معهد الأمصال واللقاحات البيطرية - العباسية . مدير المعهد : ا ، د ، سيد سلام

# تجارب معملية وحقليسة

للتعصين ضد مرض النيوكاسل بلقاح اللاسوتا في كل من كتاكيت ذات مناعبة وخاليسسة منهسسسا

# ناديه حسن ، كارولي الماس ، عادل الصحباغ

- 1) عند تحصين الكتاكيت حديثة الفقس والبالغة مــــن المناعة الموروثه ( SPF ) بلقاح اللاسوتا عن طريـــق ماء الشرب ( ١٠٠٠ ، ١٠٠٠ جرعة ماء الشرب ( المناعة المكتسبة ذات فاعلية عاليه وصلت الى ٩ ره ٩ ٪ ٠
- ٢) تحصين الكتاكيت ذات المناعة الموروثه أعمار ١١،١٠
   ٢ يوم بنفس جرعة اللقاح السابق لم تعطى نتائيج مشجعة بعد التحصين الأول ولكن عند اجـــرائاتحصين الثاني بعد ١١، ٢١ يوم زادت كفــائة التحصين الثاني بعد ١١، ٢١ يوم زادت كفــائة
- رد الفعل المناعي مقد را بالقوى العياريه لاليـــاف
   التلازن الد موى ( HI titer ) لم تتوافق نتائجه
   مع النسب المئوية للمناعة ضد العد وى المرضية .

## LABORATORY AND FIELD IMMUNIZATION OF CONGENITALLY IMMUNE AND SUSCEPTIBLE CHICKS WITH LASOTA NEWCASTLE DISEASE VACCINE (With 2 Tables)

# N.M. HASSAN, K. ALMASSY\* and A. EL-SABBAGH (Received at 8/11/1982)

#### SUMMARY

When Newcastle disease vaccine (La Sota strain) is used in drinking water for vaccination of specific pathogen free (SPF) baby and adult chickens, good protection was obtained even with a small dose of 100.000 EID (at least 95.9%).

Vaccination of maternally immune chicks of 10, 11 and 12 days old with this vaccine, did not give satisfactory results following the primary vaccination dose (protection of 50-55%). When a secondary vaccination dose was given to two groups of birds at two different intervals following the first dose, i.e. after 14 and 24 days, the percentage of immune birds increased to 65 and 80% respectively.

The acquired immunity was quite satisfactory and reached 90%, when maternally immune chicks of 14 days old were primary vaccinated with a dose of 2,500.000 EID  $_{50}$ .

HI titers in vaccinated birds could not be used as a criterion to judge on the protection percentage in challenged birds.

#### INTRODUCTION

The study of the efficiency of vaccination against Newcastle Disease (ND) in maternally immune and susceptible chicks has been always the aim of many research workers (DOLL et al. 1950; LEVINE and FABRICANT 1950, BEAUDETTE and BEVINS, 1953, WINTERFIELD and SEADAL 1957, BANKEWSKI and CORSTVET, 1962 and KEEBLE and WADE 1963).

The purpose of the present investigation is to determine the efficiency of LaSota drinking water vaccine in one day old and adult chickens which were either completely susceptible or congenitally immune to Newcastle disease.

#### MATERIAL and METHODS

#### Material:

I - Virus strains.

A) LaSota Newcastle disease vaccine strain originally supplied by Weybridge Reference Lab., U.K., was used as seed virus for the production of vaccine batches. The infectivity titer of the vaccine used in this investigation when titrated in emberyonated eggs was found to be 10<sup>8.7</sup> /0.1. Each lyophilized ampoule of the vaccine contained

<sup>\*</sup> Phylaxia Institute, Budapest, Hungary.

#### N.M. HASSAN, et al.

5 ml. of the original suspension.

B) The velogenic ND virus used in the challenge test was the "Hearts strain" which was supplied by the same Lab.

#### II - Birds.

- 208 day old SPF chicks used in these experiments were supplied by an SPF farm Budapest, Hungary.
- B) 57,999 congenitally immune baby and adult chickens from different farms were chosen and some of them were selected to be used in the present investigation. The distribution of these chickens is given in table (1).

