Assiut University web-site: www.aun.edu.eg

## GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF VARIANT INFECTIOUS BRONCHITIS VIRUSES DETECTED IN BROILER CHICKENS IN SOUTH EGYPT

MOHAMED SELIM<sup>1</sup>; NABILA OSMAN<sup>2</sup>; AHMED I. AHMED<sup>2</sup> and SOAD A. NASEF<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Reference Laboratory for Veterinary Quality Control on Poultry Production, Animal Health Research Institute, Luxor, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Department of Poultry and Rabbit Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, South Valley University, 83523, Qena, Egypt <sup>3</sup>Reference Laboratory for Veterinary Quality Control on Poultry Production, Animal Health Research Institute, 12618, Giza, Egypt

Received: 28 June2017; Accepted: 30 July 2017

## ABSTRACT

Avian Infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), a major pathogen of commercial poultry flocks, circulates in the form of multiple ever emerging variants threatening the poultry industry. This study was conducted to survey the presence of IBV from Luxor Governorate during 2015 -2016. Examination of 43 pooled samples including trachea and kidney homogenates by real time RT- PCR for N gene, revealed 35 (81.4%) out of 43 commercial broiler flocks were positive to IBV. The 400-bp of HVR3 of the S1 gene of ten selected samples were amplified by RT-PCR, sequenced, and aligned for phylogenetic and amino-acid similarity analyses. The ten IBV viruses share from 71% to 90.8% homologies between each other but are very different from the vaccinal strains (H120, MA5, M48, 4/91 and CR88) used in Egypt with 62.6 to 84.7 % similarities. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that all the Luxor detected viruses were grouped within the Variant 2 IBV cluster together with the D1456-like Egyptian IBVs but in a different subcluster. Monitoring the emerging IBV variants is extremely important for establishing an effective control strategy for the disease in Luxor and surrounding area.

Key words: IBV, S1 Gene, Variant, RT-PCR.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Avian infectious bronchitis (IB) is an economically important, acute, highly contagious disease of chickens and other fowl, caused by the avian coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus (IBV). Clinically, the disease causes respiratory distress, drop in egg production and quality in layers. Some strains of IBV are associated with nephritis (Cavanagh, 2003; Jackwood and de Wit, 2013). IBV is an enveloped, single-stranded, positive sense RNA, belonging to the genus Gammacoronavirus, subfamily Coronavirinae, family Coronaviridae, order Nidovirales. It has a 27 to 28 kb genome encoding four structural proteins, spike (S), membrane (M), envelope (E), and nucleocapsid (N) (Perlman et al., 2008; Gelb, 2013). Since the N gene is highly conserved even among IBV isolates of different serotypes and is abundant in infected cells (Williams et al., 1992; Spencer and Hiscox, 2006), it is often the target for nucleic acid based virus identification in diagnostic laboratories.

Corresponding author: Dr. MOHAMED SELIM

*E-mail address:* mo7amed.sm@gmail.com

The spike (S) proteinisa major structural protein of IBV, and it is post-translationally cleaved into aminoterminal S1 and carboxy-terminal S2 (Cavanagh, 2007). S1 mediates virion attachment to IBV host cells and is responsible for the induction of neutralizing and serotype-specific antibodies (Casais et al., 2003). The spike protein of IBV has an enormous capacity to change both by spontaneous mutation and by genetic recombination (Cavanagh & Gelb, 2008) resulting in the emergence of new variant serotypes and genotypes. Mutations occur frequently in hyper variable regions of the S1 subunit of the spike (S) glycoprotein gene. Hence, the evolutionary characterization of IBV is mainly based on the analysis of the variable S1 gene or the expressed S1 protein (Lee et al., 2003; Gelb et al., 2005).

Different IBV variants are distributed globally. Some of these variants are endemic only in particular regions, while others circulate worldwide (de Wit *et al.*, 2011). The phylogenetic analysis of currently available S1 gene sequences has identified 6 IBV genotypes comprising 32 viral lineages (Valastro *et al.*, 2016). Genotyping of Egyptian IBVs showed two distinct phylogenetic groups: the classic group resembling the GI-1 genotype (vaccine strains) and the variant group (field strains) of the GI-23

Present address: Reference Laboratory for Veterinary Quality Control on Poultry Production, Animal Health Research Institute, Luxor, Egypt

#### Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal

genotype. The variant genotype was divided into two distinct subgroups (Egy/var I and Egy/var II) resembling the Israeli variants IS/1494 and IS885 strain, respectively (Zanaty *et al.*, 2016a). The aim of this study is to provide information on the molecular characteristics and the phylogenetic relationship of IBV strains in Luxor in comparison to other strains reported in Egypt.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Study population**

Forty-three commercial broiler flocks of various ages (18-35 days) were selected from different regions of Luxor governorate, during 2015 and 2016. The examined flocks were showing clinical signs of respiratory and/or nephropathogenic disease. Most Flocks analyzed in this study had been previously vaccinated against IBV with one or more of these vaccines H120, H120-D274 and CR 88121 as shown in (Table 1).

#### Sample collection

Four or five chickens either live or dead showing mild to severe respiratory signs and/ or nephropathogenic lesions were selected from each flock. The clinical signs and pathologic findings were recorded. Tissue samples from trachea and kidneys were taken for RT-PCR analysis.

## Real time RT-PCR for nucleocapsid gene detection

RNA was extracted from the supernatants of 10% w/v tissue homogenates using QIA amp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc. Valencia CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The primers and probe specific for IBV are given in Table (2). A standardized Taq Man real-time RT-PCR reaction consisted of a total volume of 25  $\mu$ l reaction mixture containing 12.5  $\mu$ l2x Quanti Tect Probe RT-PCR Master Mix, 0.5  $\mu$ l forward primer, 0.5  $\mu$ l reverse primer, 0.125  $\mu$ l probe, 5.25 $\mu$ l RNase-free water, and  $6\mu$ l template RNA.

Reverse transcription and generation of complementary DNA (cDNA) was performed in onestep using MX3005P machine (Agilent). As per the manufacturer's instructions, cDNA was synthesized at 50 °C for 30 min, primary denaturation at 95 °C for15 min and amplification was performed for 40 cycles of secondary denaturation at 95 °C for 15 secs and annealing and extension at 60 °C for 45 sec.

Table 1: The sample data of IBV strains used for partial S1 gene characterization in this study.

Isolate no.	Sequence ID	Age (day)	Time of vaccination, d, and (virus vaccine)	Time of ccination, d, and (virus vaccine)		Gen Bank accession no.	
1	IBV/EG/LUXO R-1-2016	23	1 (H120)	Jan. 2016	Luxor- Armant	MF114201	
2	IBV/EG/LUXO R-18-2016	22	1 & 14 (H120- D274)	Jan. 2016	Luxor- Armant	MF114202	
3	IBV/EG/LUXO R-24-2016	27	1 (H120-D274)	Feb. 2016	Luxor - Qurna	MF114203	
4	IBV/EG/LUXO R-25-2016	24	14 (H120-D274)	Feb. 2016	Luxor - Qurna	MF114204	
5	IBV/EG/LUXO R-28-2015	24	1 (H120) 14 (CR 88121)	Dec. 2015	Luxor - Esna	MF114205	
6	IBV/EG/LUXO R-29-2015	18	7 & 15 (H120)	Dec. 2015	Luxor - Esna	MF114206	
7	IBV/EG/LUXO R-31-2015	24	7 (H120)	Dec. 2015	Luxor - Esna	MF114207	
8	IBV/EG/LUXO R-32-2015	26	3 (H120-D274) 6 (H120)	Dec. 2015	Luxor - Esna	MF114208	
9	IBV/EG/LUXO R-35-2015	35	2 (H120-D274)	Nov. 2015	Luxor- El Bayadeya	MF114209	
10	IBV/EG/LUXO R-38-2016	28	7 (H 120)	Feb. 2016	Luxor - El- Tod	MF114210	

Primers	Source	Sequence 5'-3'	Product size (bp)	Target region	Location	Reference	
AIBV-fr	_	ATGCTCAACCTTGTCCCTAGCA		_	811-832		
AIBV-as		TCAA-ACTGCGGATCA-TCACGT		_	921–941	Meir et	
AIBV-TM	Metabion (Germany)	(FAM- TTGGAAGTAGAGTGACGCCCAA ACTTCA-TAMRA)	130 bp	N gene	848–875	<i>al.</i> (2010)	
IBV-S1-F		CAC TGG TAA TTT TTC AGA TGG			729-749	Adzhar	
IBV-S1-R		C AGA TTG CTT ACA ACC ACC	400 bp	S1 gene	1093-1111	<i>et al.</i> (1997)	

Table 2: Primers and probes used in the study.

