

Animal Health Research Institute  
Assiut Regional Laboratory

ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE AND PLASMID PROFILE  
OF *ESCHERCHIA COLI* AND *STAPHYLOCOCCUS*  
*AUREUS* ISOLATED FROM LUNCHEON  
(With 6 Tables & 1 Figure)

By

R.S. REFAIE; H.H. ESSA; M.M. ALI,  
and AMAL. A. MOHAMMED

(Received at 26/6/2002)

مقاومة بعض المضادات الحيوية وتوصيف البلازميدات لميكروبي القولوني  
والمكور العنقودي الذهبي المعزولين من اللانشون

رمضان سيد رفاعي ، حمدي حسين عيسى ، محمد مصطفى على ،  
آمال أحمد محمد

نظرا لأهمية وخطورة البلازميدات المقاومة البكتيرية للمضادات الحيوية ومدى انتقالها من ميكروب إلى ميكروب وبالتالي للإنسان. فقد تناولت هذه الدراسة فحص ٥٤ عينة من اللانشون التي تم جمعها من محلات مدينة أسيوط المختلفة، أظهرت النتائج عن عزل ١٥ عترة من الميكروب القولوني وبالفحص السيرولوجي لهم تبين أن عترتين هما O<sub>114</sub> K<sub>90</sub> ، O<sub>26</sub> B<sub>6</sub> والباقي سلبي. كما تم عزل ٢٥ عترة من الميكروب المكور العنقودي الذهبي. تم إجراء اختبارات الحساسية لعدد ١٠ مضادات حيوية (جنتاميسين ، إيرثروميسين ، كلورامفينيكول وأموكسيسيل ، أميكاسين، أمبيلوكس ، حمض النالديكسيك ، أوكسي تتراسيكلين، بنسلين ، توبراميسين). وقد أسفرت النتائج عن قدرة الميكروب القولوني للمقاومة العالية ضد المضادات الحيوية مثل البنسلين و أمبيلوكس وأموكسيل بنسب ١٠٠ و ٩٣,٣ و ٩٣,٣% على التوالي. كذلك قدرة الميكروب المكور العنقودي الذهبي للمقاومة العالية ضد المضادات الحيوية مثل البنسلين و أمبيلوكس وأموكسيل بنسب ١٠٠ و ٩٦ و ٧٢% على التوالي. وبدراسة تعدد المقاومة البكتيرية للميكروب الواحد أسفرت النتائج عن وجود نسب ٦,٧ و ٢٠ و ٢٦,٧ و ٤٠ و ٦,٧% من كل عترات الميكروب القولوني المختبرية يقاوم مقاومة متعددة لعدد ٢ و ٣ و ٤ و ٥ و ٦ من المضادات الحيوية على التوالي. كذلك وجود نسب ٤ و ١٢ و ٤٠ و ٤٠ و ٤٠% من كل عترات الميكروب المكور العنقودي الذهبي المختبرية يقاوم مقاومة متعددة لعدد ١ و ٢ و ٣ و ٤ و ٦ من المضادات الحيوية على التوالي. بالبحث عن وجود البلازميدات في عترات الميكروب القولوني المعزولة من اللانشون بالتحليل الإلكتروني وفوريي للبلازميدات المستخلصة وجد أنها تتراوح بين (١-٣) بلازميدات في العترة الواحدة بأحجام جزيئية مختلفة تتراوح بين (١١-٥٣) كيلوبيز. كما

أسفرت النتائج على عدم وجود أي من البلازميدات في عترات الميكروب المكور العنقودي الذهبي.