#### Methods:

The Beta procedure of HI test was applied to demonstrate the immune response after vaccination and challenge. Such technique was accomplished by using a standard 4 HA units of ND virus suspension, against double fold dilutions of each serum together with 1% washed chicken RBCs in PBS solution.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES**

#### 1- Vaccination:

The vaccine was put into the drinking water. The birds were deprived of water overnight before given the water containing vaccine. After reconstituting the lyophilized vaccine, the dose per bird was calculated to be as follows:

A. 10-14 days old chicks	10 ml. water/bird.
B- 60 days old chicks	20 ml. water/bird.
C- Adult hens	40 ml. water/bird.

Since each lyophilized vial contains 5 ml. of the original suspension having a titer of  $10^{8.7}$  EID  $_{50}$  /0.1, thus various dilutions in drinking water were prepared to give final concentrations of 100.000, 400.000 and 2.500.000 EID  $_{50}$  per dose per bird. The number and age of birds as well as the programe of vaccination used in laborastory and field experiments are presented in table (1).

### 2- Protection test:

It was carried out 14 days post vaccination. The challenge dose was 0.5 ml. of the velogenic strain containing 10  $^6$  ELD, , given by I/M or S/C routes. This dose was found sufficient to kill chicks within 4-6 days after showing nervous manifestations. Challenged birds were isolated and kept under observation for a period of 15 days post-challenge with recording of any symptoms and/or deaths.

#### RESULTS

Results of this investigation are summarized in tables (I and II). The data demonestraste that chicks receiving 2.500.000 EID at 12 and 60 days old as well as those receiving 100.000 EID at 13 days old proved to 50 have acquired sufficient immunity according to the following criteria:

A- In the group of 12 days old having received 2.500.000 EID50 31.5% gave reliable HI titers (20 or more), whereas 95.9% were refractory to infection with the velogenic strain.

## IMMUNIZATION WITH LASOTA NEWCASTLE DISEASE VACCINE

- B- In birds of 13 days old having received 100.000 EID , 75% showed reliable HI titers, whereass 98% were solidly immune to challenge with the virulent virus.
- C- In the group of 60 days old having received 2.500.000 EID, 94.7% gave reliable HI titers and at the same time 98.7% were solidly immune.
- D- Finally in the group of 18 months old (receiving 400.000 EID ), 95% gave reliable HI titers and they were 100% immune.

Meanwhile, the congenitally immune chickens having received 500.000 or 2.500.000 EID showed the following results according to their age:

- A- In group 5 (table 1) of 10 days old having received 500.000 EID, none of them gave reliable HI titers (0%) and only 50% proved to be immune after primary vaccination. Following the second vaccination carried out 14 days later, the immune response slightly increased reaching up to 65%.
- B- In the sixth group of 11 days old receiving 500.000 EID, only 10% showed reliable HI titers and 50% were refractory to infection following the primary vaccination. After the booster dose which was given after 24 days, reliable HI titers were manifested in 35% whereas 80% were solidly immune to challenge infection.
- C- In the seventh group of 12 days old (having received 2.500.000 EID ), none of the birds demonstrated reliable HI titers and only 55% were immune after the first dose. When the booster dose was given after 21 days, 40% of the birds showed reliable HI titers, while those resisting challenge infection were 85% of the group.
- D- In birds of 8-14 days old receiving 2.500.000 EID 50, 54.7% showed reliable HI titers whereas 92% were refractory to challenge infection.

#### DISCUSSION

It is known that baby chicks susceptible to ND infection are more liable to acquire immunity by vaccination (ALLAN et al. 1973). Yet, day-old maternally immune (MI) chicks can successfully withstood Newcastle disease infection. (DOLL et al. 1950 b; MARKHAM et al. 1951; EISSA et al. 1961; ZAHER et al. 1962; ALMASSY et al. 1972 and ALLAN et al. 1973). The induced immunity becomes more efficient as maternal antibodies becomes hardly detected.

Investigation proved that recently hatched chicks possess an immature and still undeveloped immune system which gradually become more efficient reaching its maturity and efficient capacity by the sixth week. This fact was confirmed by KEEPLE and WADE (1963), Who found that young chicks with congenitally derived antibodies against Newcastle disease virus were refractory to early vaccination. This refractiveness is generally attributed to incomplete immunological competence of the chicks as well as to an abrogating effect of maternal antibodies on the vaccinal antigen. These informations may explain the variation in results with respect to the immunity acquired by (MI) baby chicks following a single dose of vaccine (Table I and II).

