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Summary

Fecal samples of 18 Miniature horse were examined for parasitic infection. Three species of Helminthes were detected with a total percentage of 24%. The isolated Helminthes eggs were Parascaris equorum egg 8 (44.5%), small Strongyles spp. 3 (16.7%) and Dictyocaulus species 2 (11.1%). Three species of Eimeria were detected with a total infection rate of 46.3%. The isolated Eimeria were named as Eimeria spp. 1, 2 and 3 and with a percentage of 22.2%, 50% and 66.7% respectively.
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INTRODUCTION

Miniature horses were recently imported from North Carolina (U.S.A.) to Egypt. They are very small horses, their bodies are even more smaller than ponies (Chen and Wang, 1996), they are used for amusement in foreign countries. Equines are the host to approximately 54 species of worms (Duncan, 1974). Moreover, the annual losses of equines due to parasitic diseases were estimated to be 15% of actual value of total Egyptian equines (Ezzat, 1960). The present study actually was carried out to spot light on the endoparasites among such equine species and the possible of endoparasites they have introduced to our Egyptian equines.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Faecal samples were collected from the rectum of 18 miniature horse in plastic bags. Samples were examined in the same day of collection by sedimentation and flotation techniques according to Soubby (1988). The different species of eggs and coccidian oocysts were detected by microscope and measured by eye-piece micrometer.

The isolated oocysts were identified according to Morgan and Hawkins (1949); Lapage (1956); Levine (1961) and Soubby (1988), depending on the presence or absence of micropyle and/or sporulation. Forty sporulated oocysts of each Eimeria species were measured using the eye piece micrometer. Moreover, the oocysts were examined daily for determination of sporulation time of each Eimeria species (Soubby, 1988).

The percentage of infection was carried out by Mc-Master technique according to Gordon and Whitecock (1939).

\[
\text{Number of eggs or oocysts} = \frac{\text{No. of eggs or oocysts in 2 chambers} \times 100}{2}
\]

RESULTS

The results of the present investigation showed that the 18 cases of miniature horse imported from North Carolina were infected with three species of helminthes (Table 1 and Fig. 1) and three species of
Eimeria (Table 1 and Fig. 2, 3 & 4). The morphological characters of the isolated *Eimeria* species are presented in Table (2). As regard to the helminth eggs, three types of nematode eggs were found:

**Parascaris equorum**: The eggs are yellowish brown in colour, subglobular or spherical with a thick pitted albuminous layer and 91.32 - 99.5 μ in diameter.

**Dictyocaulus sp.**: Thin shelled, transparent egg, contains the first stage larva. Its size varied from 88 X 31 to 92.5 X 56.5 μ.

**Small Strongyulus sp.**: Oval, thin shelled with segmented embryo. It measures 71 X 37.5 to 83 X 45.5 μ.

Depending on the morphological characters and the sporation time of each *Eimeria* species, they were tabulated into 3 unidentified species numerically called *Eimeria* spp. 1, 2, and 3.

**DISCUSSION**

Miniature horses are recently introduced to Egypt, their fecal examination revealed the three species of *Eimeria* (Table 2); which are named *Eimeria* spp. 1, 2, 3 and three species of helminthes eggs.

It is worthy to mention that the three *Eimeria* species which are found differ from other species which were recorded in Egypt. The morphological characters of *Eimeria* spp. 2, 3 and sporation time differs from that recorded by Morgan and Hawkins (1949); Levine (1961); Sonleth (1988) and Aafa (1998) while *Eimeria* sp. 1 is similar to *E. leuckarti* in shape and sporation time but differs in its size.

The total infection rate of different *Eimeria* species is 46.3%. *Eimeria* sp. 1 is 4 (22.2%), *Eimeria* sp. 2 is 9 (50%) and *Eimeria* sp. 3 is 12 (66.7%). While *E. leuckarti* was isolated from equines by Bauer and Stoye (1984) with a percentage of 1%, Kini et al. (1985), 1.6%; Oder- and Knoharden (1993), 2.2% for *E. suisamaticus*, 0.9% for *E. coliform*; Beitz et al. (1994) isolated *E. leuckarti* from mare (80%); Bartoli et al. (1994) isolated it from 6 foals, 2 stallions and 1 mare out of 31 horses.

The infection rate of different helminthes which are isolated from miniature horses is 24%. The detected helminthes are *Parascaris equorum* egg 8(44.3%), small *Strongyulus* spp. 3(16.7%) and *Dictyocaulus* species 2(11.1%), Albasaglu and Yaline (1963); Gorezyanski et al. (1970); Graber (1970); Brem and Wojtek (1972), 273
Erdogan et al. (1973); Canoileer and Funerere (1974); Mirok (1978); Ambrosi (1981); Goyle and Heli (1984); Lyons et al. (1990) and Alani et al. (1992) isolated *Parascaris equorum* from equines with a percentage of 12%, 25% from horses in farm and 83% from horses in village 26%, 9%, 3.8%, 6.2%, 6.1%, 25.3%, 2.8%, 75%, 63.3% respectively. Dealing with the small *Strongylus* spp., our results showed that 16.7% of miniature horses were infected. The same parasite was isolated from equines by Manuel and Franco (1965) – 91.4%; Roner (1971) – 80%; Brems and Wolzuk (1972) – 76%; Mirek (1978) – 57.3%; Ambrosi (1981) – 98.2%; Goyle and Heli (1984) – 88.7%; Lyons et al. (1990) – 95%; Alani et al. (1992) – 63.3%.

*Dictyocaulus* species was isolated in the present study with a percentage of 11.1% which is lower than that recorded by El-Sokkary (1981) 88.5% and Khalifa et al. (1988) 83%. The morphological characters of each type of eggs agreed with those of Soulsby (1968) and Dietz and Wiesmer (1984).

The variation in the percentage of infestation may be attributed to age factor, environmental contamination and unhygienic disposal of animal manure that play a role in spreading of infection.

**LIST OF FIGURES**
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1.b: *Dictyocaulus* sp. egg X 400.
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Table 1: Prevalence of parasitic infection among 18 cases of miniature horse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolated parasite</th>
<th>Number and percentage of positive cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parasazos equorum</td>
<td>8 (44.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickicerca sp.</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Strongylus sp.</td>
<td>3 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eimeria sp. 1</td>
<td>4 (22.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eimeria sp. 2</td>
<td>9 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eimeria sp. 3</td>
<td>12 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>46.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Morphological characters of different Eimeria species isolated from miniature horse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sporulation time (days)</th>
<th>Size in Micron</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Micropyl</th>
<th>Micropyl cap</th>
<th>Oncocyst</th>
<th>Sporocyst</th>
<th>R. B.</th>
<th>Sindle B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eimeria sp. 1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45 x 54</td>
<td>Ovoid, dark brown, thick wall</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eimeria sp. 2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26.32 x 16.92</td>
<td>Spherical, orange red, double covered</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eimeria sp. 3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31.2 x 18.75</td>
<td>Ellipsoidal, thin wall</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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