### SUMMARY

Fifty four samples of luncheon meat were collected from Assiut City supermarkets and shops and examined bacteriologically. 15 (27.8%) *E.coli* were detected in the examined luncheon samples, two serotypes of Enteropathogenic *E.coli* (EPEC) were recorded (O<sub>26</sub> B<sub>6</sub>, O<sub>114</sub> K<sub>90</sub>) and the other were untypable. 25 (46.3%) *Staph. aureus* were detected from the examined samples. All isolates were tested to antibiotic resistant against gentamycin, erythromycin, chloramphenicol, amoxil, amikacin, ampiclox, nalidexic acid, oxytetracyclin, penicillin and tobramycin. *E.coli* isolates showed high antibiotic resistance against penicillin, ampiclox and amoxil with percentages 100, 93.3 and 93.3% respectively. *Staph.aureus* isolates showed high antibiotic resistance against penicillin, ampiclox and amoxil with 100, 96 and 72% respectively. Multidrug resistance study revealed that 6.7, 20, 26.7, 40 and 6.7% of the tested *E.coli* isolates resisted double, triple, quadruple, quintuple and sextuple antibiotic agents respectively. Multidrug resistance revealed that 4, 12, 40, 40 and 4% of the tested *Staph.aureus* isolates resisted single, double, triple, quadruple and sextuple antibiotic agents respectively. Screening for plasmid presence using electrophoresis analysis revealed that the extracted plasmid contents were (1-3) plasmid DNA per a single *E.coli* isolates with molecular size ranged from 11 up to 53 kilo base. All *Staph.aureus* isolates were negative for plasmid profile analysis.

*Kery words: Microorganisms – Antibiotic resistance – plasmid profile analysis.*

### INTRODUCTION

Recently, Piddock (1996) proposed three possible scenarios by which the use of antibiotics in food animals could pose a risk to human health: 1) Antibiotic-resistant bacteria pathogenic to humans are selected, and food is contaminated during slaughter and/or preparation. When the food is ingested, the bacteria cause a infection that requires antibiotic treatment, and therapy is compromised. 2) Antibiotic-resistant bacteria nonpathogenic to humans are selected in the animal. When the contaminated food is ingested, the bacteria transfer the resistance to other bacteria in the human gut. 3) Antibiotic remain as residues in

animal products, which allows the selection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the consumer of the food. The danger of this to humans is that antibiotic-resistant non-pathogenic organisms in an animal may be passed to, and colonize humans, carrying plasmids into the human environment, these plasmids may subsequently be transferred to human pathogens or to indigenous flora in the human body (Levy 1992).

Bacteria are carrying genes encoding for antibiotic resistance which called plasmids replicate autonomously (Wagner and Hahn 1999). Many new antibacterial drugs have been developed and used. Plasmids conferring resistance to them have often appeared within a short time.

The practical importance of plasmid-determined genes is recognized with the discovery of transferable drug resistance (Datta and Nagent 1984). This transmission of drug resistance is occurred when plasmids or bacterial strains containing plasmids are transmitted between different animals even of different species (Chaslus-Dancla *et al.*, 1987). Rather than the potential cross infection of human beings from animals and vice versa via such plasmids (Singh *et al.*, 1992).

Therefore, the present study was conducted to:

- Define the antibiotic resistance of *E.coli* and *Staph. aureus* strains isolated from luncheon meat.
- Perform plasmid profile analysis of these multidrug-resistant strains.

## MATERIAL and METHODS

### Collection of samples:

Fifty-four random samples of luncheon meat were collected from Assiut City supermarkets and shops. The samples were transferred as quickly as possible to the laboratory without delay to be immediately subjected for bacteriological examination.

### Isolation and identification of *E.coli*:

were carried out according to (ICMSF, 1978). The slide agglutination technique (ICMSF, 1978) was adopted for serotyping of *E.coli* strains.

### Isolation and identification of *Staph.aureus*:

Two gms. from each sample were inoculated into trypticase soy broth tubes and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. (A.P.H.A., 1992). Loopfuls from incubated tubes were streaked on Baird-Parker medium which is highly recommended and excels all other media (I.C.M.S.F.,



1978). Isolated strains were subjected to morphological and biochemical examination according to (A.P.H.A., 1992 and I.C.M.S.F, 1996).

**Antibiotic resistance technique:**

Disc diffusion method (DD): The standardized strain suspension was prepared as the staphylococci isolates were suspended in trypticase soy broth for 18 hrs, then the suspension was adjusted to a turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard by adding sterile saline, then it was suitable for sensitivity testing by DD procedure (Quinn *et al.*, 1994). The entire surface of a trypticase soy agar plate was streaked by a sterile cotton swab soaked in the standardized tested strain suspension. After complete drying, sensitivity antibiotic discs were gently placed [gentamycin 10 µg, erythromycin 15 µg, chloramphenicol 30 µg, amoxicil 25 µg, amikacin 30 µg, ampiclox 30 µg, nalidexic acid 30 µg, oxytetracycline 30 µg, penicillin 10 I.U and tobramycin 10 µg - Oxoid Limited-England]. The test was performed according to Bauer *et al.* (1966). Categorizing the tested strains to susceptible or resistant was judged by measuring the whole inhibition zone Ø according to Bauer-Kirby Scale (Atlas, 1995).

