Animal Health Research Institute Assiut Regional Laboratory.

BACTERIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF CHICKEN LUNCHEON IN ASSIUT CITY

(With 4 Tables)

By
H.H. ESSA; N.H. MAKAR and SOHAIR, Z. HUSSEIN
(Received at 28/6/2004)

التقييم البكتريولوجي للاشون الفراخ في مدينة أسيوط

حمدى حسين عيسى ، نبيل حبيب مقار ، سهير زين العابدين حسين

تسم جمسع عدد ٣٠ عينة من لانشون الفراخ من محلات مختلفة في مدينة أسيوط تحت الظروف الملائمة والانستراطات الصحية اللازمة لوصول هذه العينات إلى المعمل في حالة جيدة تسمح بالقسيام بالقصص الظاهري والبكتريولوجي عليها، وباستخدام الطرق الحسية المختلفة القحص الظاهري، أما بالنسبة القحص الظاهري، أما بالنسبة القحص البكتريولوجي أوضحت النتائج أن متوسط العدد الكلي الميكروبات الهوائية والميكروبات المعوية والميكروبات المعوية المتوافية والميكروبات اللهوائية والميكروبات المعوية السنوالي، وقد أمكن عزل الميكروبات الأثنية: عدد ١٥ (١٩٠٤) عترة انتيروباكثر كلواكي، ٢١ (١٩٠٨) عسرة انتيروباكثر كلواكي، ٢١ (١٩٠٧) عسرة انتيروباكثر فروندياي، ١٦ (١٩٠٨) كليسيله رئوية وأخيرا عدد ١٥ (١٤٤٥) عترة سالمونيلة توقيه فأريسة ١١ (٣٠٣) سالمونيلة تيفيه وقد تمت مناقشة الأهمية الصحية لهذه الميكروبات ومدى خطورتها على الصحة العامة.

SUMMARY

Thirty samples of chicken luncheon were collected from different shops and supermarkets in Assiut City. The samples were examined for their organoleptic and bacteriological quality. All the examined samples were accepted organoleptically and found to be contaminated with different types of microorganisms. The mean values of total aerobic plate count, Enterobacteriaceae and Staphaureus counts were 14×10^4 , 8.8×10^4 and 13.7×10^3 /g of the examined chicken luncheon samples respectively. The Enterobacteriaceae which could be detected in the examined chicken luncheon were 18(25.7%) Enterobacter cloaecae 21(30%) Citrobacter

Assiut Vet. Med. J. Vol. 50 No. 102 July 2004

freundii., 16(22.8%) Klebsiella pneumoniae., and 15(21.4%) Proteus vulgaris. Also 2 (6.6%) strains of Salmonella were serotyped as Salmonella typhimurium 1(3.3%) and Salmonella typhi 1(3.3%). The hygienic importance of the isolated organisms were discussed.

Key words: Microorganisms, chicken luncheon, poultry meat products.

INTRODUCTION

Poultry meat products comprise a substantial portion of the human diet. Clearly, the continual growth and prosperity of the poultry industry will depend, in large measure, on its ability to supply the consumer with wholesome and safe products. However, the presence of pathogenic and/or spoilage microorganisms in poultry products remain a significant concern (Roberts, 1988 and Todd, 1989).

Total bacterial numbers and other counts have been used not only as indices of safety, but more important, as indicative of the sanitary conditions under which the food product has been prepared and the care the product has recived (Fanelli *et al.*, 1965).

Salmonella was selected as the largest pathogenic microorganism because it is one of the most common causes of food poisoning, is present at varying frequencies on all types of raw meat and poultry products, and can be easily analysed in a variety of products (Rose et al., 2002). Poultry and poultry products are a common vehicle of food borne illness. Microbial risks associated with raw poultry products include Salmonella spp., Out breaks involving large numbers of people are usually caused by Salmonella (Uyttendaele, et al. 1999).

Staph.aureus is important in relation to poultry meat hygiene because of its ability to produce enterotoxins, which may cause food poisoning in human. Staphylococcal food poisoning is one of the major causes of foodborne illness, Jablonski and Bohach (1997). In 1989, the estimate of the incidence of food borne diarrheal disease caused by Staph.aureus food poisoning in the United States was 24 million or more cases per year, Doyle and Padhye (1989).