In our experiments a total of 208 SPF and 75.999 (MI) chicks were vaccinated by LaSota vaccine in the drinking water. The induced immunity that withstood challenge with the virulent ND virus after primary vaccination was at least 95.9% percent among SPF chicks of 12 days old whereas it was only 55% in MI chicks of the same age (Table II groups 1 and 7 respectively).

According to our results we can't deduce a sort of correlationship between the different values of HI titers and percentages of resistance following challenge after primo-vaccination.

#### N.M. HASSAN, et al.

For instance when the percentage of birds giving HI titers of 1/20 or more was only 31.5% the percentage to challenge was found to be over 95.9% in SPF 12 days old chicks, yet in the maternally immune chicks of the same age, no teliable HI titers were found, and only 55% of them were refractory to virulent infection. Neverthless Table II demonestrates that in 3 groups the percentage of reliable HI titers were very low being between zero and 10, although the resistance to challenge following this primary vaccination was never below 50%.

This phenomenon postulates the existence fo local immunity induced by vaccination with live virus vaccine. PONTECORVO and LOMBARDI (1966) reported that intranasal instillation with ND live virus vaccine induced the appearance of neutralizing antibodies in the respiratory tract even in birds showing a relatively low serum antibody titer. Such results were confirmed by ISAO YOSHIDA et al. (1971).

After giving the booster dose of vaccine in passively immune birds, the immune response has slightly increased and was ranging between 65-85% as detected by the challenge test. The main reasons for this weak immune response in farm flocks may be due, either to the maternal immunity in young chicks or to the possibility of the presence of other latent virus infections.

From the above mentioned results, the authors may recomend to carry the immunization process at the age of two weeks when the inherited antibodies decline, or when the immune status of the flock becomes unperceptible at the time of vaccination.

#### REFERENCES

- Allan, W.H.; J.E. Lancaster and B. Toth. (1973): The production and use of Newcastle disease vaccines. Report, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation Rome, 1973.
- Almassy, K.; El-Sabbagh; N. Barhouma; Ibrahim, K.; Wahba, S. and Hassan, N. (1972): Production and testing of Newcastle disease vaccine in Egypt "Use of amino-allantoic fluid, choice of stabilizer and method of colouring the mesogenic vaccine". Proc. Egypt. Vet. Cong. P. 34-44.
- Bankowski, R.A. and Corestvet, R. (1962): Nature of immunity to Newcastle disease in vaccinated chickens. 1- influence of residual resistance upon the level and duration of immunity following revaccination. Avian. Dis. 6: 33-348.
- Beaudette, F.R. and Bevins, J.A. (1953): The influenc of passive immunity on the response of I/M and I/N administration of NDV. Cornell Vet., 43: 513-531.
- Doll, E.R., W.T. McCollum and M.E. Wallace (1950 b): Immunization of chicks hatched from hens immunized against Newcastle disease. Vet. Med., 45: pp. 365-369.
- Eissa, Y.M.; Nafai, E. and Zaher, A. (1961): Immune response of baby chicks to various Newcastle disease vaccines adminstred by different routes. Proc. 4th Arab. Ann. Vet. Cong., pp. 383-392.
- Isao Yoshida, Motoi Oka, Fumiyasu Shimizu, Noboru Yuasa, and Hikokichi Tsubahara (1971):

  Neutralizing antibodies in the respiratory tract of chickens inoculated with Newcastle diseas vaccines. Nat. Inst. Anim. HIth Quart. 11, 75-82.
- Keeble, S.A. and S.A. Wade (1963): Inactivated Newcastle disease vaccine. J. Comp. Path. Therapy 72: 186-200.
- Levine, R.P. and Fabricant, J. (1950): Susceptibility to Newcastle disaese infection of chicks with congenital serum antibodies. Cornell. Vet., 42: 449-456.
- Markham, F.S.; AC.A. Bottorff. and H.R. Cox (1951): The conjunctival application of ND vaccine in parentrally immune and susceptible birds. Cornell. Vet., 41: 267-282.

# IMMUNIZATION WITH LASOTA NEWCASTLE DISEASE VACCINE

- Pontecervo, M. and Lombardo, D. (1966): Studio della immunita dopo vaccinazione con virs vivoed ucciso. Rte 1st Steroter Ital, 41: 264-272.
- Winterfield, R.W. and E.H. Seadale (1957): Newcastle disease immunization studies. 3- The immune response of chicken vaccinated at an early age with B NDV adminstred through the drinking water under field condition. Poultry. Sci., 36: 65-70.
- Zaher, A.; Y.M. Eissa and E. Nafie (1962): Immunization of baby chicks with B and F strains of ND vaccines Proc. 3rd Arab Ann. Vet. Cong., pp. 231-239.