**Plasmid profile analysis:**

**Extraction of plasmid DNA:**

Two typable *E.coli* isolates representing O26 B6 and O114 K90 serotypes beside three untypable and five *Staph.aureus* isolates were grown overnight at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani medium (LB medium) with shaking. Plasmid DNA was extracted from the bacteria using alkaline lysis method after Birnboim and Doly (1979). The concentration and purity of the extracted DNA was determined by spectrophotometry (Gene Quant II, pharmacia Biotech.)

**Agarose gel electrophoresis:**

The extracted plasmid DNA was analysed by electrophoresis on 0.7% agarose gels at 120 volts for 1.5 hours and stained with 0.5 µg/ml of ethidium bromide. 2 µl of RNase (20 mg/ml) was included in the electrophoresis for each sample as well as DNA molecular size marker. The gels were photographed under UV transilluminator (Biometer).

## RESULTS

Results were explained in Tables 1-6 and 1 Figure.

## DISCUSSION

The development of some resistance by bacterial pathogens is almost certainly an inevitable consequence of the clinical use of antimicrobial drugs. Excessive use of antibiotics for treating animal diseases and subtherapeutic applications of antimicrobial agents for disease prevention, growth promotion, and feed efficiency in livestock and poultry production also have accelerated the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, which can then be transferred to humans through the food chain (Meng *et al.*, 1998).

The public health hazard of *E.coli* strains when they are present in human gut is manifested not only in being facultative pathogens, but also in disseminating their multidrug resistance plasmids and infecting other microorganisms since the transference of these plasmids can take place and the exchange may occur even between gram +ve and gram -ve bacteria through conjugational genetic transfer (Mazodier and Davis, 1991 and Kessie *et al.*, 1998).

The incidence of *E.coli* organisms in the examined luncheon meat was 15 (27.8%) (Table 1). These findings were in acceptance with that recorded by Eman (1990) and Youssef *et al.* (1999). Lower incidence of *E.coli* in luncheon samples were reported by Ahmed (1992) and Youssef *et al.*, (1999). The increase incidence of *E.coli* in the examined samples may be due to mishandling during production, processing and distribution. Two *E.coli* serotypes were Enteropathogenic *E.coli* (EPEC) (O26 B6 and O114 K 90) (13.3%) could be isolated from the examined samples and the other *E.coli* isolates 13 (86.7%) were untypable (Table 1), Eman (1990), Darwish *et al.* (1991) and Youssef *et al.* (1999) could isolate different serovars from meat products. *E.coli* constitutes a public health importance and one of the food poisoning agents (Beckers 1986). Meat products were implicated in two separate outbreaks of food poisoning due to Enteropathogenic *E.coli* (Doyle and Padyhe, 1989).

It is evident from the recorded data (Table 1) that *Staph.aureus* could be detected in 46.3% of the examined samples. *Staph.aureus* existed in many of the examined meat products, some of them were contaminated with high level of such organisms and this may be due to

its spread by food handlers, particularly of infected wounds or sores on their hands (Mahoney and Compbell, 1983 and Youssef *et al.*, 1999).

In the present investigation 100, 93.3 and 93.3% of the examined *E.coli* strains were highly resistant to the action of penicillin, ampiclox and amoxil respectively, (Table 2). In recent years, there is an alarming increase in the rate of human infections with antibiotic resistant microorganisms (Salvat *et al.* 2001). Two main categories of bacteria carrying genes encoding for antibiotic resistance which may be transmitted from animals to humans via food products. The first category is the obligate infectious pathogens as *Salmonella enterica*, while the second one is the facultative pathogenic species as *E.coli* (Wagner and Hahn, 1999).