# **RT-PCR for S1 gene detection and DNA sequencing**

The cDNA of IBV generated from twelve samples found positive by RRT-PCR with high  $C_T$  values were sent to RLQP, Animal Health Research institute, Egypt for partial sequencing of S1 gene. HVR 3of the S1 gene was amplified using Qiagen one step RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen Inc. Valencia CA) and the primers given in Table (2) for performing one step RT-PCR using Thermocycler (T3Biometra, Germany).

The amplicons of 400 bp in size were excised and purifid from gel using the QIA quick gel extraction kit (Qiagen Inc. Valencia CA). The purified RT-PCR products were sequenced using Bigdye Terminator V3.1 cycle sequencing kit (PerkinElmer, Foster City, CA) and Applied Biosystems 3130 genetic analyzer (ABI, USA).

## Sequence and Phylogenetic analysis

Nucleotide S1 sequence data were edited and assembled using Bio Edit Software version 7.2.6. The sequences were aligned and blasted with the published sequences of various IBV strains. CLUSTAL W of Meg Align software was used for HVR3 deduced amino acids comparative analysis, and a phylogenetic tree of the amino acid sequences was constructed using MEGA 7 software with the neighbor-joining method and a consensus of 1000 bootstrap replicates (Kumar *et al.*, 2016). Sequences generated in this study were submitted to the Gen Bank database with accession numbers showed in Table (1).

#### RESULTS

#### Clinical signs and gross pathology

The clinical examination of the investigated flocks revealed general signs of illness, respiratory signs and renal problems in some flocks. The respiratory signs ranged from mild to severe, gasping, sneezing, rales and coughing. Mortality rates ranging from 5-10 %. At necropsy, the main lesions found were tracheitis, lung congestion, air-sacculitis. Some flocks frequently showed mucous or caseated material in trachea and bronchi. Pale or congested and enlarged kidneys with slight to moderate distention of the ureters with urates were also seen.

# Real time RT-PCR for screening of samples for IBV

Tissue homogenates of trachea and/or kidney from each flock were tested with real-time RT-PCR for IBV, whereas 35 samples of the 43 flocks showed positive results for IBV.

#### **RT-PCR for S1 gene detection**

From the twelve samples, 11 samples showed positive result for RT-PCR for S1 gene and one sample was negative. The S1 gene specific primers amplified approximately 400 bp products (a 393 bp PCR product from nucleotide positions 928 to 1320 in the IBV S1 sequence produced by conventional PCR), which were sequenced and compared to reference and other IBV strains (Fig.1).One sample didn't align correctly and was excluded and the ten samples were genotyped as IS/1494/06 Like.

#### Partial IBV S1 sequence and Phylogenetic analysis

Analysis of the partial S1 sequences derived from the obtained samples identified that all the sequences were related (71.8%–90.1%) to the variant-2 IS/1494/06 (GI-23) and (74.8%–94.7%) to Eg\12120S\2012 previously identified and reported in Egypt (Table 3). The IBV identified in this study also showed similarity to IS/885/00 (71%–92.4%).

Sequence similarities varied among the ten detected viruses under the study. Amino acid identities of the characterized strains ranged from (67.2-90.8 %) to each other, (65.6-84.7 %) to 4/91 vaccinal strains of IBV and (62.6-79.4%) to H120 and MA5. IBV/EG/LUXOR-38-2016 had the highest derived amino acid similarity (79.4%) to the H120 and MA5 vaccine strains, while IBV/EG/LUXOR-24-2016 had the lowest similarity (62.6%) with them.

