BRUCELLOSIS IN RUMINANT ANIMALS AND THEIR CLOSE CONTACT HUMANS IN WESTERN REGION OF SAUDI ARABIA IN 2012
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ABSTRACT

The current study recorded an overall incidence of 9.3% (76/818) of brucellosis in small ruminants in western region (Makkah and Al-Madinah), Saudi Arabia in 2012. The incidence was 14.2% (70/492) and 1.8% (6/326) in Makkah and Al-Madinah, respectively. Regarding the animal species, the incidence was 15.6% (59/377) and 3.9% (17/441) in sheep and goats, respectively. All animal sera which were positive for Brucella antibodies using Rose Bengal Test (RBT) were also positive in indirect enzyme linked immune sorbent assay (ELISA).

In Makkah, the incidence of brucellosis in the human beings was 2.7% (3/113) using agglutination test. The seropositive human cases were infected most probably as a result of their close contact with living or slaughtered infected sheep and goats during their daily work. This study concluded that Brucella infection is posing threat to both animal and human health in Saudi Arabia. The existing vaccination program should be strictly implemented with numbering and recording of the vaccinated animals. Prevention of human brucellosis in Saudi Arabia will ultimately depend on the eradication of the disease in ruminant animals. Further studies for investigating the incidence of brucellosis in human beings and ruminant animals all over the country are recommended.
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INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is a highly contagious zoonosis caused by Gram negative coccobacilli of the genus Brucella. It is a sub-acute or chronic disease which may affect many species of animals (Corbel, 1997; Seimenis et al., 2006). It can cause considerable economic losses in the livestock industry because of abortions, decreased milk production, sterility, and veterinary care and treatment costs (Corbel, 1997; Corbel and MacMillan, 1999). There are four important species pathogenic to humans: Brucella melitensis, found primarily in goats, sheep and camels; Brucella abortus in cattle; Brucella suis in pigs; and Brucella canis in dogs. The Brucella species differ in degree of virulence and invasiveness. Brucella melitensis is the most invasive and produces the most severe disease. Brucella abortus is the least invasive and causes the mildest illness (Al-Eissa, 1999).

The disease occurs in many parts of the world. There are only a few countries in the world that are officially free of the disease, although cases still occur in people returning from endemic countries (Corbel and Beeching, 2004). In Saudi Arabia, human infection with Brucella melitensis is commonly encountered (80%-100%), and infection with Brucella abortus is less frequent (Kambal et al., 1983; Al-Eissa et al., 1990), while infection with other species has not been reported (Al-Eissa, 1999).

Brucellosis is common in the central region of Saudi Arabia (Kiel and Khan, 1987). The incidence of brucellosis in goats in Makkah in 1977 was found to be 0.8%, in sheep 0.5%, in camels 2.8% and in cows 3.6% (Radwan et al., 1983). While the incidence in...
the Asir region in 1987 was 18.2% in goats, 12.3% in sheep, 22.6% in camels and 15.5% in cows (Bilal et al., 1991). Memish (2001) stated that despite brucellosis control in many developed countries the disease remains endemic in Saudi Arabia where the national seroprevalence of the disease is 15%

Brucellosis is endemic disease in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Since 1990 vaccination of small ruminants using the live attenuated Brucella melitensis Rev.1 vaccine, without numbering and recording of the vaccinated animals, was applied. Seropositive cases among non-vaccinated small ruminants as well as human beings are still reported.

The current study aims study aims to estimate the incidence of brucellosis in small ruminants and their close contact people in western region (Makkah and Al-Madinah), Saudi Arabia. Furthermore, the study intends to correlate the history of the disease and the serological status of infected human beings, and to discuss the disease control program in Saudi Arabia.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Sampling

Serum samples from small ruminants

From January to April 2012, a total of 818 blood samples from small ruminants were collected from Makkah and Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia. On the basis of historical data, the sampled animals were not vaccinated against brucellosis. Approximately 20-30% of adult female sheep and goats in the investigated farms were sampled. In Makkah, a total of 492 blood samples were collected from four different stockyards (188 samples from Al-k'a'kia, 41 samples from Al-Ju'arah, 146 samples from Al-moa'sim and 117 samples from Al-shara'ia). In Al-Madinah, 326 blood samples were collected from three different stockyards (103 samples from Al-oyoon, 49 samples from Al-azizya, and 174 samples from Al-du'a’itha).

Serum samples from human beings

One hundred and thirteen blood samples from human beings were obtained from Makkah. They include 3 veterinarians, 33 butchers and 43 farm workers at Al-Ka'kia abattoir; and 34 farm workers from Al-shara’ia. According to the nature of their work, the investigated people are always in close contact with either living animals, carcasses or both. Two of the human beings cases were with a previous history of Malta fever, while the rest were without history of the disease. Obtaining of blood samples from human beings in Al-Madinah was not available.