The main purpose of this study was to determine the presence of aerobic total bacterial counts, *Enterobacteriacae* and *Staph.aureus* in chicken luncheon samples collected from supermarkets at Assiut City, and to identify a relationship between total bacterial counts and above mentioned pathogens.

MATREIAL and METHODS

Collection of samples:

A total of 30 random samples of chicken luncheon were collected from different shops and supermarkets at Assiut City. All samples were aseptically packaged and transferred as quickly as possible to the laboratory for organoleptic and bacteriological examination.

I- Organoleptic examination: According to National Academy of Sciences (1985).

The sample was freed from its package to evaluate the appearance, odour and consistancy. Other defects that may be present were noted and recorded.

II- Bacteriological examination:

1- Preparation of samples:

Ten grams portions of each sample were added to 90 ml of sterile 0.1% peptone water in a sterile morter. The sample was grinned for 3 minutes. Ten fold serial dilutions from the original dilution (10⁻¹) were made and then the bacteriological analysis was performed.

2- Aerobic plate count: (APC)

Standard plate count agar was used for the aerobic plate count according to American Public Health Association (A.P.H.A., 1972).

3- Enterobacteriaceae count:

0.1ml of each dilution was plated on violet red bile glucose agar according to Mercuri and Cox (1979). Biochemical tests were done on the isolated colonies according to Edward and Ewing (1972).

4- Staphylococcus aureus count: (Baird-Parker, 1962):

Over a dry surface of Baird-Parker (B-P) agar plates (Duplicated plates were used), 0.1 ml amount from each of the prepared dilutions of samples under investigation was transferred and evenly spread using surface plating technique (Tatcher and Clark, 1975).

Coagulase test was carried out according to Cruickshank, et al (1975).

5- Isolation and Identification of Salmonella.

One gram portion of each sample was inoculated into 20 ml selenite cystine broth and incubated at 37°c for 18-24 h. After incubation a loopfull was streaked on SS agar (Difo). Suspected Salmonella colonies were further identified biochemically and serologically according to Cruickshank, et al. (1980).

Assiut Vet. Med. J. Vol. 50 No. 102 July 2004

RESULTS

Table 1: Frequency distribution of the examined chicken luncheon according to their organoleptic examination.

Type of Examined	Appearance		Odour		Consistancy		
samples	Normal	Abnormal	Normal	Abnormal	Normal	Abnorma	
Chicken luncheon	30	10201	30	-	30	-	
Percentage	100		100		100	Water	

Table 2: Aerobic plate count, Enterobacteriaceae and Staph.aureus counts of the examined chicken luncheon samples/g.(n=30)

Types of organisms	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	
Aerobic plate count	26x10 ²	15x10 ⁵	14x10 ⁴	
Enterobacteriaceae count	9x10 ²	14x10 ⁵	8.8x10 ⁴	
Staph.aureus count	6x10 ²	12×10 ⁴	13.7x10 ³	

Table 3: Enterobacteriacae organisms isolated from chicken luncheon samples.

No. of examined	+ve s	amples	No. of strains			e organi	sms				
samples	No.	%	isolated Enterobacter Citrobacter Cloaecae Freundii	322,000	siella moniae		oteus garis				
30	23	76.6	70	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
				18	25.7	21	30	16	22.8	15	21.4

Table 4: Types of Salmonella organisms isolated from chicken luncheon.

No. of examined samples	Positive samples		Types of Salmonella in chicken luncheon					
			S.typhimurium		S. Typhi			
30	No.	%	No.	%	No.	0/0		
	2	6.6	1	3.3	1	3.3		

DISCUSSION

Many foods microoganisms of importance in relation to poultry Products include the non Pathogenic spoilage type, as well as pathogens which are capable to cause food borne disease (Cunningham and Cox, 1987 and Bean et al. 1990)

From the summarized results given in table (1). It is considered that all the examined chicken luncheon samples were organoleptically accepted.