Phylogenetic analysis, shown in Fig.2, revealed that all the Luxor detected viruses grouped within the D1456 like Egyptian IBV viruses within the Variant 2 IBV cluster but in a different subcluster and none of them is a vaccinal strain. Also, the ten detected viruses separated: 9 viruses belonged to a monophyletic group with the IBV/KFS/2016 previously isolated in Kafrelsheikh governorate while the IBV/EG/LUXOR-38-2016 sat on a separate branch. **Table 3:** Amino acid identities of the S1 partial sequence of the ten IBV strains in this study with other Egyptian strains, reference strains and vaccinal strains used in Luxor.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
1 Eg\12120S\2012		93.9	96.2	83.2	87.0	83.2	83.2	84.7	86.3	88.5	87.0	74.8	74.8	92.4	79.4	84.0	79.4	87.8	94.7
2 IS\1494\06			93.9	81.7	87.8	81.7	81.7	84.0	87.0	85.5	84.0	73.3	71.8	87.8	75.6	77.9	74.8	81.7	90.1
3 IS\885				82.4	87.0	82.4	82.4	84.7	86.3	86.3	84.0	72.5	71.0	91.6	75.6	80.2	78.6	85.5	92.4
4 H120					80.9	100.0	97.7	79.4	80.9	77.1	72.5	62.6	64.1	76.3	68.7	68.7	65.6	72.5	79.4
5 CR88121						80.9	80.9	84.7	96.2	84.0	77.1	66.4	66.4	81.7	74.0	72.5	71.8	77.1	83.2
6 Ma5							97.7	79.4	80.9	77.1	72.5	62.6	64.1	76.3	68.7	68.7	65.6	72.5	79.4
7 M41								79.4	80.2	76.3	72.5	62.6	64.1	76.3	67.9	68.7	65.6	72.5	79.4
8 D274									82.4	80.2	73.3	64.1	62.6	78.6	71.0	69.5	68.7	72.5	80.2
9 4\91										84.7	76.3	65.6	65.6	80.9	74.8	71.8	72.5	76.3	82.4
10 IBV/EG/LUXOR-1-2016											79.4	70.2	71.0	89.3	85.5	77.9	79.4	80.9	87.0
11 IBV/EG/LUXOR-18-2016												80.2	82.4	85.5	73.3	86.3	77.1	83.2	85.5
12 IBV/EG/LUXOR-24-2016													82.4	74.0	67.2	77.9	70.2	71.8	75.6
13 IBV/EG/LUXOR-25-2016														75.6	67.9	78.6	72.5	71.0	74.0
14 IBV/EG/LUXOR-28-2015															79.4	85.5	84.0	86.3	90.8
15 IBV/EG/LUXOR-29-2015																77.1	81.7	73.3	79.4
16 IBV/EG/LUXOR-31-2015																	80.2	83.2	83.2
17 IBV/EG/LUXOR-32-2015																		79.4	78.6
18 IBV/EG/LUXOR-35-2015																			87.8
19 IBV/EG/LUXOR-38-2016																			
			10		:	20		30		4	o +		50		60	) 		70	
H120	FL	SSEV	TKES	NEMY	GSYHI	PSCNE	RLET	INNG	LWENS	ST3AS	SIAY	PLQG	GCKQ	SVES	GRAT	CCYA	raxgo	PL	208
Ma 5																			208
D274		I	A.	DY		K.					LG.	.I		A	N		N.	.3	208
4/91			P.	D		.N	.P.N				LT.	.I			NK	••••	R.	.T	208
CR68121	••		P.	D	•••••	R D	.P.N	••••	• • • • •		LT	.1		••••	N	••••	· · · Q ·		208
15/885		.G	Ă.	D		K.D.	.P				L			N	N		N.	R	208
Eg/12120s/2012				D		Q.D.	.P				L			N	N		N.	R	208
IBV/EG/LUXOR-1-2016	• •		CEP.	D	• • • •	.Q.S.	SPQ.		· · V · ·		LT				NR	• • • •	N.	. R	208
IBV/EG/LUXOR-18-2016 IBV/EG/LUXOR-24-2016	••		P .	D. D	A	0.8.	PVS	TD	RL	CCG.	L	T		N	N.T.		N	SR	208
IBV/EG/LUXOR-25-2016			EP.	DD	А.Н.	Q.G.	.PLS	.I.D	RL.	QCG.	L	T			н.т.		N.	.R	208
IBV/EG/LUXOR-28-2015		.G	.EP.	D		Q.D.	.PD.		L.	G.	L	L.		N	N		N.	.R	208
IBV/EG/LUXOR-29-2015	• •		C.P.	DA . N	R.H.	Q.S.	SPH.	D		G.	LT	L.			NK	• • • •	· · · N .	.R	208
18V/EG/LUXOR-31-2015	••	· · · ·	- P			Q.G.	.PV.	TD	T.		1	T.L.		N	NIK	••••	N.	D.R	208
IBV/EG/LUXOR-35-2015		.G	P.	D		C.D.	.P		N.V.		L			N	N.T.	F	N	R	208
IBV/EG/LUXOR-38-2016		.G	P.	D	· · · · ·	.g.D.	.P				L				N		N.	. R	208
			-	-															
			8	0		90		100		1	10		120		13	30			
H1 20	TC	KOUV	SCPT	DHME	FCGT	LUVU	Reco	SPT	mam	ppur	TOP	YNN	TTM	CUDY	NTY	IR 20	1		
M41				.L.,							.R.					. 39	1		
Ma 5																. 39	1		
D274			R	TKS.			.TD.		. RN.	.FTI			D	E.		. 39	1		
4/91 CD88101	R.	••••	8	TQY. TCY			D.		RS.	T. 1	· · · · · ·			E.		- 35	1		
15/1494/06			I	PCY.			I. D.		RN	.L.I	.HY		D	E		39	1		
IS/885	••		r	QQY.			D.		.RN.	.L.I	.н.			RE.		. 39	1		
Eg/12120s/2012	••		T	QQY.	••••				.RN.	.L.I	·.H.		DI	E.		• 39	1		
IBV/EG/LUXOR-1-2016	•••	VF	T	ccv.		. I	0.0		SH.	L.I	HT		D	D.FF		. 39	1		
IBV/EG/LUXOR-18-2016 IBV/EG/LUXOR-24-2016		EE.	II	CKY.	A.	.Y:	IA.		.RN	.L.I	SH.		N.E	Y.EF		- 39	1		
IBV/EG/LUXOR-25-2016		VE	I	QQY.		.Y		M.		.I.I	.HL.	.IT.	DI	R. EN	ł	. 39	1		
IBV/EG/LUXOR-28-2015	•••		F	COY.		· Y · · ·	D.		. RN.	.L.I	.н.		DS	3E		• 39	1		
IBV/EG/LUXOR-29-2015	- R	VE	T	CCY	A.	· · · · ·	· · · ·	P. 1	RN.	TL.I	н	-	D	E FI		- 39	1		
IBV/EG/LUXOR-31-2015	F.	V	r	QQS.	A.	.Y	Q.DI		RNI	I.L.I	.н.	T	D	3E	II	39	1		
IBV/EG/LUXOR-35-2015	F.	.E!	T	QQY.		.Y	.Q	1	.RP.	.L.I	SH.	T.	D:	E	I	. 30	1		
IBV/EG/LUXOR-38-2016	••	E !	T	QQY.		· Y · · ·		1	R.RN.	.L.I	.н.		DI	S.E		. 39	1		