Both human and animal blood samples were labeled and delivered to the laboratory in ice box. The coagulated blood samples were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for about 5-10 minutes. The above serum layer was aspirated into 2 ml eppendorf tubes then labeled and kept at -20°C until serological testing.

Serodiagnosis of animal brucellosis

Rose Bengal antigen (Institut Pourquier, IDEXX Laboratories, France) was used for serodiagnosis of animal brucellosis. For confirmation of RBT seropositive cases, all ovine and caprine positive sera were retested using indirect ELISA (Brucellosis antibody test kit, IDEXX, France). All serological assays were carried out as recommended by the manufacturers and according to the provisions of the OIE manual for terrestrial animals (World Organisation for Animal Health, 2009)

Serodiagnosis of human brucellosis

Rapid slide agglutination test was used for screening of the human serum samples. Stained antigen suspensions (Febrile Antigen, Crescent Diagnostics, Ireland) were used for the identification of specific Brucellae (FB850/851-9 Brucella abortus and FB850/851-10 Brucella melitensis) antibodies in human sera. The positive sera were titrated using tube agglutination test (Young, 1991). The screening test and titration were carried out according to the method of the manufacture.

RESULTS

Serological incidence of brucellosis in small ruminants

Rose Bengal Test (RBT)

Totally, 76 out of 818 (9.3%) tested serum samples were positive using RBT. Among sera collected from Makkah, 53 (19.8%) out of 267 sheep sera were positive, while 17 (7.5%) out of 225 tested goat sera were positive. Regarding to the serum samples that were obtained from Al-Madinah, 6 (5.5%) out of 110 sheep sera were positive, while all 216 obtained goat sera were negative (Table 1). According to the animal species, 59 (15.6%) out of 377 investigated sheep were seropositive, while 17 (3.9%) out of 441 tested goats were seropositive (Table 2).

Indirect ELISA

All ovine and caprine positive tested sera (n =76) which were positive for Brucella antibodies using RBT were also positive in indirect ELISA.

Serological incidence of brucellosis in contact people

Rapid slide agglutination test

In human beings, three individuals (2.7%) out of 113, including a butcher (at Al-k'a'kia abattoir) and two farm workers (from Al-Shar'ia), were positive for
Brucella antibodies using rapid slide agglutination test (Table 3).

**Tube agglutination test**

By using the tube agglutination test, the titer was 1/160 of two cases, one case under treatment and the other one was treated for about one year, whereas the titer was 1/320 in the third case, which was without either history or clinical signs of the disease (Table 3).

**Table 1: The incidence seropositive animals in both Makkah and Al-Madinah.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Animal species</th>
<th>Breeds</th>
<th>Total tested</th>
<th>Seropositive animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makkah</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Harri</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goats</td>
<td>Harri</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Madinah</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Najjdi</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goats</td>
<td>Harri</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: The overall incidence of brucellosis in regarding to the animal species.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal species</th>
<th>Total tested</th>
<th>Seropositive animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goats</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: The incidence of human brucellosis in Makkah.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Total tested human sera</th>
<th>Seropositive animals</th>
<th>Titer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>% 1/160</td>
<td>1/320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makkah</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, a sero-epidemiological survey on brucellosis in small ruminants and their close contact people was carried out in Makkah and Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia during 2012. The overall incidence of *Brucella* infection in small ruminants was 9.3%. In Makkah and Al-Madinah, the incidence of animal brucellosis was 14.25 and 1.8% respectively. In countries of the Near East region, brucellosis was reported in almost all domestic animals, particularly cattle, sheep and goats (Refay, 2002). The present study was concerned with the incidence of brucellosis in sheep and goats, because they are considered the main source of infection for human beings in Saudi Arabia (Memish, 2001).

In regarding to the animal species, the incidence in the current study was 15.6% and 3.9% in sheep and goats respectively. In Saudi Arabia, previously in 1977, the incidence of brucellosis in goats in Makkah (western region) was found to be 0.8% and in sheep 0.5% (Radwan et al., 1983). Ten years later in 1987, higher incidence was reported in the Asir region (southern region), where it was 18.2% in goats and 12.3% in sheep (Bilal et al., 1991). In other countries, the prevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats respectively was 2.18% and 12% in Algeria (Refay, 2000); 1.4% and 3.8% in Eritrea (Omer et al., 2000); 1% and 4% in Sudan (El-Ansary et al., 2001); 3.42% and 5.53% in India (Sharma et al., 1979); 2% and 3.4% in United Arab Emirates (Afzal and Sakkir, 1994); and 1.6% and 6.4% in Oman (WHO,1998).

