiotic intake. *Genome Res*. 2010;20:1411–9.

- Messaoudi, M., R. Lalonde, N. Violle, H. Javelot, D. Desor, A. Nejdi, J.F. Bisson, C. Rougeot, M. Pichelin, M. Cazaubiel, J.M. Cazaubiel, (2011): Assessment of psychotropic-like properties of a probiotic formulation (*Lactobacillus helveticus* R0052 and *Bifidobacterium longum* R0175) in rats and human subjects. *Br. J. Nutr.* (2011)105:755-764.
- Musa, H.H., S.L. We, C.H. Zhu, H.I. Seri and G.Q. Zhu. (2009): The potential benefits of probiotics in animal production and health. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.*, (2009) 8: 313-321.
- Naglaa, M. A. A. and M. S. Ghada. (2014): "Turning nervous ewes to calm ones "behavioral and biochemical indicators" by use of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* probiotic." *Int. J. Adv. Res.* 2.10 386-393.
- Nowak R., R.H. Porter, D. Blache and C.M. Dwyer, (2008): Behaviour and the welfare of the sheep. In: Dwyer C, editor. *The Welfare of Sheep*. Berlin, Germany: Springer; 2008. pp. 81-134.
- Oyetayo, V.O. and F.L. Oyetayo, (2005): Potential of probiotics as biotherapeutic agents targeting the innate immune system. *Afr. J. Biotech.*, 4: 123-127.
- Pascual-Alonso, M., G. C. Miranda-De la Lama, L. Aguayo-Ulloa, M. Villarreal, M. Mitchell and G. A. María, (2017): Thermophysiological, haematological, biochemical and behavioural stress responses of sheep transported on road. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 101(3), 541-551.
- Rager, K.D., L.W. George, J.K. House., E.J. DePeters, (2004): Evaluation of rumen transfaunation after surgical correction of left-sided displacement of the abomasum in cows. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 225: 915-920. doi:10.2460/javma.225.915.
- Rizzo, H., A.C. Dantas, J.A. Guimaraes, L.H.E. Melo, C.C.M. Oliveira, P.C. Souto, M.S.B. Ono, J.A.L.O. Cruz, F.S. Mendonça, A.C.A. Abad, R.A. Mota, R.S. Siqueira Filho, and E.L. Almeida, (2015): Clinical-surgical treatments of unilateral gangrenous mastitis in goats due to different types of scarring. *Full Scientia*, 11 (4): 1-9.
- Robinson, P.H. (2002): Yeast products for growing and lactating dairy cattle: Impact on rumen fermentation and performance. *Dairy Rev.*, 9: 1-4.
- Russell, J.B. and D.B. Wilson, (1996): Why are ruminal cellulolytic bacteria unable to digest cellulose at low pH? *J. Dairy Sci.*, 79: 1503-1510.
- Sayed A.S. (2003): Studies on the influence of pronifer as a probiotic on the clinical, hematological and biochemical status of the goat's kids. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.*, 99: 131-143.
- Sudo, N., (2006): Stress and gut microbiota: Does postnatal microbial colonization programs the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal system for stress response? *Int. Congr. Ser.* (2006) 287:350-354.
- Sudo, N., Y. Chida, Y. Aiba, J. Sonoda, N. Oyama, X. Yu, C. Kubo, and Y. Koga, (2004): Postnatal microbial colonization programs the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal system for stress response in mice. *J. Physiol.* 558:263-275.
- Suresh, G., A. Ravi Kiran, Y. Samata, P. Naik & V. Kumar, (2014): Analysis of blood and salivary urea levels in patients undergoing haemodialysis and kidney transplant. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2014;8(7):ZC18-20.
- TOORA, B. and G. RAJAGOPAL, (2002): Measurement of creatinine by Jaffe's reaction - Determination of concentration of sodium hydroxide required for maximum color development in standard, urine and protein free filtrate of serum. *Indian journal of experimental biology*, 40, 352-364.
- Willing, B.P., A. Vacharaksa, M. Croxen, T. Thanachayanont, and B.B. Finlay, (2011): Altering host resistance to infections through microbial transplantation. *PLoS One*, 6: e26988.