Table (3) shows that most of the examined *Staph.aureus* strains were highly resistant to the action of penicillin, ampiclox and amoxil with an incidence of 100, 96 and 72% respectively. Staphylococcal penicillin resistance was widely established. Costa *et al.* (1996); Andrade *et al.* (2000); Younis *et al.* (2000); and Viera *et al.* (2000) reported that penicillin resistance percentages were 100, 97, 86 and 87% respectively. The principle limitation of penicillin usage is its susceptibility to be destructed by  $\beta$ - lactamase enzyme (penicillinase) produced by many staphylococcus spp. (Watts and Salmon, 1997).

The recorded data in Table (4) revealed that, all tested *E.coli* strains were multidrug-resistant (6.7% were double, 20% were triple, 26.7% were quadruple, 40% quintuple and 6.7% were sextuple antibiotic resistant). Multidrug resistance were widely studied. Cid *et al.* (1996) showed that 55% were quadruple and 33% were sextuple antibiotic resistant strains. Urassa *et al.* (1997) reported that more than 80% of the tested strains were resistant for at least three different antibiotics. Meng *et al.* (1998) mentioned that 24% from *E.coli* isolates were resistant to at least one antibiotic and 19% were resistant to three or more of the antibiotics tested. Recently Orden *et al.* (2000) recorded that 77% of the tested *E.coli* strains were resistant for at least double, 67% could resist at least four, and 32% resist at least eight antibiotics.

In the present investigation, the tested *Staph.aureus* strains were multidrug resistant (4% were single, 12% were double, 40% were triple, 40% were quadruple and 4% were sextuple antibiotic resistant) as shown in Table (5). Gentilini *et al.* (2000) recorded that 64% of the tested *Staph.aureus* were multidrug resistance. Abd El-Hafeez (2000) found that 6.17% were triple, 24.69% were quadruple, 28.39% were quintuple,



24.69% were sextuple and 16.04% were septuple antibiotic resistant strains. These significant differences in multidrug resistance percentages necessitate searching for the transferable plasmids encoding genes of antibiotic resistance.

Greater use of an antibiotic has resulted in an increase of *E.coli* strains resistant to that antibiotic. Multiple resistance could increase with the use of one antibiotic where resistance to it and to other antibiotics are on the same plasmid (Jakson, 1981). Concerning *E.coli* strains, results recorded in Table (6) and Fig. (1) indicated the presence of plasmid DNA which their content ranged (1-3) plasmid DNA per *E.coli* strain and molecular size ranged (11-53) kilo base (K.b). David *et al.* (1991) found one to eight plasmids whose molecular size varied from 1.54 to 34.67 Kb. Also Sayed *et al.* (2001) reported that the extracted plasmid contents ranged (1-5) plasmids per *E.coli* strain with molecular size ranged (2.5-27) Kb.

Wise *et al.* (1985) recorded that the seriousness of a plasmid presence appears when it is a transferable one. Nazer (1978); Baldini *et al.* (1983) and Wise *et al.* (1985) showed that 26, 97 and 91% of the tested *E.coli* antibiotic resistant were carrying transferable plasmids.

All *Staph. aureus* strains were negative for plasmid profile analysis (Table 6).

The main requirement in terms of food hygiene is to avoid risks resulting from the presence of pathogenic, potentially pathogenic and toxinogenic microorganisms in food (Pazakova *et al.*, 1997). Rather than its devoiding of any microorganisms carry antibiotic resistance genes (plasmids) as these plasmids may be transmitted to other human flora (Wagner and Hahn, 1999).

#### REFERENCES

- Abd El-Hafeez, M. M. (2002): In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance pattern of Staphylococcus spp. recovered from bovine mastitis. Int. Conf. for develop. and the Env. in the Arab World, March (26-28) p. 21-31.*
- Ahmed, M.N. (1992): Incidence and occurrence of Salmonella and E.coli organisms in packed meat products in Assiut. M. V. Sc Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Assiut Univ., Egypt.*