**Fig.** (1) Multiple sequence alignment of partial amino acid S1 sequences of the obtained IBV strains (nucleotides 1 - 391) with IBV reference and vaccinal strains. Dots indicate conserved amino acids. Potential glycosylation sites are highlighted.



**Fig. (2)** Phylogenetic tree based on the amino acid sequence of HVR3 of the S1 gene, showing the relationship between the ten IBVs in this study, other Egyptian isolates, vaccinal strains and other reference IBV strains.  $\blacklozenge$  indicates viruses detected in the current study. \*indicates the grouping of (Ganapathy *et al.*, 2015) and \*\*(Kiss *et al.*, 2016). The sequences obtained from Gen Bank.

### DISCUSSION

A large number of IBV variants exist worldwide due to mutations, insertions/deletions, and recombination events that affect the viral genome, having an economic impact on poultry production globally (Jackwood *et al.*, 2005; de Wit *et al.*, 2011). These genetic changes result in antigenic variations between different IBV strains making the control of IBV an extremely challenging task.

In this study, IBV was detected in 81.4 % of the examined flocks and all of the flock–derived sequences were genotyped as IS/1494/06 like. Results obtained from field surveys for the detection of IBV in chickens in Egypt showed about 64 % positive for IBV (Selim *et al.*, 2013).

The clinical examination of the examined flocks under this study showed mild to severe respiratory signs including coughing, sneezing, gasping and tracheal rales. Some examined flocks showed renal signs in the form of wet droppings and increased water intake. These findings were agreed with these observed by Sediek and Awad (2014). The predominant necropsy findings included caseous material, exudate and congestions in the trachea, congestion of the lungs accompanied by air sacculitis which are consistent with previous findings reported from the field (Jackwood& de Wit, 2013) and from experimental infections (Zanaty *et al.*, 2016b). Kidneys were affected in some flocks.

Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assays are rapid, specific, and accurate, and when targeting the viral S1 gene, the amplification products can be used for further classification of the virus (Lee *et al.*, 2000; Gelb *et al.*, 2005).