- Yin, X., S. Ji, C. Duan, S. Ju, Y. Zhang, H. Yan, and Y. Liu, (2021):* Rumen fluid transplantation affects growth performance of weaned lambs by altering gastrointestinal microbiota, immune function and feed digestibility. *Animal*, 15 : 100076.
- Yong, E.D, (2011):* From guts to brains – eating probiotic bacteria changes behavior in mice. *DiscoverMagazine*.(2011).
- Zhou, C.S., Y. Fang, D.W. Zhou, X.Z. Sun, R.Z. Zhong and Y.Q. He, (2018):* Pelleted total mixed ration improves growth performance of fattening lambs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 242: 127-134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.06.008>.

## تأثير نقل عصاره الكرش على السلوك؛ الأداء ووظيفة الكلى فى حملان التسمين

احمد الراوى ، احمد محمد ، محمد صبره ، اسامه محمود ، مديحة درويش

E-mail: [elraway@vet.aun.edu.eg](mailto:elraway@vet.aun.edu.eg) Assiut University web-site: [www.aun.edu.eg](http://www.aun.edu.eg)

لقد تم اقتراح نقل عصاره الكرش (RT) كواحدة من الطرق الواعدة لتحسين صحة الحيوان وأدائه. الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو معرفة تأثير نقل عصاره الكرش (RT) على السلوك والأداء ووظيفة الكلى فى حملان التسمين. لقد تم تخصيص اثني عشر ذكراً من حملان التسمين وتوزيعهم بشكل عشوائي فى ثلاثة مجموعات: مجموعة ضابطة (CON)، وعددها اربعة حملان): تلقت فيها الحملان لترًا واحدًا من عصاره كرش الأغنام و (Tc، وعددها ايضا اربعة حملان): تلقت فيها الحملان ١ لتر من عصاره كرش الماشية جرعة واحدة وذلك فى اليوم الاول من التجربة . تم وضع كل خروف فى حظيرة واحدة (طولها ١٥٠ سم وعرضها ١٠٠ سم وارتفاعها ١٢٠ سم) بوزن حى ٢٠,٧ كجم واستمرت التجربة لمدة ستة أسابيع. تم تسجيل السلوك بواسطة تقنية المسح اللحظي لكل معاملة. تم قياس معايير الاداء ووظائف الكلى اسبوعيا. لقد أظهرت نقل عصاره الكرش انخفاضا معنويا فى سلوك المضغ فى شبكة الحظيرة خاصة فى المجموعة التى تلقت عصاره كرش الاغنام وانخفاض معنويا ايضا فى نسبة تحويل العلف فى كلا من المجموعتين (Ts و Tc) فى الأسبوع الأول والأسبوع الثانى ( $P = 0.0003$  و  $P = 0.0089$  ، على التوالي) ، ولكن اظهرت زيادة معنوية فى وزن الجسم فى كل من المجموعة (Ts و Tc) فى الأسبوع الثالث والخامس والسادس ( $P = 0.0357$  ،  $P = 0.0395$  و  $P = 0.0087$  ، على التوالي) مقارنة بحملان المجموعة التى تلقت محلول الملح. لم يكن هناك اى تأثير معنوي على مستوى اليوريا والكرياتينين فى الدم ( $P > 0.05$ ). فى الختام ، تشير نتائج هذه الدراسة إلى أن نقل عصاره الكرش لها تأثير جيد على سلوك وأداء حملان التسمين ، وبالتالي يمكن استخدامها فى مزارع الأغنام المكثفة ، ولكن لا تزال هناك حاجة لمزيد من الابحاث حول هذا الصدد.