- American Public Health Association "APHA" (1992):* Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods. 3 rd Ed. Vanderzant, C. and Splittoesser, D. F., APHA, Washington DC 20005.
- Andrade, M.A.; Dias Filho, F.; Mesquita, A.J. and Rocha, P.T. (2000):* *In vitro* sensitivity test of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from milk samples from cattle with subclinical mastitis, *Ciecia Animal Brasileira* 1 (1): 53-57.
- Atlas, R.M. (1995):* Principles of Microbiology. p. 364-365. Mosby year Book Inc. 1st ed. USA.
- Baldini, M.M.; Kaper, J.B.; Levine, M.M.; Candy, D.C. and Moon, H.W. (1983):* Plasmid-mediated adhesion in enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *J. Pediatr. Gastroentrol. Nutr.* 2 (3): 534-538.
- Bauer, A.W.; Kirby, M.M.; Sherris, J.C. and Turch, M. (1966):* Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disc method. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* 45: 493-496.
- Beckers, J.H. (1986):* Incidence of food borne disease in Netherland; Annual Summary. *J. Food Protec.*, 49, 11: 924-931.
- Bironboim, H.C. and Doly, J. (1979):* A rapid alkaline extraction procedure for screening recombinant plasmids. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 7: 1513-1523.
- Chaslus-Dancla, E.; Gerbaud, G.; Martel, J.L.; Lagorce, M. Lafont, J.P. and Courvalin, P. (1987):* Probable transmission between animals of a plasmid encoding aminoglycoside 3-N-acetyl transferase IV and dihydrofolate reductase I. *Vet. Microbiol.* Oct. 15 (1-2): 97-107.
- Cid, D.; Piriz, S.; Ruiz-Santa-Quiteria, J.A.; Valle, J.; Valdillo, S. and DeLa Fuente, R. (1996):* *In vitro* susceptibility of *Escherchia coli* strains isolated from diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids to 14 antimicrobial agents. *J. Vet. Pharmacol. Ther.* Oct. 19 (5): 397-401.
- Costa, E.O.; Watanabe, E.T.; Melville, P.A.; Rebeiro, A.R.; Silva, A.B. and Garino, J.R. (1996):* A valiação "*In vitro*" da Interferência do Leite nos testes de sensibilidade a diferentes antimicrobianos de cepas de *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates de infecções intramamárias em bovinos leiteiros. In: Congresso Panamericano de ciencias Veterinarias, 15, P 237. Anais, Brazil. Cited by Costa *et al.*, (2000).



- Darwish, A.M.; Niazi, Z.M. and Zaki, E.M. (1991): *Escherichia coli* in meat products. *Vet. Med. J.*, Giza, 39, 3: 841-851.
- Datta, N. and Naget, M.E. (1984): Plasmids in bacterial variation. ch. 6 of Tobly and Wilson's: *Principals of Bacteriology, Virology and Immunity*. 17 th ed. Edward Arnold, Butler and Tanner Ltd. London.
- David, B.P.; Purushothaman, V. and Venkales, R.A. (1991): Comparison of plasmid profile analysis, antibiogram testing, resistotyping and biotyping in identification of *Escherichia coli* isolates from poultry. *Vet. Rec. Aug*, 3; 129 (5): 94-97.
- Doyle, M.P. and Padyhe, V.V. (1989): *Escherichia coli*: In *Foodborne Bacterial Pathogens*, Doyle, M. P. (Ed). Marcel Dekker: New York and Basel.
- Eman, M.S.Z. (1990): *Escherichia coli* in meat products with special reference to enteropathogenic strains. M.V.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Gentilini, E.; Denamiel, E.; Llorente, P.; Godaly, S.; Rebulto, M. and DeGregorio, O. (2000): Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis in Argentina. *J. Dairy Science*. 83 (6): 1224-1227.
- International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Food "ICMSF" (1978)*: *Microorganisms in Foods*, 2nd Ed. Univ. of Toronto press, Toronto Ontario, Canada.
- International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Food "ICMSF" (1996)*: *Microorganisms in Foods*. Blackwell Acienc Publ., Pala Alto, California.
- Jackson, G. (1981): A survey of antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated from farm animals in Great Britain from 1971 to 1977. *Vet. Rec. II*; 108 (15): 325-328.
- Kessie, G.; Ettayebi, M.; Haddad, A.M.; Shibl, A.M.; Al-Shammary, F.J.; Tawfik, A.F. and Al-Ahdal, M.N. (1998): Plasmid profile and antibiotic resistance in coagulase negative *Staphylococci* isolated from polluted water. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 84(6): 417-422.
- Levy, S.B. (1992): *The antibiotic Paradox: How Miracle drugs are destroying the Miracle*. 1<sup>st</sup> Ed. New York: Plenum Press.
- Mahoney, D.B. and Compbell, R.C. (1983): A survey of the microbiological quality of meat available from supermarket in Lea. *Science in New Guinea*, 10: 69-77.