The APC of the examined chicken luncheon samples varied from $26x10^2$ to $15x10^5$ with an average of $14x10^4$ /g (table 2). The obtained results were nearly similar to those obtained by Mousa *et al.* (2001) and Farag (2004) Attention must be paid to sanitation and personal hygiene to minimize the contamination of broiler meat and its products (Vorster *et al.* 1994)

Chordash and Insalate (1978) concluded that the Enterobacteriuceae are considered as spoilage agent when present in high number and may cause problems for consumer from the public health point of view.

Results achieved in table (2) declared that the *Enterobacteriacae* counts of the chicken luncheon Samples ranged from $9x10^2$ to $14x10^5$ with a mean value of $8.8x10^4$ /g .The results recorded in this work were in accordance with that reported by Farag (2004) and Cunninghan and Cox (1987).

Incidence of different Enterobacteriaceae isolated from the examined chicken lunchcon samples were Enterobacter cloaecae, (25.7 %) Citrobacter freundii, (30%) klebsiella pneumoniae (22.8 %) and Proteus vulgaris were (21.4 %). In general, many species of Enterobacteriaceae have been reported to cause health hazards to consumers as well as other species may cause spoilage of meat which lead to economic loses. (Ban Wart.1981).

Food poisoning from multiplication of *Staph. aureus* in poultry meat relatively rare and generally come from the human food handler (Barnes, 1972).

It is evident from the results presented in table (2) that counts of Staph.aureus in chicken luncheon samples ranged from 6×10^2 to 12×10^4 with a mean value of $13.7\times10^3/g$. The obtained results were realy similar to those obtained by Vorster *et al.*, (1994), Joblonski, and Bohach (1997) and Farag (2004).

Food borne illness from Staphylococcal enterotoxins remains a major problem world wide, (Bergdoll 1989). On the other hand, the Staph.

aureus isolated from human source may be considered the most dangerous strains of public health significance (Isigidi et al. 1992).

Results recorded in table (4) showed that the isolated Salmonella organisms could be scrotyped into two organisms, one Salmonella typhimurium (3.3%) and the other one Salmonella typhi (3.3%).

Salmonella organisms were isolated from 20% of broilers meat, (Rose, 2002) and 19% from chicken carcace, (Garcia et al. 2003). However, the percentage of Salmonella positive samples of poultry

products varied from 12.8 to 79% (D' Aoust 1989).

Therefore to obtain a high quality chicken luncheon, treatment and added natural spices should be of good quality. Also hygienic procedures and measures should be adopted during processing, cooling, packaging process and storage.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Prof. Dr. A. El-Tamaway Professor of bacteriology, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, for his help in Salmonella serotyping.

REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association (A.P.H.A., 1972): Standard methods for the examination of dairy products. 13Th Ed. American Public Health Association. Washington, USA.
- Batrd-Parker, A.C. (1962): An improved diagnostic and selective medium for isolating coagulase positive staphylococci. J. Appl. Bact. 25:12-19.
- Ban Wart, G.J. (1981): Basic Food Microbiology. Atext book, 3rd Ed., Publishing Co. INC, West port AVI, Connecticut.
- Barnes, E.M. (1972): Food poisoning and spoilage from poultry processing. Vet. Rec. June 24, pp.720-722.
- Bean, N.H.; Griffin, P.M.; Goulding, J.S. and Lvey, C.B. (1990): Foodborne disease outbreaks, 5-year summary, 1983-1987. J. Food Prot. 53: 711-728.
- Bergdoll, M.S. (1989): Staphylococcus aureus spp. 463-523. In M. P. Doyle (ed.). Foodborne bacterial pathogens. Marcel Dekker. Inc., New York and Basel.
- Chordash, R.A. and Insolate, N.F. (1978): Incidence and pathological significance of *Esherchia coli* and other sanitary indicator organisms in food and water. J. Food. Technol. 10: 54-63.