Laborator	
8	
12	
600	
anuni sa	
11	
70 120	
£ 33	
804	
inturnally	
D WINSEN	
chicks	
Witch I	
15.00 ta	
NUE.	

		0		7		6		S	I II		•	w		R)	H	A 439	The same of the sa	and No	origin
		144	124	124	114	TIA	100	104			184	604 75	134 60	134	124			D E	
a H	4.23	75	124 16,000	20(0)	34.600	114 20(o)	104 7.200	20(0)			18m 10(a)	75	60	134 10(0)	73			birds	Humb ar
		- A		A.	Mary Trees	N N	THE STREET	1.0		8	70	75	60	50	73	per ferro	0	- T	1
		8		0		un		10			1	1	ŧ	ı	1		5-10	H	
Maternallyimmae Control snacept	specific pathogen free	0		4		600		1		0	ŧ	,	1	6	1		20-40	titur of	Before is
Strong a	path			\$ <sub>rd</sub>		gud		1		1	1		1	1	1		8	E Bear	
tible	Con D		-	7		w		1			1			1	e de la contraction de la cont	mun again.	toma or	bearing or	TOTAL PUTE
Maternabylmenae Control sesceptible birds.	0			7		ы		99			2			1			dunb-	ohallenge with-	DID CLO
		-		•		76		26			9			20			Dead	Editor -43 th	No.
		E	1284	10 S	114	08/3	204		62. 3	1.6m	A TOTAL CONTRACTOR	P09	134	034	12 4			age 100	-
a - months	d = days	2.500,000	2.500,000		500,000		500,000			400,000		2,500,000	100,000		2.500,000		510	of of vaccine	To the Party of th
d t mo	a Au	13	14		L		15			PO		10	F		10		0	pou	
		12	6		VI.		U			N		PO	4		Se Se	-	5-10	rolog	-
		38	0		۲		1			16		默	6		C		30-40	ponse(MI titer)	BIL
		w	1		۲		1		-11-02-00	8		45	R		6		40	9.9	t dissi
		69	ㅂ		10		10			8		74	59		70		Om o	ngee	Mret imminisation
		w	20		w		4			1		ы	8		Nã		aymp- toms	sequence after	00
		L	7		7		6			1		t	ы		1.		Doed		
		MELECULAR STATE	334	S # 3	354		240											of of	
		Constitution of the Consti	2,500,000		500,000		500,000										CID.	Aworpe	
		PRODUCTION OF	4	E27 85/2	60	NEGGE	THE PARTY OF THE P		DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN		F20000		also be the				0		-
			CO		Vi												5-10	00000	1 0
			PO		w												8	poss (HI Minr)	90 OC.
			0		4												8	-	TYTESTEE .
			17		16		13	:									e uno s	oh.	Security of the second
			20	<i>(</i> .	Ro		-										toma	challenge	
					10		0	,									Doad	0 2 4 572	

Table (II)

Correlation between HI status and challenge immunity in SPF and maternally immune chicks

per	Age	First immunization	unization	Second immunization	nunization
of	of	% of birds giving reliablee HI titer after first immunization	% of birds resisting challenge after first immunization	% of birds giving reliable HI titers after second immunization	% of birds resisting challenge after second immunization
SPF					
	12 d.	23/73 = 31.5%	70/73 - 95 90		
2	13 d.	45/60 = 75 %	59.60 = 98.3%		1
3	60 d.	71/75 = 94.7%	74/75 = 98 7%	1	1
4	18 m.	67/80 = 95 %	80/80 = 100 %		
3					
5	10 d.	0/20 = 0 %	10/20 = 50 %		
6	11 d.	2/20 = 10 %	50	7/20 75 2	13/20 = 65 %
7	12 d.	0/20 = 0 %	55	1/20 : 5 %	16/20 = 80 %
8	14 d.	41/75 = 54.7%	92	8/20 = 4U 26	17/20 = 85 %

x = By reliable mean an end titer of 20 or more
 = Birds not given the second vaccination dose

SPF = Specific pathogen free

MI = Maternally immune chicks