- Mazodier, P. and Davis, J. (1991):* Gene transfer between distantly related bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, 25: 147-171.
- Meng, J.; Zhao, S.; Doyle, M. P. and Joseph, S.W. (1998):* Antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and O157:NM isolated from animals, Food and Humans. *J. Food Protec.* 61, 11: 1511-1514.
- Nazer, A.H. (1978):* Transmissible drug resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolated from healthy dogs, cattle, sheep and horses. *Vet. Rec.* 23-30; 103 (26-27): 587-589.
- Orden, J.A.; Ruiz-Santa-Quiteria, J.A.; Garcia, S.; Cid-Dand DeLa Fuente, R. (2000):* Quinolone resistance in *Escherichia coli* strains from diarrhoeic lambs in Spain. *Vet. Rec.* II; 147 (20): 526-578.
- Pazakova, J.; Turek, P. and Laciakova, A. (1997):* The survival of *Staphylococcus aureus* during the fermentation and storage of yoghurt. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 82 (5): 659-662.
- Piddock, L. (1996):* Does the use of antimicrobial agents in veterinary medicine and animal husbandry select antibiotic-resistant bacteria that infect man and compromise antimicrobial chemotherapy? *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 38: 1-3.
- Quinn, P.J.; Carter, M.E.; Markey, B.K. and Karter, G.R. (1994):* *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology*. 1st ed. year book Europe limited.
- Salvat, A.; Antonnacci, L.; Fortunaty, R.H.; Suarez, E.Y. and Godoy, H.M. (2001):* Screening of some plants from northern Argentina for their antimicrobial activity. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 32 (1): 293-297.
- Sayed, A.M.; Abd El-Hafeez, M.M.; Thabet, A-El-R. and Bastawros, A.F. (2001):* *In vitro* minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of some antimicrobial agents against *E.coli* strains isolated from diarrhoeic lambs and their plasmid profile. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 46 (91): 109-122.
- Singh, M.; Sanyal, S.C. and Yadav, J.N. (1992):* Enterotoxigenic drug resistant plasmids in animals isolates of *Escherichia coli* and their zoonotic importance. *J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 95(5): 319-321.
- Urassa, W.; Lyamuya, E. and Mhalu, F. (1997):* Recent trends on bacterial resistance to antibiotics. *East.Afr.Med. J.* 74 (3): 129-133.

- Viera, D.M.; Donatele, D.M.; Oliveira, P.R. and Folly, M.M. (2000): Methicillin susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* from mastitic milk in Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil Revista Brasileira de Ciencia Veterinaria, 7 (2): 123-126.
- Wagner, J. and Hahn, H. (1999): Increase of bacterial resistance in human medicine by resistance genes of bacteria from meat supplying animals. Berl. Munch. Tierarztl. Wochenschr., 112 (11): 380-384.
- Watts, J.L and Salmon, S.A. (1997): Activity of selected antimicrobial agents against strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine intramammary infections that produce  $\beta$ - Lactamase. J. Dairy Science 80 (4): 788-791.
- Wise, P.J.; Towner, K.J.; Webster, C.A.; Slack, R.C. and Jones, T.O. (1985): Trimethoprim resistance plasmids in *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrhoea in cattle, pigs and sheep. J. App. Bacteriol. 58 (6): 555-561.
- Younis, A.; Leitener, G.; Heller, D.E.; Samra, Z.; Gadba, R.; Lubashevsky, G.; Chaffer, M.; Yadlin, N.; Winkler, M. and Sarnan, A. (2000): Phenotypic characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis in Israeli dairy herds. J. Vet. Med. B. 47: 591-597.
- Youssef, H.; Nassar, A.; Hassanein, K. and Abd-Alla, M. (1999): Predominance of some food poisoning microorganisms in meat products. 5th Sci. Cong., Egyptian Society for Cattle Diseases, Assiut, Egypt. 241-244.