Assiut Vet. Med. J. Vol. 50 No. 102 July 2004

- Cruickshank, R.; Duguid, J.P.; Marnion, B.P. and Swain, R.H.A. (1975): Medical microbiology. 12Th Ed. Vol. 2 Churchill Livingstone. Edinburg, London and New York.
- Cruickshank, R.; Duguid, J.P.; Marmion. B.P. and Swain, R.H. (1980); Medical Microbiology.13Th Ed. Livingstone and Robert Stevenson, Edinburg.
- Cunningham, F.E. and Cox, N.A. (1987): The microbiology of poultry meat products. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL.
- D'Aoust, J.Y. (1989): Salmonella, p. 327-446. In M.P. Doyle (ed.), Foodborne bacterial pathogens. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- Doyle, M.P. and Padhye, V.V. (1989): Esherchia coli pp.235-281. In M. P. Doyle (ed.). Food borne bacterial pathogens. Marcel; Dckker. Inc. New York and Basel.
- Edward, P.R. and Ewing, W.H. (1972): Identification of Enterobacteriaceae. 3rd Ed. Burgess Publishing Co., Minncapolis, M. N. Atlanta, USA.
- Fanelli, M.J.; Peterson, A.C. and Gunderson, M.F. (1965): Microbiology of dehydrated soups. III-Bacteriological examination of rehydrated dry soup Mixes. Food Technol. J. 19: 90-94.
- Farag, M.A. (2004): Quality evaluation of poultry products in Assiut City. Ph.D. Thesis Faculty of Vet. Med. Assiut University.
- Garcia, V.A.; Desruisseau, A.; Riche, E.; Fukuda, S. and Tatsuni, H. (2003): Evaluation of a 24-hour bioluminescent enzyme immunoassay for the rapid detection of Salmonella in chicken carcass rinses. J. Food Prot. 66 (11), 1996-2004.
- Isigidi, B.K.; Mathieu, A.; Devriese, A.L.; Godard, C. and Vanhoof, J. (1992). Enterotoxin production in different Staphylococcus aureus biotypes isolated from food and meat plants. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 72:16-20.
- Jablonski, L.M. and Bohach, G.A. (1997): Staphylococcus aureus, p.353-373. In M. P. Doyle, L.R. Beuchat, and T. J. Montville (ed.), Food Microbiology: Fundamentals and Frotiers. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Mercuri, A.J. and Cox, N.A. (1979): Coliform and Enterobacteriaceae isolated from selected food. J. Food Prot. 42:712-714.
- Mousa, M.M.; Bkhiet, A.A. and Abdel Tawab, E. (2001): Bacteriological aspects of pre-cooked de-borned poultry meat in Damanhour. 2nd Int. Scientific Conference. The role of Veterinary Medicine for community. Fac. Of Vet. Medicine, Mansoura University.

Assint Vet. Med. J. Vol. 50 No. 102 July 2004

- National Academy of Sciences (1985): An Evaluation of the Role of Microbiological Criteria for Foods and Food Ingredients. National Academy Press. Washington D.C.
- Roberts, T. (1988): Salmonellosis control: Estimated economic costs. Poultry Sci. 67: 936-943.
- Rose, E.B.; Hill, E.W.; Umholtz, R.; Ransom, M.G. and James, O.W. (2002): Testing for Salmonella in raw meat and poultry products collected at federally inspected Establishments in the United States, 1998 Through 2000. J. Food. Prot. 65 (6).937-947.
- Thatcher, F.S. and Clark, D.S. (1975): Microorganisms in foods. International commission on microbiological specifications for foods. Univ. of Toronto. Toronto and Buffalo Canada.
- Todd, E.C.D. (1989): Preliminary estimates of costs of fodborne diseases in
- the United States. J. Food Prot. 52: 595-601.

 Uyttendaele, M.; Troy, P. and Debevere, J. (1999): Incidence of Salmonella, Campylobacter jejuni, Campylobacter coli and Listeria monocytogenes in poultry carcasses and different types of poultry products for sale on the Belgian retail markets. J. Food Prot. 62 (7) 735-740.
- M.S.; Greebe, P.R. and Nortje, L.G. (1994): Incidence of Staphylococcus aureus and Esherchia coli in ground beef broilers and processed meats in Pretoria, South Africa. J. Food Prot. 57 (4)