Nevertheless, compared to virus isolation, these assays may lack sensitivity when used directly from clinical specimens (Kwon *et al.*, 1993). In this study RT-PCR was used directly from clinical specimens without previous isolation depending on the results obtained by Grgic *et al.* (2008) who compared the sequences of the viruses before and after their passage in embryonated eggs and chickens and found that they all were 100% identical. A similar observation was also reported by Naqi *et al.* (2003).

The partial S1 gene sequence analysis indicated that none of the detected viruses was of vaccine origin and all samples were distantly related to the Variant 2 IS/1494/06. These sequence results are similar to the results obtained by Kiss *et al.* (2016) from submissions from 2010–2015 and to Eg/1265B/2012, Eg/12197B/2012, and Eg/12120s/2012 previously isolated in Egypt.

IBVs have been detected in Egypt for more than 60 years, including Massachusetts, D274, 4/91 and variants related to IS/1494 and IS/885 (Susan et al., 2010; Abdel-Moneim et al., 2012). The phylogenetic analysis showed that the flocks-derived sequences in this study were distantly related to IBV variant-2 IS/1494/06 and Egy/12120s/2012. Although clustered in the same clade with these isolates, they aligned in a different subcluster suggesting that IBV circulating in this area is undergoing evolution. No data are available for comparison between the viruses detected in this study and other IBVs from the same area since the IBV (IBV-EG/1290B) isolated by Selim et al. (2013) didn't align with the viruses of this study. Further studies targeting the whole S1 gene sequence are needed to support this suggestion.

In Luxor, chickens are routinely vaccinated with multiple IBV vaccines including mass serotypes as H120, MA5, M48 and recently the variant serotypes 4/91 and CR88. Phylogeny results showed that viruses detected in this study are different from IBV vaccine strains currently applied to poultry flocks in Luxor. Challenge studies revealed that the H120 vaccine provides poor protection (25%) against Variant 2 (Gelb *et al.*, 2005). Awad *et al.* (2015) showed that administering combined live H120 and CR88 vaccines simultaneously at day-old followed by CR88 vaccine at 14 days-old gave more than 80 % tracheal ciliary protection from both of the Middle East IS/885/00-like and IS/1494/06-like isolates.

The detection of IBV in these flocks and the development of the clinical signs of the disease in spite of the use of vaccination, suggests that either the current IBV vaccines or the vaccination regimens applied are not adequate for protection. These speculations need to be determined in future studies.

In conclusion, IBVs related to those circulating in Egypt including various governorates were identified

in chickens from Luxor broiler flocks. Clinical signs and pathologic lesions were mainly confined to the respiratory and urinary tracts of infected chickens. The results indicate that IBV circulating in Luxor is very different from the used vaccinal strains. Vaccination programs currently applied to commercial flocks should be assessed in future studies.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Ali Zanaty, Gene analysis unit, RLQP, Animal Health Research Institute, Giza, for his technical assistance through the sequence analysis.

### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Moneim, A.S.; Afifi, M.A. and El-Kady, M.F. (2012): Emergence of a novel genotype of avian infectious bronchitis virus in Egypt. Arch Virol. 157(12), 2453–2457.
- Adzhar, A.; Gough, R.E.; Haydon, D.; Shaw, K.; Britton, P. and Cavanagh, D. (1997): Molecular analysis of the 793/B serotype of infectious bronchitis virus in Great Britain. Avian Pathol. 26: 625-640
- Awad, F.; Forrester, A.; Baylis, M.; Lemiere, S. and Ganapathy, K. (2015): Protection conferred by live infectious bronchitis vaccine viruses against variant Middle East IS/885/00-like and IS/1494/06-like isolates in commercial broiler chicks. Vet. Rec. Open, 2(2), e000111.
- Casais, R.; Dove, B.; Cavanagh, D. and Britton, P. (2003): Recombinant avian infectious bronchitis virus expressing a heterologous spike gene demonstrates that the spike protein is a determinant of cell tropism. J. Virol.77(16), 9084–9089.
- Cavanagh, D. (2003): Severe acute respiratory syndrome vaccine development: experiences of vaccination against avian infectious bronchitis coronavirus. Avian Pathol.32(6), 567–582.
- Cavanagh, D. (2007): Coronavirus avian infectious bronchitis virus. Vet. Res.38(2), 281–297.
- Cavanagh, D. and Gelb, J. (2008): Infectious bronchitis. In A. M. F. Y.M. Saif J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald, L.K. Nolan and D.E. Swayne (Ed.), Diseases of Poultry 12th ed. (pp. 117–135). Ames, Iowa, USA: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- de Wit, J.J.; Cook, J.K.A. and van der Heijden, H.M.J.F. (2011): Infectious bronchitis virus variants: a review of the history, current situation and control measures. Avian Pathol. 40(3), 223–235.
- Ganapathy, K.; Ball, C. and Forrester, A. (2015): Genotypes of infectious bronchitis viruses