Saudi Arabia is one of the countries with the highest incidence of human brucellosis (Refay, 2002). The recorded incidence of brucellosis among the tested human sera in the present study was 2.7%, where 3 (one butcher and two farm workers) out of 113 collected sera were positive for *Brucella* antibodies.
National statistics in Saudi Arabia indicated that the disease incidence in humans is close to 40 cases per 100,000 (Memish, 2001). Previously, incidence rates of 1%–22% have been reported among human beings in Saudi Arabia and other countries (Kato et al., 2007). In 3 years, over 500 positive patients who had a titer of 1:160 or more were identified in the central region of Saudi Arabia (Kiel and Khan, 1987).

Human brucellosis may be present with a broad spectrum of clinical manifestations (Solera et al., 1997). In the current study, two cases (farm workers) out of the three seropositive human cases had a previous history of Brucella infection, whereas the third case (butcher at Al-ka'bia abattoir) was without either history or clinical signs of the disease. This case may be suffered from subclinical infection. This agreed with Alton and Forsyth (1996) and Magwedere et al. (2011) how stated that the presentation of brucellosis in human beings is characteristically variable. The onset may be insidious or abrupt. Subclinical infection is common.

Two cases out of the three seropositive human cases in this study had a titer of 1/160. According to the history of the disease, one case was under treatment and the other one was treated for about one year. In the third subclinically infected case, the titer was 1/320. Young (1991) found that most patients with active brucellosis had agglutinin titers of ≥ 160. During a serological survey on human brucellosis in the central region of Saudi Arabia, the lower titers were less useful as indicators of active disease in the investigated population, in which many people appear to have persistent low antibody levels (Kiel and Khan, 1987).

Brucellosis acquired from handling of infected animals or consuming contaminated milk or milk products. Exposure is frequently occupational (Alton. and Forsyth, 1996). The main source of infection for the three seropositive human cases that reported in the current study was mostly the frequent exposure to infected materials during their work. According to the microorganism species, they were most probably infected with Brucella Melitensis as a result of daily direct and indirect contact with the living or slaughtered infected sheep and goats. Also, it was stated that Brucella melitensis remains the principle cause of human brucellosis in Saudi Arabia, causing 88-93% of the cases (Memish, 2001).

The major clue to the diagnosis of brucellosis is serologic testing (Kiel and Khan, 1987). Diagnosis of brucellosis in the present study was depending on serological testing. Animal brucellosis was diagnosed using RBT and confirmed with indirect ELISA, while agglutination tests were used for detection and titration of the Brucella antibodies in the tested human serum samples. All 76 animal sera which were positive for Brucella antibodies using RBT were also positive in indirect ELISA.

Human brucellosis is endemic in Northern Saudi Arabia as in other parts of the kingdom (Fallatah et al., 2005). In human beings, the main modes of Brucella transmission are considered to be dietary, the consumption of contaminated dairy products, occupational exposure, and exposure to infected livestock (Pappas et al., 2006; Gul and Khan, 2007). The present study suggested that the use of protective measurements when in contact with the livestock and pasteurization of sheep and goats milk before consumption, particularly in rural areas, will reduce the risk of human infection in Saudi Arabia.

In Saudi Arabia the disease is introduced through uncontrolled importation of animals that are poorly screened for the disease. In addition, the KSA imports annually a few million heads of sheep and goats for sacrifice during Hajj from Africa (Memish, 2001). So that avoiding importation of small ruminants from countries where brucellosis is enzootic as well as strict application of the quarantine measurements may help disease control in Saudi Arabia.

Prevention of brucellosis in humans still depends on the eradication or control of the disease in animal hosts (Corbel, 1997). There is an urgent need for a national program for controlling brucellosis in the KSA. The components of this program will include recruitment and training of qualified veterinarians, development of an adequate number of animal quarantine centers and implementing legislation to control marketing and movement of animals (Bilal et al., 1991). The current study suggested that the eradication of human brucellosis in Saudi Arabia will ultimately depend on the eradication of animal brucellosis. For eradication of the disease in ruminant animals, test and slaughter program may be advised.

The current study concluded that brucellosis is still causing problem for both animal and human health in some parts of the Saudi Arabia, where seropositive cases are still reported in small ruminant animals and human beings. Improper applications of the existing vaccination program and continuous importation of small ruminants from brucellosis-enzootic African countries are probably the two main causes of the reoccurrence of infection and endemicity of the disease in Saudi Arabia. Further studies for investigating the incidence of brucellosis in ruminant animals all over the country are recommended.
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