Table 1: Incidence of *E.coli* and *Staph.aureus* strains isolated from luncheon.

Microorganisms	No. + Ve / No. Tested	%	Serotypes	
			No.	%
<i>E.coli</i>	15/ 54	27.8	2	13.3
<i>Staph.aureus</i>	25/ 54	46.3	-	-



**Table 2:** Antibiotic resistance of *E.coli* strains isolated from luncheon (n = 15)

Types of antibiotics	Resistance of tested strains	
	No.	%
Amikacin	4	26.7
Erythromycin	8	53.3
Chloramphenicol	7	46.7
Amoxil	14	93.3
Ampiclox	14	93.3
Nalidexic acid	0	0
Gentamycin	0	0
Oxytetracycline	0	0
Penicillin	15	100
Tobramycin	1	6.7

**Table 3:** Antibiotic resistance of *Staph.aureus* strains isolated from luncheon (n = 25)

Types of antibiotics	Resistance of tested strains	
	No.	%
Amikacin	2	8
Erythromycin	4	16
Chloramphenicol	1	4
Amoxil	18	72
Ampiclox	24	96
Nalidexic acid	3	12
Gentamycin	2	8
Oxytetracycline	0	0
Penicillin	25	100
Tobramycin	4	16

**Table 4:** Multidrug resistance of *E. coli* strains isolated from luncheon

Drug resistance	No. of strains	Percentage
Double:		
C & P	1	6.7
Triple:		
P, AX & AMX	3	20
Quadruple:		
E, P, AX & AMX	2	13.3
C, P, AX & AMX	1	6.7
AK, P, AX & AMX	1	6.7
Quintuple:		
AK, E, P, AX & AMX	2	13.3
E, C, P, AX & AMX	3	20
Tob, C, P, AX & AMX	1	6.7
Sixtuple:		
AK, E, C, P, AX & AMX	1	6.7

N.B: All *E. coli* tested were resistant at least against double antibiotics.  
 GM = gentamycin      E = erythromycin      C = chloramphenicol  
 AMX = amoxicil      AK = amikacin      Tob = tobramycin  
 NA = nalidixic acid      OT = oxytetracycline      AX = ampiclox  
 P = penicillin

**Table 5:** Multidrug resistance of *Staph. aureus* strains isolated from luncheon.

Drug resistance	No. of strains	Percentage
Single:		
P	1	4
Double:		
Ax & P	1	4
Triple:		
AMX, AX & P	3	12
AK, AX, & P	3	12
AX, GM & P	10	40
Quadruple:		
AMX, AX, Tob & P	8	32
AMX, AX, NA & P	1	4
AK, AMX, AX & P	1	4
E, AX, NA & P	1	4
E, AMX, AX & P	1	4
Sixtuple:		
C, AMX, AX, NA, GM & P	3	12
	1	4
	1	4

All *Staph. aureus* strains tested were resistant at least against single antibiotic

**Fig. 1: plasmid profile analysis of *E.coli* and *Staph.aureus* strains isolated from luncheon.**

**M: Marker**

**No. 1 to 5 → *E.coli* strains**

**No. 6 to 10 → *Staph. aureus* strains**



**Table 6: Plasmid profile analysis of *E.coli* and *Staph.aureus* strains corresponding to their own multidrug resistance**

No.	No. of plasmid DNA	Molecular size of plasmid DNA (K.b)	Multidrug resistance
<i>E.coli:</i>			
1	1	53	AK, F, P, AX & AMX
2	2	52 & 27	E, C, P, AX & AMX
3	1	20	Tob, C, P, AX & AMX
4	3	29, 18 & 11	AK, F, P, AX, AMX
5	2	53 & 29	AK, E, C, P, AX & AMX
<i>Staph.aureus</i>			
6	-	-	C, AMX, AX, NA, GM & P
7	-	-	AMX, AX, NA, & P
8	-	-	AK, AMX, AX & P
9	-	-	E, AMX, Ax & P
10	-	-	E, AMX, AX & P

All *Staph.aureus* strains were negative for plasmid profile analysis

Kb : Kilo base