circulating in the Middle East between 2009 and 2014. *Virus Res.* 210, 198–204.

- Gelb, J. (2013): Avian Infectious Bronchitis. Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (Mammals, Birds and Bees). Volumes 1 & 2. World Organization for Animal Health, (May), 1–15.
- Gelb, J.; Weisman, Y.; Ladman, B.S. and Meir, R. (2005): Gene characteristics and efficacy of vaccination against infectious bronchitis virus field isolates from the United States and Israel (1996 to 2000). Avian Pathol. 34(3), 194–203.
- Grgic, H.; Hunter, D.B.; Hunton, P. and Nagy, E. (2008): Pathogenicity of infectious bronchitis virus isolates from Ontario chickens. Can. J. Vet. Res. Can. Rech. Vet. 72(5), 403–410.
- Jackwood, M. and de Wit, J.J. (2013): Infectious bronchitis. In D. E. Swayne (Ed.), Diseases of Poultry, 13th ed. (pp. 139–159). Ames, Iowa, USA: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Jackwood, M.W.; Hilt, D.A.; Lee, C.W.; Kwon, H.M.; Callison, S.A.; Moore, K.M.;Moscoso, H.;Sellers, H. and Thayer, S. (2005): Data from 11 years of molecular typing infectious bronchitis virus field isolates. Avian Dis. 49(4), 614–618.
- Kiss, I.; Mató, T.; Homonnay, Z.; Tatár-Kis, T. and Palya, V. (2016): Successive occurrence of recombinant infectious bronchitis virus strains in restricted area of Middle East. Virus Evol.2(2), vew021.
- Kwon, H.M.; Jackwood, M.W. and Gelb, J. (1993): Differentiation of Infectious-Bronchitis Virus Serotypes Using Polymerase Chain-Reaction and Restriction-Fragment-Length-Polymorphism Analysis. Avian Dis.37(1), 194–202.
- Kumar, S.; Stecher G. and Tamura, K. (2016): MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33:1870-1874.
- Lee, C.W., Hilt, D.A. and Jackwood, M.W. (2000): Redesign of primer and application of the reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction and restriction fragment length polymorphism test to the DE072 strain of infectious bronchitis virus. Avian Dis. 44(3), 650–654.
- Lee, C.W.; Hilt, D.A. and Jackwood, M.W. (2003): Typing of field isolates of infectious bronchitis virus based on the sequence of the hypervariable region in the S1 gene. J. Vet. Diagnostic Investig. 15(4), 344–348.
- Meir, R.; Maharat, O.; Farnushi, Y. and Simanov, L. (2010): Development of a real-time Taq

Man® RT-PCR assay for the detection of infectious bronchitis virus in chickens, and comparison of RT-PCR and virus isolation. J. Virol. Methods, 163: 190–194.

- Naqi, S.; Gay, K.; Patalla, P.; Mondal, S. and Liu, R. (2003): Establishment of persistent avian infectious bronchitis virus infection in antibody-free and antibody-positive chickens. Avian Dis.47(3), 594–601.
- Perlman, S.; Gallagher, T. and Snijder, E.J. (2008): Nidoviruses. Washington, DC: ASM Press.
- Sediek, M.E. and Awad, A.M. (2014): Pathogenicity Assessment of Seven Variants of Infectious Bronchitis Virus Isolated from Commercial Broiler Chickens during 2013 in Egypt. J. World's Poult. Res. 4(3): 64-74.
- Selim, K.; Arafa, A.S.; Hussein, H.A. and El-Sanousi, A.A. (2013): Molecular characterization of infectious bronchitis viruses isolated from broiler and layer chicken farms in Egypt during 2012. International J. Vet. Sc Med., 1(2), 102–108.
- Spencer, K.A. and Hiscox, J.A. (2006): Expression and structural analysis of infectious bronchitis virus nucleoprotein. Nidoviruses: Toward Control of Sars and Other Nidovirus Diseases, 581, 133–138.
- Susan, S. El-Mahdy; El-Hady, M.M.and Soliman, Y.A. (2010): Isolation and Characterization of Nephropathogenic Strain of Infectious Bronchitis Virus in Egypt. Journal of American Science, 6: 669–675.
- Valastro, V.; Holmes, E.C.; Britton, P.; Fusaro, A.; Jackwood, M.W.; Cattoli, G. and Monne, I. (2016): S1 gene-based phylogeny of infectious bronchitis virus: An attempt to harmonize virus classification. Infection Genetics and Evolution, 39, 349–364.
- Williams, A.K.; Li, W.; Sneed, L.W. and Collisson, E.W. (1992): Comparative Analyses of the Nucleocapsid Genes of Several Strains of Infectious-Bronchitis Virus and Other Coronaviruses. Virus Research, 25(3), 213– 222.
- Zanaty, A.; Arafa, A.S.; Hagag, N. and El-Kady, M.F. (2016b): Genotyping and pathotyping of diversified strains of infectious bronchitis viruses circulating in Egypt. World JVirol. 5(3), 125–134.
- Zanaty, A.; Naguib, M.M.; El-Husseiny, M.H.; Mady, W.; Hagag, N. and Arafa, A.S. (2016a): The sequence of the full spike S1 glycoprotein of infectious bronchitis virus circulating in Egypt reveals evidence of intra-genotypic recombination. Arch Virol. 161(12), 3583– 3587.

## التوصيف الجيني لفيروسات التهاب الشعب الهوائية المعدي المتحورة المكتشفة في بداري دجاج التسمين بجنوب مصر

## محمد سليم ، نبيلة عثمان ، أحمد إبراهيم ، سعاد ناصف

Email: mo7amed.sm@gmail.comAssiut University web-site: www.aun.edu.eg

يعد فيروس التهاب الشعب الهوائية المعدي أحد العوامل الرئيسية الممرضة لقطعان الدواجن، حيث ينتشر في شكل متغيرات متعددة ومستمرة التحور مهدداً صناعة الدواجن. وقد أجريت هذه الدراسة من أجل عمل مسح لوجود فيروس التهاب الشعب الهوائية المعدي بمحافظة الأقصر خلال ٢٠١٥- ٢٠١٦. وقد أسفر فحص ٤٣ عينة مجمعة شاملة للقصبة الهوائية والكلى باستخدام تفاعل البلمرة العكسى الحقيقي لجين N وجود ٣٥ عينة إيجابية من أصل ٤٣ قطيع مصاب بنسبة (٢٠١٤). ثم تم تضخيم ٤٠٠ زوج من القواعد النيتروجينية من HVR3 لجين S1 من عشر عينات مختارة باستخدام تفاعل البلمرة العكسى ودراسة تتابعها ومحاذاتها لتحليل وتشابه الأحماض الأمينية. وقد انضح أن هذه الفيروسات العشر تتشارك مع بعضها بنسبة ٢١٠ - ٩٠٠ % ولكنها مختلفة جداً عن الغيروسات وقعت ضمن مجموعة في مصر حيث تتشابه معها بنسبة تتراوح بين ٢٠٦٦ و ٢٠٤%. كما كشف تحليل النشوء أن هذه الفيروسات وقعت ضمن مجموعة متغير الالتهابي الشعبي المعدي ٢ مع فيروسات الالتهاب الشعبي المعدي قده الغروسات وقعت ضمن مجموعة متغير الالتهابي الشعبي المعدي ٢ مع فيروسات الالتهاب الشعب المعدية المعرية قائل هذه الفيروسات وقعت ضمن مجموعة متغير الالتهابي الشعبي المعدي ٢ مع فيروسات الالتهاب الشعبي المعدية المعرية قلي تشعو العترام الفيروسات وقعت ضمن مجموعة مناعير الالتهابي الشعبي المعدي ٢ مع فيروسات الالتهاب الشعب الهوائية المعدي مهم للغاية وضع استرات القاحية فعالة لمكافحة المرض في الأقصر والمعنوبة المعنية عن فيروس التهاب الشعب الهوائية المعدي مهم للغاية وضع استراتيجية فعالة لمكافحة المرض في الأقصر والمعلية المحيطة بها.